



The
Saxon
Messenger

July Edition Number 31 - 2013



Editorial

Dear Reader

No Safe Haven

We do not know much about the Reedy's, or why the White couple, who had four children, were separated just months after the birth of their young daughter. However rather than living with her husband James, on June 7th, 2013, Jennifer Reedy was admittedly sleeping in her home with a Mexican illegal alien named Jose Luis Aguilar when her 9-month old daughter, Serenity, was raped and murdered by the animal. Reports say that Reedy had taken her so-called "boyfriend" into her home about a month before her daughter's murder. According to the Richland, Washington **Tri-City Herald**, the baby had severe injuries to both her head and vagina. According to Columbia County's **KEPR television** "Reedy said Aguilar wanted to have sex that night but she told him no. She said Aguilar told her he would go take care of himself." Evidently he did, with a little help from Serenity. A video report from the same source quotes a doctor who said there was "massive damage to [Serenity's] genital region." The **Tri-City Herald** reports that Aguilar was picked up in a Greyhound bus in Barstow, California.



That the suspect was arrested is the usual excuse that the national news media has for not reporting such crimes. There will be no national media vigil for young Serenity Reedy. However it is also typical, that on the occasions that arrests have not been made, even when there are definite suspects, the race of those suspects is rarely reported by the national media. It is a game that they play with news reporting that is rigged to their advantage, since it is not in the interests of the powers who control the national news media to report minority crimes against Whites. The media is, essentially, a part of the propaganda campaign which continually passes wild beasts off as people. When Whites believe this media propaganda, which they are bombarded with daily, it is at the risk of their own lives. The inevitable result is evident today in every major and formerly White city: the destruction of White society and its replacement with an odd mixture of third-world mini-jungles.




According to Tulsa's **NewsOn6.com**, on June 16th, 2013, two unidentified bodies were found in a home after a house fire in Calumet, Oklahoma. Of course, there is little need for the national media to report deaths in a house fire, and little else was reported at all until June 26th. On that date, the **same media outlet**, as well as other local news sources, reported that an arrest had been made in connection with the fire and the deaths of Amy Gibbins and her 5-year-old son Bryor, who were White. Of course once again, since an arrest had been made by the time of any subsequent reports found in the local news, little-to-nothing about this case has appeared in the national news media. **NewsOK.com** reported that "Derek Don Posey, 30,

was charged with two counts of first-degree murder in Canadian County, OK, in the deaths of a Calumet mother and her 5-year-old son. Their bodies were found by firefighters putting out a deadly blaze at their home.” According to the same report, “Prosecutors allege Posey forcibly raped Gibbins and struck her on the head. They allege that after killing her, Posey set her house on fire. Bryor was in the house and died in the blaze, according to court documents.” Posey was described as having been “working in the oil fields around Calumet at the time of the killings”, and in spite of that fact he “was charged with one count of felony debit card theft in the alleged theft of Gibbins' debit card.” A report from KOCO.com, Oklahoma City, explains that Posey also stole Gibbin's cell phone, and was using it, which is how he was apprehended. In that report, Posey was described as “an acquaintance of Gibbins”. Where 5-year-old Bryor's father was has not been reported, however Karl Torp of Oklahoma City's KWTW News9.com reported that Amy lived in the burned-out home “along with her husband”, who was not otherwise mentioned. Of course, since a “suspect” was apprehended, there will be no national media vigil for Amy and Bryor Gibbins.




The wild beast known to society as Laverne Bryant, according to Detroit's Fox 2 News station at myFOXdetroit.com, had already been convicted more than once for sex-related crimes when he was hired for a job at a Family Dollar store in Dearborn, Michigan. However he was fired by Family Dollar in the Spring of 2013. On July 16th he returned to the store, shot a male former co-worker to death, and after robbing the store, abducted a young female former co-worker off to a nearby field. While what happened to Brenna Macchus in that field is not elaborated upon in the

reports, according to ABC News her body was said to have been found in a wooded area “just miles” from the store. The report states that “Police offered no details on the condition of the body.” While the case received some national media attention, the irony of the fact that Bryant committed the horrific crime while “wearing dark clothes and a hood over his head” seems to have gone unnoticed. We can't help but wonder if there was a bag of Skittles amongst his booty.



These are not isolated cases. In fact, cases such as these are quite common, and while there are more than a few small and independent-media websites which compile them, they are hardly noticed by the general reading population in either America or Europe. Every week in America alone, hundreds if not thousands of White women and children are singled out, raped, and often killed by “minorities”. Thousands more each week are assaulted, robbed or burglarized by “minorities”. The official crime statistics are worthless, because wild animals such as Jose Luis Aguilar, Serenity Reedy's murderer, are considered as “white” for reporting purposes. (See the FBI report Crime in the United States 2011, [Table 43](http://www.fbi.gov), at www.fbi.gov). Therefore Whites are credited by the government for nearly all of the crimes committed by non-Whites who happen not to be black.

However this is not all. Now the United States government, through the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), will work to ensure that there are Jose Aguilars, Dereck Poseys, and Laverne Bryants inhabiting each and every neighborhood in America. This is, no doubt, the Bolsheviking of the housing markets in the United States. The next step is to regulate each and every bedroom, as it can be established from official [British diplomatic reports](#) that the Marxists had done to Russia immediately following the Bolshevik Revolution. We have seen many articles from England which have illustrated the fact that the British government has turned many a mansion over to aliens, the cost of which is borne by the tax-payers. Here in America, the same plan is now in deployment. In less than a hundred years we may go from “a chicken in every pot” to a Negro, or a Mexican, in every bed.



And if there are not enough Negroes to go around, the United States government has an ample supply awaiting transport in Somalia. To date the Obama administration has imported tens of thousands of these animals into once-bucolic places such as Maine, Minnesota and Tennessee. Last March, 2012, four of these Somalian animals kidnapped, stabbed, robbed and raped two newspaper delivery men in an ordeal which lasted for several hours. The beasts had names such as Tut Tut and Duol Wal, who were but 15 and 21 years old. This was reported by Nashville's [NewsChannel5.com](http://www.newschannel5.com), but it never made a splash in the national media. And if it had, it surely would have been drowned out by the months-long eulogy for another such

beast-in-a-hoodie, Trayvon Martin.

In a 35-page report available from the U.S. Government Printing Office, published in the Federal Register on July 19th, 2013, entitled **Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing; Proposed Rule**, it is certainly evident that the government intends to do precisely what has been stated here, as it is already summarized in an article which appeared at cnsnews.com entitled *HUD's New 'Fair Housing' Rule Establishes Diversity Data for Every Neighborhood in U.S.* (The cnsnews.com article links to an **online copy of the full report.**)

Announcing the newly proposed rule, cnsnews.com quotes HUD Secretary Shaun Donovan as having said “For the first time ever, HUD will provide data for every neighborhood in the country, detailing the access African American, Latino, Asian, and other communities have to local assets, including schools, jobs, transportation, and other important neighborhood resources that can play a role in helping people move into the middle class.” Then in a **July 16th speech** given to the NAACP, Donovan, explaining the supposed inequality of the past, said “... because, even in those so-called good times, the American Dream wasn’t within equal reach of all communities. Those occupying the executive suites and boardrooms didn’t reflect the diversity of America...In other words, rebuilding America back to the way things were simply isn’t good enough. Instead, we have got to shape a future where ladders of opportunity are available for all Americans. As you know better than anyone, for African Americans, this is critically important. Historically, for this community, the rungs on these ladders have been too far apart – making it harder to reach the middle class.”

In the Marxist world view, merit earned through hard study, hard labor, or any extraordinary talents means nothing. Anyone should have a turn with any job. Perhaps Donovan will practice that first, and allow some inner-city “youth” to pilot his next junket. The Marxist imagines himself to be God and gives rewards – rewards which are always stolen from others – to whomever he sees fit. Usually the rewards go to whomever the Marxist esteems most expedient to keep himself in power.

According to a related article at Newsnet14.com, the Melinda and Bill Gates foundation have already assisted HUD in establishing so-called “diversity data” for every single neighborhood in America. Using this data, Government officials will put minorities in every neighborhood, claiming to thereby create “ladders of opportunity”. But Dereck Posey already had a good job, and Laverne Bryant, a two-time felon, was already given the opportunity to begin his life anew. It also looked like Jose Aguilar was doing rather well, ostensibly living with his White girlfriend off of the support checks and perhaps even in a home which was supplied by her White ex-husband. Yet all three of these men chose to rob, rape and murder innocent women and children. There are countless thousands of others like them willing to do those same things every day.

Opportunity does not turn animals into people. Furthermore, since there are more Whites living below the poverty line in America than the sum total of Negroes, it is further evident that a lack of opportunity does not turn people into animals. Animals cannot be people, regardless of opportunity.

Neither can government create opportunity, which is only created by hard work, initiative, and the creative

energies of a society engaged in such things. These things, government does not know and cannot regulate. Neither do Negroes and Mexicans know these things, or they would have them in the lands from which they came. We can only wonder what sorts of animals are given opportunity in the home and bedrooms of the Gates' mansion. The Gates should be first to build a highway from the ghettos of East Los Angeles into their very own neighborhood.

There is no safe haven. There is nowhere that Whites can flee. No White family is going to escape harm, or perhaps even destruction, with the coming onslaught of ethnic diversity. Until Whites awaken to the root causes of the destruction of their once-great civilization, or to the fact that there is even a problem, there is nothing for them to do. Once Whites do awaken, there is only one thing left for them to do: "If my people, which are called by My Name, shall humble themselves, and pray, and seek My face, and turn from their wicked ways; then will I hear from heaven, and will forgive their sin, and will heal their land" (2 Chronicles 7:14).

William Finck, Christogenea.org


Editor

Simone and Mohammed Jabakhanji



Simone Jabakhanji, 27, a British bride was found hanged one year after her wedding in Gambia. She had confided to her mother and a friend that she was afraid of her aggressive husband and his moods which worsened when he recently discovered his infertility; self inflicted due to his drug abuse. She told her mother, "I suppose I can put up with that instead of him breaking my legs". 'When I spoke to her on the Friday, she was frightened of Carlos' [Mohammed] her mother added. Hours later, Simone was dead.

Initially, her husband was arrested on suspicion of murder but released. The British coroner in the UK pronounced an open verdict due to a total lack of co-operation from Gambian authorities after repeated requests for information. There had been no autopsy and the body had been embalmed before repatriation. There was no evidence that Simone intended to take her life.



C o n t e n t s

Editorial – No Safe Haven

The Prophecy of Amos - Part 1 - William R Finck	7
Land of Our Birth – Rudyard Kipling	15
Homosexual Marriage Now Legalised in the UK	16
Who am I to Judge a Gay Priest – Michael Hoffman	17
Into the Cannibal's Pot - Lessons for America from South Africa - Ilana Mercer	20
White Genocide – Surely Not? South Africa	21
The Controversial Death of British Bio-warfare Expert Dr David Kelly	22
When Journalism Works – Leah McGrath Goodman	25
Blacklisted by History – Christine B Miller	28
HPV Vaccines Can Kill You And They DO! - Christina England	30
What is Christian Identity?	33
Announcements	34

Front Cover image – No Safe Haven



The Prophecy of Amos

Part 1

W R Finck - Christogenea Internet Radio 2-1-2013


Many students of the Bible fail to realize, or at least easily forget – and I also at times have probably been guilty of this same thing – that the promise to Abraham that his seed would possess all of the land from Egypt to the Euphrates was indeed fulfilled in the days of King David. Even the Jews often deny the fact of this fulfillment, hoping themselves to be the heirs of this land in modern times and pointing to the prophecy in order to justify their treachery – and in spite of the fact that they are not genetic Israel. Here it is in Genesis 15:18-21: “18 In the same day the LORD made a covenant with Abram, saying, Unto thy seed have I given this land, from the river of Egypt unto the great river, the river Euphrates: 19 The Kenites, and the Kenizzites, and the Kadmonites, 20 And the Hittites, and the Perizzites, and the Rephaims, 21 And the Amorites, and the Canaanites, and the Girgashites, and the Jebusites.”

Now it is clear from Scripture that the children of Israel did not exterminate all of the tribes of Canaan as they were so commanded. But that does not mean that they did not possess the land. The fulfillment of this promise of Genesis 15:18 is evident in 2 Samuel chapter 8: “1 And after this it came to pass, that David smote the Philistines, and subdued them: and David took Methegammah out of the hand of the Philistines. 2 And he smote Moab, and measured them with a line, casting them down to the ground; even with two lines measured he to put to death, and with one full line to keep alive. And so the Moabites became David's servants, and brought gifts. 3 David smote also Hadadezer, the son of Rehob, king of Zobah, as he went to recover his border at the river Euphrates.

[Therefore David controlled the land eastward to this point.] 4 And David took from him a thousand chariots, and seven hundred horsemen, and twenty thousand footmen: and David houghed **[evidently he**

cut the hamstrings of] all the chariot horses, but reserved of them for an hundred chariots. 5 And when the Syrians of Damascus came to succour Hadadezer king of Zobah, David slew of the Syrians two and twenty thousand men. 6 Then David put garrisons in Syria of Damascus: and the Syrians became servants to David, and brought gifts. And the LORD preserved David whithersoever he went. 7 And David took the shields of gold that were on the servants of Hadadezer, and brought them to Jerusalem. 8 And from Bethah, and from Berothai, cities of Hadadezer, king David took exceeding much brass. 9 When Toi king of Hamath heard that David had smitten all the host of Hadadezer, 10 Then Toi sent Joram his son unto king David, to salute him, and to bless him, because he had fought against Hadadezer, and smitten him: for Hadadezer had wars with Toi. And Joram brought with him vessels of silver, and vessels of gold, and vessels of brass: 11 Which also king David did dedicate unto the LORD, with the silver and gold that he had dedicated of all nations which he subdued; 12 Of Syria, and of Moab, and of the children of Ammon, and of the Philistines, and of Amalek, and of the spoil of Hadadezer, son of Rehob, king of Zobah. 13 And David gat him a name when he returned from smiting of the Syrians in the valley of salt, being eighteen thousand men. 14 And he put garrisons in Edom; throughout all Edom put he garrisons, and all they of Edom became David's servants. And the LORD preserved David whithersoever he went. 15 And David reigned over all Israel; and David executed judgment and justice unto all his people. 16 And Joab the son of Zeruah was over the host; and Jehoshaphat the son of Ahilud was recorder; 17 And Zadok the son of Ahitub, and Ahimelech the son of Abiathar, were the priests; and Seraiah was the scribe; 18 And Benaiah the son of Jehoiada was over both the

Cherethites and the Pelethites; and David's sons were chief rulers.”



So it is evident, that the Philistines, Moabites, Ammonites, Edomites, were all subjected to Israel in the days of King David, and that his kingdom did indeed stretch from the border of Egypt to the Euphrates, and that David's sons were delegated rulership over parts of this territory. These things are truly not noticeable in inscriptions or in the Bible, because even in the Bible the original names of these lands were kept, the lands were mostly named after the original occupants, and later on those who inhabited these lands were often called after these names no matter what tribe they were from. The children of Israel also began to mingle with these subject people, which is evident throughout the Biblical narrative, that they went “a whoring after the heathen” (i.e. Ezekiel 23:30) and begot “strange children” (i.e. Hosea 5:7).

The Edomites were subject to Judah for many many years, at least until the days of King Jehoram, when they first revolted, where 2 Chronicles chapter 21:8-10 says this: “ 8 In his days the Edomites revolted from under the dominion of Judah, and made themselves a king. 9 Then Jehoram went forth with his princes, and all his chariots with him: and he rose up by night, and smote the Edomites which compassed him in, and the captains of the chariots. 10 So the Edomites revolted from under the hand of Judah unto this day. The same time also did Libnah revolt from under his hand; because he had forsaken the LORD God of his fathers.” Libnah was a Levitical city of Judah, originally belonging to Canaanites and taken by Joshua. So even cities long occupied by Israelites had independently revolted from their own government during the period of the divided kingdom.

The Philistines were also subject to Judah for a very long time. In the days of Jehoshaphat, who ruled around 872-847 BC, we read in 2 Chronicles 17:11:

“Also some of the Philistines brought Jehoshaphat presents, and tribute silver; and the Arabians brought him flocks, seven thousand and seven hundred rams, and seven thousand and seven hundred he goats.” Later the Philistines successfully revolted, around the same time that the Edomites did, in the reign of Jehoram king of Judah, the son of Jehoshaphat.

The Moabites were subject to Israel, not Judah, until the days of Joram [also Jehoram] king of Israel, which is described in 2 Kings chapter 3 thusly: “ 1 Now Jehoram the son [actually grandson] of Ahab began to reign over Israel in Samaria the eighteenth year of Jehoshaphat king of Judah, and reigned twelve years. 2 And he wrought evil in the sight of the LORD; but not like his father, and like his mother: for he put away the image of Baal that his father had made. 3 Nevertheless he cleaved unto the sins of Jeroboam the son of Nebat, which made Israel to sin; he departed not therefrom. 4 And Mesha king of Moab was a sheepmaster, and rendered unto the king of Israel an hundred thousand lambs, and an hundred thousand rams, with the wool. 5 But it came to pass, when Ahab was dead, that the king of Moab rebelled against the king of Israel.” The Moabites were defeated, but rebelled and fought Israel again in the days of Jehoahaz (circa 814-798 BC, 2 Kings chapter 13), and once more in the days of Jehoshaphat king of Judah did they take league with the Ammonites and Edomites against Judah, and their armies were all destroyed (2 Chronicles chapter 20).

Likewise the Ammonites were still tribute to Judah in the days of Uzziah the king of Judah, around the very time when Amos is prophesying, where at 2 Chronicles 26:8 we read: “And the Ammonites gave gifts to Uzziah: and his name spread abroad even to the entering in of Egypt; for he strengthened himself exceedingly.”

Here in the opening chapters of Amos we see seven nations, if they can properly be called nations, being chastised by Yahweh: Damascus and the people of the Syrians (Aram), Gaza (a Philistine city, it was not a region at that time) and the Philistines, the Tyrians, the Edomites, the Ammonites, the Moabites, Judah and Israel. Until this time, or not long before, all of these regions were part of the kingdom left behind by David, all were occupied by a great number of Israelites, and all were ruled over by the princes of Judah. After the time of Solomon Israel and Judah were divided, and we are not told many of the

specifics concerning the circumstances of the outlying countries which had been or were still subject to either Israel or Judah.

2 Kings chapter 14 describes the reign of Jeroboam II during which Amos prophesied, and a reading of it will help to establish the context of the prophet to some degree:

2 Kings 14:1: "In the second year of Joash son of Jehoahaz king of Israel reigned Amaziah the son of Joash king of Judah. 2 He was twenty and five years old when he began to reign, and reigned twenty and nine years in Jerusalem. And his mother's name was Jehoaddan of Jerusalem. 3 And he did that which was right in the sight of the LORD, yet not like David his father: he did according to all things as Joash his father did. 4 Howbeit the high places were not taken away: as yet the people did sacrifice and burnt incense on the high places. 5 And it came to pass, as soon as the kingdom was confirmed in his hand, that he slew his servants which had slain the king his father. 6 But the children of the murderers he slew not: according unto that which is written in the book of the law of Moses, wherein the LORD commanded, saying, The fathers shall not be put to death for the children, nor the children be put to death for the fathers; but every man shall be put to death for his own sin. 7 He slew of Edom in the valley of salt ten thousand, and took Selah by war, and called the name of it Joktheel unto this day. 8 Then Amaziah sent messengers to Jehoash, the son of Jehoahaz son of Jehu, king of Israel, saying, Come, let us look one another in the face. 9 And Jehoash the king of Israel sent to Amaziah king of Judah, saying, The thistle that was in Lebanon sent to the cedar that was in Lebanon, saying, Give thy daughter to my son to wife: and there passed by a wild beast that was in Lebanon, and trode down the thistle. 10 Thou hast indeed smitten Edom, and thine heart hath lifted thee up: glory of this, and tarry at home: for why shouldest thou meddle to thy hurt, that thou shouldest fall, even thou, and Judah with thee? 11 But Amaziah would not hear. Therefore Jehoash king of Israel went up; and he and Amaziah king of Judah looked one another in the face at Bethshemesh, which belongeth to Judah. 12 And Judah was put to the worse before Israel; and they fled every man to their tents. 13 And Jehoash king of Israel took Amaziah king of Judah, the son of Jehoash the son of Ahaziah, at Bethshemesh, and came to Jerusalem, and brake down the wall of Jerusalem from the gate of Ephraim

unto the corner gate, four hundred cubits. 14 And he took all the gold and silver, and all the vessels that were found in the house of the LORD, and in the treasures of the king's house, and hostages, and returned to Samaria. 15 Now the rest of the acts of Jehoash which he did, and his might, and how he fought with Amaziah king of Judah, are they not written in the book of the chronicles of the kings of Israel? 16 And Jehoash slept with his fathers, and was buried in Samaria with the kings of Israel; and Jeroboam his son reigned in his stead. **[This is Jeroboam II, the king of Israel in the days of Amos, and we see that Edom is still subject to Judah in these days.]** 17 And Amaziah the son of Joash king of Judah lived after the death of Jehoash son of Jehoahaz king of Israel fifteen years. 18 And the rest of the acts of Amaziah, are they not written in the book of the chronicles of the kings of Judah? 19 Now they made a conspiracy against him in Jerusalem: and he fled to Lachish; but they sent after him to Lachish, and slew him there. 20 And they brought him on horses: and he was buried at Jerusalem with his fathers in the city of David. 21 And all the people of Judah took Azariah, which was sixteen years old, and made him king instead of his father Amaziah. 22 He built Elath, and restored it to Judah, after that the king slept with his fathers. 23 In the fifteenth year of Amaziah the son of Joash king of Judah Jeroboam the son of Joash king of Israel began to reign in Samaria, and reigned forty and one years. 24 And he did that which was evil in the sight of the LORD: he departed not from all the sins of Jeroboam the son of Nebat, who made Israel to sin. **[Amos prophesies that Jeroboam would die by the sword for this.]** 25 He restored the coast of Israel from the entering of Hamath unto the sea of the plain, according to the word of the LORD God of Israel, which he spake by the hand of his servant Jonah, the son of Amittai, the prophet, which was of Gathhepher. 26 For the LORD saw the affliction of Israel, that it was very bitter: for there was not any shut up, nor any left, nor any helper for Israel. 27 And the LORD said not that he would blot out the name of Israel from under heaven: but he saved them by the hand of Jeroboam the son of Joash. 28 Now the rest of the acts of Jeroboam, and all that he did, and his might, how he warred, and how he recovered Damascus, and Hamath **[major cities of Syria, so the Syrians are subject to Israel when Amos writes]**, which belonged to Judah, for Israel, are they not written in the book of the chronicles of the kings of Israel? 29

And Jeroboam slept with his fathers, even with the kings of Israel; and Zachariah his son reigned in his stead.”

While we cannot possibly discuss all of the history leading up to and even encompassing the events of the prophecies of this book, with this general context now understood we can now begin to examine the Book of Amos:

KJV Amos 1:1 The words of Amos, who was among the herdmen of Tekoa, which he saw concerning Israel in the days of Uzziah king of Judah, and in the days of Jeroboam the son of Joash king of Israel, two years before the earthquake.

The name Amos means *burden*. Tekoa was a city of Judah fortified in the days of Rehoboam, the first king of Judah after Solomon, as can be seen in 2 Chronicles chapter 11. So Amos was *literally* a shepherd in Judah. This Jeroboam is Jeroboam II, who ruled over the northern kingdom of Israel from about 793 BC to about 753 BC. The reign of this Jeroboam, the son of Joash, is recounted in 2 Kings chapter 14. The prophet Hosea has his ministry around this same time, so Amos was certainly his contemporary, however Hosea's ministry must have lasted some time longer than that of Amos. The prophet Isaiah began his ministry in the time of this same Uzziah, who is also called Azariah and who ruled Judah until about 739 BC. Isaiah does not mention a king of Israel until Pekah in Isaiah chapter 7, who ruled around 740 BC. Therefore it is evident that Amos probably preceded Isaiah by at about 15 years, and perhaps even longer. While the prophecy of Amos knows no king in Israel except for Jeroboam, and the prophet foretells of Jeroboam's death, it cannot be told from the text how long Amos' ministry lasted but it in all likelihood ended before that death in 753 BC.

The phrase “two years before the earthquake” may itself be a prophecy, or it may be that these words were written later, and in retrospect. However verse 2 is a prophetic statement which seems to forebode that earthquake. The earthquake mentioned in several places in Amos is generally believed by archaeologists to have occurred circa 750 BC and to have been as high as 8.0 on the Richter scale. It may have been the model for the imagery projected in the prophecy of an earthquake in the last days, described in Isaiah chapter 2. Zechariah, a prophet of the much later second temple period, referred to this earthquake when he

wrote at Zechariah 14:5: “And ye shall flee to the valley of the mountains; for the valley of the mountains shall reach unto Azal: yea, ye shall flee, like as ye fled from before the earthquake in the days of Uzziah king of Judah: and the LORD my God shall come, and all the saints with thee.” Isaiah 5:25 also seems to be a look back at this earthquake, which Isaiah must have lived through in his younger years: “25 Therefore is the anger of the LORD kindled against his people, and he hath stretched forth his hand against them, and hath smitten them: and the hills did tremble, and their carcases *were* torn in the midst of the streets. For all this his anger is not turned away, but his hand *is* stretched out still.” It is possible, but not necessarily so, that Micah also was prophesying this earthquake at Micah 1:3-6, if indeed Micah began his prophetic ministry at the very beginning of the reign of Jotham, king of Judah.

2 And he said, The LORD will roar from Zion, and utter his voice from Jerusalem; and the habitations of the shepherds shall mourn, and the top of Carmel shall wither.

This seems to forebode the coming great earthquake which is mentioned in verse 1. The following verses of Amos are an oracle against Damascus. Syria was subjected to Israel by David. Damascus first revolted under Rezon in the days of Solomon (1 Kings chapter 11). In 1 Kings chapter 15, Damascus is still independent and in league with Judah against Israel.

3 Thus saith the LORD; For three transgressions of Damascus, and for four, I will not turn away the punishment thereof; because they have threshed Gilead with threshing instruments of iron: 4 But I will send a fire into the house of Hazael, which shall devour the palaces of Benhadad.

Hazael was anointed king by the prophet Elijah, which we see in 1 Kings 19: “15 And the LORD said unto him, Go, return on thy way to the wilderness of Damascus: and when thou comest, anoint Hazael *to be* king over Syria: 16 And Jehu the son of Nimshi shalt thou anoint *to be* king over Israel: and Elisha the son of Shaphat of Abelmeholah shalt thou anoint *to be* prophet in thy room. 17 And it shall come to pass, *that* him that escapeth the sword of Hazael shall Jehu slay: and him that escapeth from the sword of Jehu shall Elisha slay.” Ahab presumably ruled from 874-853 BC, and both Ahaziah and Joram (Jehoram) ruled over Israel before Jehu became king in 841 BC. In 1 Kings chapter 20 we see an account concerning “Benhadad

the king of Syria”. Now here it is possible that Benhadad is a reference to Hazael, and since the name presumably means *son of hadad*, a Syrian idol, it may simply be a title. Hazael's death is recorded in 2 Kings 13:24, where it is described that he is succeeded by his son, who is called Benhadad.

Benhadad the son of Hazael is known from 2 Kings chapters 8 through 12. An inscription bearing the name of Benhadad of Damascus was found in an ancient cemetery near Aleppo in northern Syria, dedicated “to his lord Melqart”, a pagan Syrian deity (*Ancient Near Eastern Texts Relating to the Old Testament*, Princeton University Press, J. Pritchard editor, 1969 [Hereinafter *ANET*], p. 655). However Hazael and Benhadad are also mentioned in other inscriptions.

The Shalmaneser of 2 Kings chapters 17 and 18 is known to archaeologists as Shalmaneser V, and he ruled in Assyria near the middle of the 8th century BC. As a rule, the Bible does not tell us much of what is happening outside of its own immediate scope, which is usually the events surrounding the capital cities of Israel, Samaria and Jerusalem. But by the middle of the 9th century BC, the time of Elijah, the Assyrians are already making conquests of the cities of the Syrians, Hittites and others of the north, and put Hamath, Damascus, Byblos, Sidon and Tyre under tribute. All of these things are known from ancient Assyrian inscriptions. From a basalt statute discovered by archaeologists which contained an inscription from the reign of Salmanassar III, who presumably ruled from 854-828 BC – which is consistent with the time of the rule of Ahab provided above, and the anointing of Hazael as king of Damascus in the days of Elijah, we have the following:

“I defeated Hadadezer of Damascus together with twelve princes, his allies. I stretched upon the ground 20,900 of his strong warriors like *šu-bi* [the meaning is unknown], the remnants of his troops I pushed into the Orontes river and they dispersed to save their lives; Hadadezer (himself) perished. Hazael, a commoner (literally: *son of a nobody*), seized the throne, called up a numerous army and rose against me. I fought with him and defeated him, taking the *chariots* of his camp. He disappeared to save his life. I marched as far as Damascus, his royal residence [and cut down] his gardens.” (*ANET*, p. 280) There were at least 4 campaigns described in the inscriptions by Salmanassar III against Damascus, but he never took

the inhabitants nor did he destroy the city.

So it is evident that in 1 Kings chapter 19 Yahweh tells Elijah to anoint Hazael king, and seems to be indicating that Syria's immediate attack against Israel under Hazael, seen in 1 Kings chapter 20, is by His commission as a punishment against Ahab. However at the same time from the north Assyria is encroaching upon Syria and the northern cities which were once subject to Israel. The Bible tells us that Hazael was anointed king by the prophet of Yahweh, however the Assyrians saw him as an interloper, the “son of a nobody”. Yet the Assyrian records corroborate the Old Testament accounts, albeit indirectly since they have an entirely different perspective. The prophet Jonah wrote during the time of these earlier Assyrian conquests, and for that reason he feared the Assyrians and had hoped that Yahweh would destroy them. Some years later Jeroboam II, the king of Israel at the time of Amos, subjected Syria to Israel once again.

In 2 Kings 14:28, speaking of this same time in which Amos is writing, it says of Jeroboam II: “Now the rest of the acts of Jeroboam, and all that he did, and his might, how he warred, and how he recovered



Damascus, and Hamath, *which belonged* to Judah, for Israel, are they not written in the book of the chronicles of the kings of Israel?” Of course, the victories of Jeroboam II were short-lived and within a few decades of his passing, all of the cities of Syria and Samaria itself would fall to the Assyrians.

5 I will break also the bar of Damascus, and cut off the inhabitant from the plain of Aven, and him that holdeth the sceptre from the house of Eden: and the people of Syria shall go into captivity unto Kir, saith the LORD.

Under Tiglath Pileser III, who presumably ruled Assyria from 744 to 727 BC, Assyria “conquered the town of Hadara, the inherited property of Rezon of Damascus, [the place where] he was born” (*ANET*, p. 282), fully verifying 2 Kings 16:9 where it says “9 And the king of Assyria hearkened unto him: for the king of Assyria went up against Damascus, and took it, and carried *the people* of it captive to Kir, and slew

Rezin.” The Assyrian inscription cited above goes on to describe many of the prisoners taken away by the Assyrians at this time, and says that “592 towns ... of the 16 districts of Damascus I destroyed (making them look) like hills of (ruined cities over which) the flood (had swept).” (*ANET*, p. 282)

In the text of Amos, the name Kir is not accompanied with the article, and the word simply means *wall*. Therefore the exact reference is ambiguous. The word appears as a prefix to the names of many cities, and is related to the word which provides the first syllable in the names Carthage and Carchemish, and which is also found in the names of many other walled cities. *Strong's Concordance* states that Kir was “a place in Assyria; also one in Moab” (7024), however this writer has not yet seen its name in actual inscriptions. There was a city named Kirkuk in ancient Mesopotamia, in a district formerly known as Arrapha, which is mentioned in several inscriptions, which still exists in Iraq today.



It seems that the Syrians had originated in Kir, as at Amos 9:7 Yahweh says in part “Have not I brought up Israel out of the land of Egypt? and the Philistines from Capthor, and the Syrians from Kir?” The ancient district of Padan-aram, known from Genesis chapters 25 through 46, is a name which means *plain of Aram*, and Aram is a name for that tribe which is akin to the Hebrews (Genesis 10:22 ff.). But the Hebrew name for Aram is usually translated as *Syrian* in the King James Version and in reference to their land it is translated as *Syria*. If the original land of Aram was in northern Mesopotamia, where Padan-aram is clearly located, then Kir must be there, and the Syrians of Damascus must have been deported back to that region from whence their ancestors had once come.

In the later annals of Sargon II, who ruled from 721 to 705 BC, there are records that not only did he destroy Samaria, the capital city of Israel, and take away 27,290 of its inhabitants into captivity, but in records

that are broken and quite incomplete, Damascus had suffered a similar fate. Hamath also was conquered by Sargon II around this same time, after the city rebelled under the leadership of “a cursed Hittite”, as the Assyrian records attest (*ANET*, pp. 284-285).

As an aside, in the even later Greek and Roman histories, the inhabitants of Cappadocia in eastern Anatolia are called “White Syrians”, however Herodotus merely refers to “the Cappadocians, whom we Greeks know by the name of Syrians” (*Histories*, 5.49 and cf. 1.72). The geographer Strabo merely explains that the Syrians of Cappadocia north of the Taurus Mountains lack the tanned skin of those who dwelt south of the Taurus Mountains, but that nevertheless they were Syrians and were therefore called “White Syrians” (*Geography*, 12.3.9). Strabo later took care to explain that while the Cappadocians were called “White Syrians”, the other Syrians were not black, for he makes a sarcastic comment, saying “as though some Syrians were black”, indicating that there certainly were not any black Syrians (*Geography*, 16.1.2).

While the cities of Syria were certainly populated with the people of Aram, they must also have been populated with many Israelites, since the cities of Syria were for a long time subject to Israel as we have already established here. This is also evident in the words of Isaiah 10:5-11, where several cities taken by the Assyrians are compared: “ 5 O Assyrian, the rod of mine anger, and the staff in their hand is mine indignation. 6 I will send him against an hypocritical nation, and against the people of my wrath will I give him a charge, to take the spoil, and to take the prey, and to tread them down like the mire of the streets. 7 Howbeit he meaneth not so, neither doth his heart think so; but it is in his heart to destroy and cut off nations not a few. 8 For he saith, Are not my princes altogether kings? 9 Is not Calno as Carchemish? is not Hamath as Arpad? is not Samaria as Damascus? 10 As my hand hath found the kingdoms of the idols, and whose graven images did excel them of Jerusalem and of Samaria; 11 Shall I not, as I have done unto Samaria and her idols, so do to Jerusalem and her idols?” In these comparisons, Calno and Hamath, cities in northern Syria, must have been inhabited by Israelites as Samaria was. Jeroboam II, as it says in 2 Kings 14:28, recovered Hamath for Israel. Arpad and Damascus may have been primarily Aramaean. Carchemish was of course the principle city of the

Hittites, also subjected by the Assyrians.

6 Thus saith the LORD; For three transgressions of Gaza, and for four, I will not turn away the punishment thereof; because they carried away captive the whole captivity, to deliver them up to Edom: 7 But I will send a fire on the wall of Gaza, which shall devour the palaces thereof: 8 And I will cut off the inhabitant from Ashdod, and him that holdeth the sceptre from Ashkelon, and I will turn mine hand against Ekron: and the remnant of the Philistines shall perish, saith the Lord GOD.

The beginning of the prophecy is realized in the days of Hezekiah, king of Judah, who ruled from about 729 BC. This is a couple of decades after Amos' time. Here it is in 2 Kings 18: "1 Now it came to pass in the third year of Hoshea son of Elah king of Israel, *that* Hezekiah the son of Ahaz king of Judah began to reign. 2 Twenty and five years old was he when he began to reign; and he reigned twenty and nine years in Jerusalem. His mother's name also *was* Abi, the daughter of Zachariah. 3 And he did *that which was* right in the sight of the LORD, according to all that David his father did. 4 He removed the high places, and brake the images, and cut down the groves, and brake in pieces the brasen serpent that Moses had made: for unto those days the children of Israel did burn incense to it: and he called it Nehushtan. 5 He trusted in the LORD God of Israel; so that after him was none like him among all the kings of Judah, nor *any* that were before him. 6 For he clave to the LORD, *and* departed not from following him, but kept his commandments, which the LORD commanded Moses. 7 And the LORD was with him; *and* he prospered whithersoever he went forth: and he rebelled against the king of Assyria, and served him not. 8 He smote the Philistines, *even* unto Gaza, and the borders thereof, from the tower of the watchmen to the fenced city. 9 And it came to pass in the fourth year of king Hezekiah, which *was* the seventh year of Hoshea son of Elah king of Israel, *that* Shalmaneser king of Assyria came up against Samaria, and besieged it."

Yet this did not totally destroy the Philistines, and we also see here that the Assyrian deportations of the northern kingdom of Israel had begun. Yet many of the people of the Philistines were also carried away captive by the Assyrians.

From the annals of Sargon II we read this, which is part of a longer description describing the conquest of

Philistia by this Assyrian king: "I besieged and conquered the cities Ashdod, Gath, Asdudimmu; I declared his [referring to Azuri, king of Ashdod] images, his wife, his children, all the possessions and treasures of his palace as well as the inhabitants of his country as booty. I reorganized the administration of these cities and settled therein people from the regions of the East which I had conquered personally..." (ANET, p. 286). Later, in the annals of Sargon II, that king takes offense that a "Greek commoner" had come to rule Ashdod, and the city is again besieged and defeated.




Sargon II

In Zechariah 9:6 there is a prophecy which states "6 And a bastard shall dwell in Ashdod, and I will cut off the pride of the Philistines." Of course, the Philistines were not bastards but had descended from Mizraim (Genesis 10:14). The prophecy does help to establish that the current inhabitants are indeed bastards, since the word *arab* means *mixed* and they are its fulfillment.

Yet here at this time long before Zechariah not quite all of the Philistines had yet been destroyed, which is clear from historic and Biblical records. Many of them must have escaped, where some of the children of Israel dwelling in those lands which were long subject to Israel must have escaped with them. In a different prophecy Isaiah says at 11:13-14: "13 The envy also of Ephraim shall depart, and the adversaries of Judah shall be cut off: Ephraim shall not envy Judah, and Judah shall not vex Ephraim. 14 But they shall fly upon the shoulders of the Philistines toward the west; they shall spoil them of the east together: they shall lay their hand upon Edom and Moab; and the children of Ammon shall obey them." Where the King James Version has *shoulders*, the Septuagint has *ships*.

In the annals of Sennacherib, who presumably ruled from 704 to 681 BC, Ashkelon was reduced and the king of Ashkelon and his entire family was deported to Assyria, and the city put under tribute with another Philistine appointed as king (*ANET*, p. 287). While the subsequent history of the city is murky, it is mentioned by Herodotus who seems to have visited it. There he says, among other things, that he found the “most ancient of all the temples” to the pagan idol “Celestial Aphrodite” (*Histories*, 1.105).

[The Babylonians too had once taken Ashkelon, and it is mentioned in a surviving fragment (48) of the poems of Alcaeus. Allusions to Greek mercenaries in the service of the Babylonians also survive in this poet (line 350), and are clarified by Strabo who quoted a more complete copy of his work than what we have left of it today.]



The Sennacherib Prism

Gaza was already a vassal state of the Assyrians, which is evident in the Annals of Sennacherib who presumably ruled Assyria from 704 to 681 BC (*ANET*, p. 288). In the records of Esar-Haddon, king of Assyria who ruled from 680 to 669 BC, we see that Gaza was under tribute to Assyria during this period (*ANET*, p. 291). That situation had not changed in the later reign of Ashurbanipal, who ruled from 668 to 633 BC (*ANET*, p. 294). It cannot be told in the inscriptions which I have access to just how Gaza had been subjected. Later, Gaza is listed in a fragmented inscription from the later rule of Nebuchadnezzar II

which lists the officials set over the various cities during the time of his administration, from 605 to 562 BC (*ANET*, p. 308).

The fate of the rest of the inhabitants of the cities of the Philistines is in part revealed in the annals of Sennacherib (704 to 681 BC):

“In the continuation of my campaign I besieged Beth-Dagon, Joppa, Banai-Barqa, Azuru, cities belonging to Sidqia who did not bow to my feet quickly (enough); I conquered them (and) carried their spoils away. The officials, the patricians and the (common) people of Ekron – who had thrown Padi, their king, into fetters (because he was) loyal to (his) solemn oath (sworn) by the god Ashur, and had him handed over to Hezekiah, the [Judahite] (and) he (Hezekiah) held him in prison, unlawfully, as if he (Padi) be an enemy – had become afraid and had called (for help) upon the kings of Egypt (and) the bowmen, the chariot(-corps) and the cavalry of the king of Ethiopia, an army beyond counting- and they (actually) had come to their assistance. In the plain of Eltekeh, their battle lines were drawn up against me and they sharpened their weapons. Upon a trust(-inspiring) oracle (given) by Ashur, my lord, I fought with them and inflicted a defeat upon them. In the melee of the battle, I personally captured alive the Egyptian charioteers with the(ir) princes and also the charioteers of the king of Ethiopia. I besieged Eltekeh (and) Timnah, conquered (them) and carried their spoils away. I assaulted Ekron and killed the officials and patricians who had committed the crime and hung their bodies on poles surrounding the city. The (common) citizens who were guilty of minor crimes, I considered prisoners of war. The rest of them, who were not accused of crimes and misbehavior, I released. I made Padi, their king, come from Jerusalem and set him as their lord on the throne, imposing upon him the tribute (due) to me (as) overlord.” (*ANET*, pp. 287-288) The very next paragraph in the same inscription describes Sennacherib's taking of the 46 fenced cities of Judah, the deportation of over 200,000 of their inhabitants, and the siege of Jerusalem, which are all recorded in the Bible.

The Bible, especially considering its great antiquity, is found to be a very reliable book both historically and prophetically when it is compared to the secular records.

Land of our birth, we pledge to thee

By Rudyard Kipling

1906 A.D.

*Land of our birth, we pledge to thee
our love and toil in the years to be,
when we are grown and take our place
as men and women with our race.*

*Father in heaven, who lovest all,
O help thy children when they call,
that they may build from age to age
an undefiled heritage.*

*Teach us to bear the yoke in youth,
with steadfastness and careful truth,
that, in our time, thy grace may give
the truth whereby the nations live.*

*Teach us to rule ourselves alway,
controlled and cleanly night and day,
that we may bring, if need arise,
no maimed or worthless sacrifice.*

*Teach us to look in all our ends,
on thee for Judge, and not our friends,
that we, with thee, may walk uncowed
by fear or favor of the crowd.*

*Teach us the strength that cannot seek,
by deed or thought, to hurt the weak,
that, under thee, we may possess
man's strength to comfort man's distress.*

*Teach us delight in simple things,
and mirth that has no bitter springs,
forgiveness free of evil done,
and love to all men 'neath the sun.*

*Land of our birth, our faith, our pride,
for whose dear sake our fathers died;
O Motherland, we pledge to thee
head, heart and hand through the years to be.*





Homosexual 'Marriage' Legalised in the UK

The acceleration of moral degeneracy and perversion in the UK is currently being spearheaded by the Prime Minister, David Cameron who has held a party for 'gay' activists in Downing Street and said he wants to export same-sex marriage all over the world.

In spite of the known strength of feeling against this legislation, Cameron has chosen to ignore the wishes of more than 700,000 UK citizens who registered their objections and hold a drinks reception at Number 10 to celebrate the passage of the same-sex marriage Bill.

Boast

David Cameron gave a speech boasting about the speed with which he rushed the legislation through Parliament.

And he said he would send the team of civil servants who worked on the Bill across the globe to show how it is done.

Cameron also said passing the same-sex marriage Bill was just the start, and that he wanted to see gay rights issues pressed in schools.

Export

At the Downing Street party the Prime Minister said: "I've told the bill team I'm now going to reassign them because, of course, all over the world people would have been watching this piece of legislation."

"We've set something, I think, of an example of how

to pass good legislation in good time. Many other countries are going to want to copy this."

Welby: We must obey God not popular opinion

Nevertheless, popular opinion on 'gay' marriage is "not a case for changing obedience to God", the Archbishop of Canterbury Justin Welby, the Primate of All England, has said.

But Archbishop Welby opined, it would be "foolish" to ignore the "revolution" caused by same-sex marriage coming into law.

Revolution

Justin Welby, who voted against 'gay' marriage in the House of Lords, said he had heard the "roar of revolution" when he listened to the debate about the legislation.

Overwhelming

The Archbishop has recently said the Church must accept an overwhelming change in cultural attitudes.

He insisted the Church is not changing its beliefs about sexual ethics, but more should be done to tackle homophobic behaviour.

Consequently, The Daily Telegraph reported that he had approached 'gay' rights group Stonewall for help to develop a teaching programme for Church of England schools.

Redefined & Recreated



During the House of Lords debate on the Marriage (Same Sex Couples) Bill, Archbishop Welby said marriage would be “abolished, redefined and recreated”.

So whilst the Archbishop can clearly hear the 'roar of revolution, he is selectively deaf to the word of Yahweh and the sinful, destructive nature of homosexuality. His approach to the aggressive Homosexual Stonewall group is seen by them as a weak, placatory measure. Even so, it will allow them into schools to brain wash our children. How any Christian could even countenance such an idea knowing that in addition, reducing the age of consent is now top priority on the gay rights agenda, beggars belief.

Meanwhile , Her Majesty the Queen has given her Royal Assent to the Bill legalising 'gay marriage' in England and Wales. The Bill is now an Act of Parliament.

During Her Coronation on 2nd June 1953, and before she was anointed and crowned, Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth was asked by Archbishop Fisher, inter alia:

‘Will you to the utmost of your power maintain the Laws of God and the true profession of the Gospel?’

And the Queen replied: *‘All this I solemnly promise to do.’*

Next the Moderator of the General Assembly of the Church of Scotland presented the Bible to the Queen. Between them, the Archbishop and Moderator said to her: *‘Our gracious Queen: to keep your majesty ever mindful of the Law and the Gospel of God as the Rule for the whole life and government of Christian Princes, we present you with this book, the most valuable thing that this world affords. Here is Wisdom; This is the royal Law; these are the lively oracles of God.’* Thus Royal Assent is now reduced to mere formality as the Queen reneges her solemn promises.

Coalition for Marriage [C4M]

The Christian Institute's Colin Hart, C4M's campaign director, said: “Mr Cameron needs to remember that the Coalition for Marriage has nearly 700,000 supporters, nearly six times the number of members of the Conservative Party.

“They are just ordinary men and women, not part of the ruling elite. They are passionate, motivated and determined to fight on against a law that renders terms like husband and wife meaningless and threatens one of the foundations of the institution of marriage: fidelity and faithfulness.

“These concepts may not matter to the leaders of the three main political parties, who are drawn from a very narrow liberal political class, but they do matter to people up and down the country who believe that marriage is special, unique and the bedrock of stable families.”

Homosexual marriage is an abomination in the sight of Yahweh.

For this cause God gave them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature: And likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompence of their error which was meet. And even as they did not like to retain God in their hearts – Romans 1²⁶⁻²⁸

"But the men of Sodom were wicked and sinners before the Lord exceedingly." Gen. 13:13



Who Am I to Judge a Gay Priest? asks the 'vicar of Christ' on earth

Michael Hoffman

[A traditional Roman Catholic who does well in illustrating the corruption of the institution over the centuries, Hoffman is nevertheless a universalist. While we at the Saxon Messenger wholly reject any form of universalism, and can demonstrate that the original meaning of the word catholic had nothing to do with universalism as it is known today, Hoffman's work on exposing Roman Catholic corruption is still quite good. Editor]

The Renaissance Catholic Church's embrace of usury was accomplished under a host of weasel words and euphemisms which have bedazzled and befuddled true believers in the Church of Rome since 1515. The encyclical "Vix Pervenit" of the usury advocate Pope Benedict XIV is a case in point. It was 95% anti-usury and 5% double talk that created an escape clause permitting certain types of usury. It is defended to this day by certain mind-bombed "conservative Catholic scholars" as a bastion against usury.

From instances such as this we should know that it is a major blunder to imagine that the double talk and fork-tongued lucubrations of the current Pope Francis are a product of a "Vatican II mentality of the 1960s." If you believe that, then the Vatican Cryptocracy has you wrapped in its mind control as tightly as a spider ensnares a fly. Let's banish the amnesia: "Vix Pervenit" was issued in 1745, not 1965.

Observe how Pope Francis approaches the sodomite issue. The New York Times reports that he stated to reporters aboard the papal jet, "If someone is gay and he searches for the Lord and has good will, who am I

to judge?" (Cf. "Pope Says He Will Not Judge Gay Priests," NY Times (online), July 29, 2013).


Did he actually use the Orwellian Newspeak word for sodomy -- "gay"? If so, that in itself is a grave failure to call a thing that which it is.

Do you spot the hook in the pope's double talk? Do you see the escape clause he has given his right wing? He doesn't say he refuses to judge homosexual acts, only that he refuses to judge if some priest is a homosexual -- this gives his right wing the chance to suppose he is referring to a celibate priest of a "homosexual orientation." That's the escape clause his right wing supporters will use to tamp down the uproar: "The pope is only saying he will not judge priests of good faith who are of a gay orientation. He is not endorsing gay sex." (In the days ahead there will also be subsequent Vatican "clarifications" intended to serve as damage control to patch wounded right wing sensibilities).

The supporters of "Pope Francis" on the left, meanwhile, will seize on the the obvious reckless symbolism of his statement and interpret his words

broadly and in the manner most people, including youth, will perceive them --it's not morally objectionable to be a sodomite "if you seek the Lord" while doing so.

If the pope's homosexual priests were of a neo-Nazi instead of a sodomite orientation, I'd bet you dollars to doughnuts that this pontiff would judge them right into the Inferno's eternal barbecue pit. But when it comes to priests who simply yearn for anal sex, it is not something that falls under his judgment as mere Pontifex Maximus.



Imagine some sexually confused adolescent Catholic boy of 15 or 16 who is drawn to girls mostly, but somewhat tempted by boys, reading today's headlines about the pope. If that Catholic boy chooses a "gay orientation," Francis wants him to know that as pope he does not have the power or authority to "judge" him. If the boy goes out and gets sodomized, the Catholic right wing will reply, "It's not the Holy Father's fault, he didn't approve of sodomy, he only withheld judgement on sodomite orientation."

From our own research it is becoming increasingly clear that as the *sterility* of money-breeding-money (usury) was incrementally permitted by the Church of Rome beginning in the early 16th century, the practice of sodomy also rose exponentially inside the high ranks of the Roman clergy beginning in the early 16th century, shrouded in a prelatical secrecy which has endured from then until now. We draw your attention to the patent fact that sodomy is also a form of

sterility.


Pope Francis is a reflection of this pro-sodomite current, formerly underground and now emerging in the open as Revelation-of-the Method, while the media gives its enthusiastic imprimatur to this latter day Uriah Heep as the pope of "humility."

It's no wonder that millions of Latin American Christians are fleeing Rome for the chapels of Protestant churches that do not deviate from bedrock Biblical, Apostolic and Patristic Christianity. They don't have an "infallible" pope over them to misdirect them into losing their eternal salvation. They are free to choose to adhere to Biblical Truth and defy the sodomite movement, independent of Rome's latest coffin-riding grave digger, the most recent in a line stretching back through more than forty popes of usury. Catholics who are not brain dead need to begin to dare to think deeply and with the fear of God, about the deception and destruction that has been wrought by popes of Rome *since 1515*, tracking the trail of both prelatical usury *and* sodomy inside the Roman institution for the past 498 years.

This ungodly rot did not commence with the Enlightenment or the French Revolution or Vatican II. If you believe that hoax, which is a staple fiction among "traditional" Catholics, then you will never possess the ability to decode the latest manifestation of Mystery Babylon that has the putative "Vicar of Christ on earth" saying he cannot judge sodomite-prone Catholic priests. This type of boldface papal lying is nothing short of a challenge to the hypnotized sheeple to see if we're going to take this satanic spew lying down, or whether we will stand up and witness for the truth of God's Word and that of His apostles, patriarchs and saints.

Finally, let's promise ourselves that we will henceforth quit trafficking in Orwellian Newspeak, which imprisons our minds by causing us to think in the occluding manner in which the hidden persuaders frame this controversy. *This is about sex in the rectal sewer of the human body. There is absolutely nothing innocent and joyful ("gay") about it*, so let us cease our submission to the doublethink agenda imposed on us by our media masters when we are contending for the purity of our children against a ravening wolf in sheep's --or in this case, St. Peter's -- clothing.

Hoffman is the author of [Usury in Christendom: The Mortal Sin that Was and Now is Not](#), which is banned by just about every Catholic publication and organization that comes to mind. www.revisionisthistory.org



South

Africa

Today

When South Africa was governed by a racist white minority, it was scorned by the West ... Now that a racist, black majority government controls the country ... it's the toast of the West." Classical liberal columnist, writer and former South African Ilana Mercer deconstructs the new democratic South Africa, a country where government corruption, rape and murder are all in a day's work. Scholarly and readable, Mercer gives a detailed account of the country's history, from the early days of the Dutch settlers, all the way through to Colonial rule, apartheid and beyond to the nation's current state of being dismantled from the bottom up, quickly becoming in the authors words "another Islamist friendly, failed African state."

Continually correlated with past and present day America, as the title suggests the book carries with it a lesson. A clarion call if you will to anyone who respects the rule of law and the basic principles of liberty. She illustrates how with relative ease a society can wither away, or in South Africa's case be hacked to pieces with a machete.

"Into the Cannibal's Pot: Lessons for America from Post-Apartheid South Africa" tells the true story of

South Africa not the sugar-coated, multi-cultural utopia the Western liberal media and their conservative counterparts will have you believe."

Into the Cannibal's Pot reveals what happens when an advanced nation built by European people upon Christian principles is deceived by the Cultural Marxist dream of racial egalitarianism and in the name of justice and liberty surrenders political power to an African majority that had never shown the capacity to create or sustain a free, just, and civilized society. What we have witnessed since the end of Apartheid is African political leaders in South Africa dragging that nation down to the same violent, primitive and tribal existence found in every other failed African government, and for the same reasons.

Ms. Mercer's book tells the real story of South Africa in the face of almost universal silence by the Liberal Media in the West. Her candor and lack of political correctness is refreshing and her application of the lessons of South Africa to America is instructive. Her book is a timely warning of what can happen when a nation leaves its core principles for the sake of political expedience and phony compassion and equality. Available from [Amazon](#).



White Genocide? Surely not...

How long will white liberals deny that white genocide is happening in South Africa? Maybe they'll change their tune when something like this happens to their mothers?

Mrs Helen Lotter, 57, and her bedridden mother Alice, 76, were tortured to death with broken beer bottles in their anuses and vaginas – the attack had been so horrendous that the post-mortem examiner was unable to find any of Helen Lotter’s sexual organs at all; her breasts were partially cut off and broken bottle-shards were inserted in her vagina and anus as part of the hours of torture she and her mother Alice had endured –her cervix and uterus were completely shredded.

The mother’s front teeth were bashed out; her entire body was ‘covered in bruises, chafing and stabbing wounds. Her sexual parts were mutilated extensively also internally. The old farm woman had died due to ‘asphyxiation after breathing in blood from penetrating stabbing wounds in her neck and throat’.

They were then both left to die. Mrs Helen Lotter’s death-bed confession identified the killers as a gardener, Joseph Hlongwane, 22 who had only started working for them a few months earlier, and an associate Joseph Khumalo, 21.


The forensic DNA and fingerprint evidence confirmed Helen Lotter’s death-bed confession. “Kill the Boer” had been daubed in the women’s blood on the farmhouse walls.


ANC mayor, Mrs Mataba Leeto led an anti-Boer protest at the law court where the trial was held. They chanted genocidal hate-speech songs such as ‘Kill the Boer, kill the Farmer’ in support of the two killers’ getting bail.

Mrs Leeto has not been put on public record as expressing any kind of sympathy nor outrage over the way the two Lotter women had been tortured to death.

Instead, she led a group which was protesting against the ‘racism’ of local Afrikaner residents who had held a ‘re-enactment’ of the way in which the women were tortured to death to illustrate the community’s deep anger.

Both men were found guilty and sent to prison.





David Kelly from the Welsh Valleys graduated with his DSc in microbiology from Linacre College, Oxford in 1971. He joined the Civil Service in 1984 and was acting head of the Porton Down 'Defence' Microbiology Division for 10 years.

The Controversial Death of British Bio-warfare Expert Dr David Kelly

It is now ten years to the month since the British bio-weapons expert and UN weapons inspector, Dr David Kelly was found dead in mysterious circumstances in a wood near his home. No one has been charged or brought to justice as the State continues with its ludicrous narrative that Kelly committed suicide. Friends and medical professionals have been asking for a complete review of the case and for a formal public Coroner's Inquest to be held in view of the white wash delivered by the Hutton Inquiry instigated by the Blair Government.

Background

Dr Kelly was a member of the UNSCOM team finding and disabling germ and chemical weapons in Iraq. He would have seen the irony that almost all of these had been supplied to Iraq by western nations, including an anthrax strain that was originally cultured from a cow in Oxfordshire before WW2. He visited 37 times between 1991 and 1998, when the team was pulled out before the bombing campaign of Operation Desert Fox. (He must have known that UNSCOM was also a cover for spying; the coordinates of defence systems and much else were being recorded for the later destruction of Babylon.


He had the highest level of security clearance from the SIS of the US and the UK but he was not on the payroll of MI6, it is said. He was attached to the Proliferation and Arms Control Secretariat of the Ministry of Defence whilst the Foreign and Commonwealth Office paid him. His base remained the Defence Science and Technology Laboratory at Porton Down. He translated 'intelligence' into the language of science and was required to explain scientific matters within his ambit for the media when asked.' *Dr. David Halpin*
<http://www.globalresearch.ca/political-assassination-and-the-crimes-of-war-the-unnatural-death-of-dr-david-kelly/5343218>

Too Many Inconvenient Truths

'As an expert in biological warfare employed by the British Ministry of Defence, Dr Kelly also became a very inconvenient figure when he met for an unauthorized discussion with a BBC reporter Andrew Gilligan, about the true state of the government's dossier concerning Iraq's supposed stockpiles of chemical weapons.

Dr. Kelly disputed claims that Iraq had the capability to fire biological weapons within 45 minutes, a major British argument for invading Iraq the second

time. While Kelly said he believed it likely Iraq secreted some modicum of chemical weapons following international inspections, he was dubious as to their extent and immediate threat. Following his own inspections in Iraq, Kelly became more certain of his suspicions and spoke to a reporter from *The Observer* to state that Iraq did not possess mobile germ-warfare laboratories and that Iraqi claims of such devices being innocuous **hydrogen-balloon** [in the Guardian June 2003] production facilities were entirely accurate.



Yet what might have turned into an embarrassment for the British government and a serious impediment to its second war on Iraq was all but erased from memory when Dr. Kelly decided to kill himself. Despite numerous supportive letters and emails, a supposedly despondent Kelly went for his usual evening walk and ingested 29 painkiller tablets. Then for good measure, he cut his wrists. No one saw him do it and his family says they didn't believe it, but what would they know? Kelly was gone, along with any hope of others in similar positions coming forward to prevent thousands of deaths and billions squandered. Naturally, Dr. Kelly's keen sense of timing made it a good day for government and


gun-sellers the world over.' [Too Many Inconvenient Truths](#) from [The Truth Seeker](#)

The official story is so outrageous and his death was so perfectly timed to benefit the invasion of Iraq that there is widespread public opinion that Kelly was murdered to silence him.

The story goes like this. On a lovely sunny afternoon, Dr Kelly decides to go for a walk having promised his daughter Rachael they would go and look at a newborn foal later that evening. As a proud father he was also looking forward to her forthcoming marriage and he showed no signs of someone contemplating suicide. There was no suicide note, no notes to his family. Nothing!

The official story said that he bled to death after cutting an artery in his wrist with a *blunt* gardening knife, but two paramedics who attended the scene, said there was only a small amount of blood on plants near Kelly's body and a patch of blood the size of a coin on his trousers.

Official Government Inquiry Presided over by Lord Hutton – August 2003



Hutton's was a 'non-statutory' public inquiry without the powers of an inquest and without a jury to allow justice to be seen to be done. Instead Hutton went

through the motions and didn't even call witnesses like Chief Inspector Alan Young, the police officer leading the (non)-investigation into Kelly's death, or his close friend Mai Pedersen to give evidence about his arm being too weak to cut his own wrist and his well-known inability to swallow tablets.

Her claims are backed by 13 specialist doctors, who have compiled a dossier rejecting the Hutton conclusion on the grounds that the cut to the ulnar artery could not have caused death. Why was the ulnar artery severed rather than the radial, which is how the cut would "naturally" have been made, from left to right, with the right hand?

In addition, it was recently disclosed that Dr Kelly's death certificate was not properly completed. It was not signed by a doctor or coroner and does not state a place of death, leaving open the possibility he died somewhere other than where his body was found.

One reader wrote in The Guardian Letters July 19th 2013, 'Hutton's proceedings applied no standard of proof. The standard of proof required in English law for a coroner to pass a suicide verdict is the same for a judge who finds a murderer guilty: beyond reasonable doubt. No cross-examination of the pathologist occurred, no second opinion from another pathologist was sought and no evidence was taken under oath. A suicide verdict not only has to be proved beyond reasonable doubt, but also the intent to commit suicide has to be proved. The deciding factor that brought the pathologist to the conclusion that Dr Kelly intended to take his own life was that he had deliberately removed his glasses and wristwatch. However, the pathologist failed to explain how the evidence pointed at Kelly removing the glasses and wristwatch and not a third

party...

There remains much public concern over the death of Dr David Kelly, but far greater concern over the state of the British justice system'.

Furthermore, the pruning knife has been revealed to have had no fingerprints on it nor the tablet bubble packs.

As recently as May 2011, Miles Goslett – Daily Mail

May 14, 2011 revealed that a helicopter mysteriously landed at the scene of Dr David Kelly's death shortly after the body was found.

The aircraft only remained on the ground for five minutes before leaving, suggesting it either deposited or collected somebody or something.



Details from its flight log, released under the Freedom of Information Act, show that the helicopter – hired by Thames Valley police – landed at Harrowdown Hill in Oxfordshire at 10.55am on July 18, 2003, 90 minutes after the body was discovered by volunteer search teams.

Significantly, the flight log has been heavily redacted, making it impossible to know who was on board or what its exact purpose was.

The flight was not mentioned in oral evidence at the Hutton Inquiry, set up by Tony Blair to investigate Dr Kelly's death.

Campaigners are aggrieved by a mysterious decision to classify all evidence relating to the post-mortem for 70 years. Even state papers only carry a 30 year classification.

The twenty first century resource wars proceed unimpeded.



Haut de la Garenne

When Journalism Works

Leah McGrath Goodman

One year ago, a group of financial and political journalists put their heads together to tackle a very onerous task: raise awareness about what is happening on the highly influential island of Jersey – the largest of the Channel Islands, a global tax shelter of some stature and a so-called “peculiar possession” of the British Crown known for its sailing and golfing as well as hiding money and committing [unspeakable crimes against children](#) [The Guardian 14th March 2009].

The goal was threefold: restore my UK Visa, eliminate a travel ban initiated by Jersey to keep me off the island and, most importantly, see to it that the children who were victimized for decades at the Jersey children’s home [Haut de la Garenne](#) – nearly 200 of whom are still alive to tell their tale – were no longer willfully ignored.

Today, I am very happy to report that all three of those objectives have been reached, thanks to a group of intrepid journalists whom I am honored to call colleagues.

Because of them, I have just returned from my first trip to the U.K. and Jersey since my [500-day travel ban](#) [Express newspaper January 27th 2013 and [warmly welcomed by U.K. Parliament](#)].

Journalists from [The Guardian](#), [BBC](#), [Sunday Express](#)

and [VICE](#) magazine, in addition to Jersey’s [Team Voice](#), led by citizen journalist-cum-bloggers, [Neil McMurray](#) and [Rico Sorda](#), contributed to my pieces on this blog and in [CNN/Fortune](#), paving the way for the first glimmer of real hope for Jersey’s victims and the start of what may soon be some palpable changes on this idyllic island – a place that, while living in London, was my home away from home.

Politicians in both the U.K. and on the island also have put in long hours to bring these issues to the forefront.

Right after arriving in London on my visit, I was able to meet and personally thank the Member of Parliament most responsible for restoring my visa, [John Hemming](#). Because of him, I received the first “writer visa” to be issued by Great Britain in years.

While the reasons for my travel ban remain under investigation (this is rather awkward, as it consists of the U.K. government effectively investigating itself) the MP and I had a chance to catch up at the Palace of Westminster, sit out on the back terrace overlooking the Thames [and film this](#) with John Hemming MP in June this year.

My trip to Jersey brought me a still warmer welcome. Many of the islanders stopped to ask questions, the

politicians had news to share and, dining out, some of the restaurant owners came by my table to shake my hand. It was a truly humbling experience.

Hope For Jersey

As for the promising changes on the island of Jersey: This week, members of Jersey's parliament voted unanimously for a [senior U.K. judge](#) Sally Bradley, QC to lead a £6 million Committee of Inquiry into the island's legacy of atrocities against children. The significance of this cannot be overstated. One year ago, it looked as though the inquiry would never get off the ground. The fact that Jersey's legislators were unanimous in casting their votes after years of infighting and objections means they finally realize the island must give this matter a proper airing.

The reason the inquiry has taken some time is somewhat understandable. Jersey's economic position is delicate... The island is almost completely dependent on inflows of private wealth. As a tax shelter, the buttoned-down image the island presents to the world is of utmost importance. If Jersey is seen in an unflattering light, it runs the risk of driving its persnickety, white-shoe clients away. And that would be devastating for all who live there.

While on the island, I worked closely with a team of journalists from the U.K., [interviewing victims](#), meeting with various officials and piecing together not only how the island became synonymous with private wealth, but also how it became a destination for those seeking to prey on children. A culture of concealment among some of the island's top bureaucrats, with assists from the U.K. mainland, appears to have acted as a buffer against bringing many of the major offenders to justice.

Predators Over Children?

To this day, high-ranking officials in Jersey's government, judiciary, church and police force stand accused of participating in, abetting, or ignoring instances of appalling crimes against children and the

island's most vulnerable. Some of the worst offenders still walk the streets in plain sight of their victims. What happened in Jersey happened quietly and it happened to its most defenseless, but that does not make it any less deserving of redress.

I purposely refrain from using the term "child abuse" here, because it does not even begin to describe what happened to these children. These children were subjected to acts unimaginable, on par with the war crimes of the Balkans, Rwandan genocide and the

Holocaust. These children were not merely raped; they were subjected to horrendous torture on a daily basis, their bones crushed, skulls cracked, bodies burned, souls broken. If they lived through it, they were sorry to have lived, because it only brought more of the same. For many, suicide was the only escape – and a welcome one.

The Committee of Inquiry has been given unprecedented powers to question what took place on the island and why. The judge approved by Jersey's parliament this Tuesday, Sally Bradley, has 35 years of experience in family law and has worked on cases involving severe harm to children for the past 12 years. Bradley was chosen in consultation with a prominent victims' group on the island, which observers in Jersey take to be a good sign.

Jersey's Opportunity To Heal

Jersey now faces an important moment in its history. Until now, it has been buffeted by scandal, fearing permanent [damage to its reputation and the livelihood of its people if the truth should come out](#) [Jersey Evening Post 19th October 2012]. In 2011, the year of my banning, the island's top power broker and de facto foreign minister, Sir Philip Bailhache, openly lamented on his blog in a piece entitled My Manifesto: "The reputation of the states in the Island has seldom been lower."

That fear was reflected in the statements of the victims we interviewed, who wondered if they would ever wake up to an island home capable of hearing them, believing them and not covering its ears to their



suffering. “If they could only acknowledge that it happened, it happened and apologize, I could be free,” one said.

For the better part of a century, the island has weathered wars, heartbreak and strife. It is not in need of more battle anguish and bullying. It is in need of healing and relief. For the islanders to use this moment as a way to inflict more pain on one another would be to squander an opportunity to bravely face what has happened, forgive each other and themselves.

The Committee of Inquiry affords the island a chance to do so.

Jersey cannot undo what has been done. But it can draw a line in the sand that puts its dark past behind it so it can reach out a conciliatory hand to the victims

and lay the groundwork for redemption and rebirth.

LMG


Jersey can be viewed as a microcosm of the Western World ' demonstrating what is going wrong in most democracies with their polity, media and business interests.

A Jersey blogger writes in response to this article:

'Much [still] remains secret in Jersey because the ruling cliques keep it behind closed doors and “in house” and will not allow a functioning FOI [Freedom of Information] law. In spite of this, we are given an unusually clear view of “how it works” because the cliques have been historically untouchable which has bred a brazen overconfidence, which has led to breathtaking carelessness.'

[Control of the media has been a key tool of paedophiles in Jersey as everywhere else – Editor]





Blacklisted by History

Christine B Miller



My name is NoOne, Ulysses told the Cyclops, Polyphemos when asked his name. The ogre, his one eye poked out by Ulysses, and his companions, stumbled out of his cave crying, "NoOne has blinded me, NoOne wants to kill me." The neighboring ogres shouted back, "If no one has blinded you, and no one wants to kill you, why are you making such a commotion disturbing our sleep?" Help for Polyphemos was not forthcoming, which allowed Ulysses and his crew to reach the safety of their ship.

The Jews use a similar ruse with this variation. They do not call themselves NoOne but AnyOne. Let me explain. The history books call the 1917 November Revolution in Russia either the Russian Revolution, or The Communist revolution when it should be named the Judeo/Communist Revolution. Without Jews the Russians would have been spared the Jewish Communist takeover of their country.

After World War II the Jews who had not perished in the German "Holocaust" were still there sitting in the camps waiting to go to Palestine. However they no longer went under the name Jews but DPs (displaced persons).

The Jews, Icahn, Miliken, Boesky, Goldsmith, Jacobs, etc. who plundered American corporations (their tools were junk bonds) were called raiders. Hollywood showed a noble raider in *Pretty Woman*. After having been deregulated (deregulation pushed by the Jew, Milton Friedman) the Savings and Loan companies were "persuaded" to replace sound investments with junk bonds, The junk bonds went belly up, so did the Savings and Loan companies. Since the savings were government guaranteed, the taxpayers, you and me,

were out \$500 billions. The Jews who plundered Russia, impoverishing the man in the street, found for themselves a ridiculous Oligarch (oligo - few, arch - rule).

Why are we in the war against Iraq? The Jews who put us there use the AnyOne name, Neoconservatives (neos - new, conservare - preserve). What a misnomer. The Neoconservatives do not preserve, they destroy. I have yet to see a Perle, Golde or Silverstein name when I watch the news stories concerning Americans killed in Iraq.

That brings me to the book by M. Stanton Evans *Blacklisted by History: The Untold Story of Senator Joe McCarthy*. Evans' dedication reads: *For my mother Josephine Stanton Evans who knew it all along*. What did Evans' mother know all along? She knew that McCarthy was right, namely that there was a Communist conspiracy permeating the whole American government. In the 1950s Jewish world dominance was still achievable through international Communism of which the Soviet Union was the flagship. The Jewish attempt at world dominance now goes under the name of Globalism. The Jews easily shifted their alliance to their present flagship, the United States.

In the index of Evans' book there are plenty of Jewish sounding names but the words Jew or Jewish do not appear. Again the Jews are NoOne. *Blacklisted By History* is the title of the book. But history does not blacklist, people blacklist, in this case people who control the media, the Jews. The Jewish media has managed to make McCarthyism synonymous with "witch hunt". The men, women and children accused of being witches were innocent. Horses and cows did

not die on account of their evil spells. People did not sicken and the harvest did not fail on account of them. Similarly the men and women accused by McCarthy of harboring communist sympathies and working for the communist cause were innocent, patriotic Americans unjustly accused. The analogy is false. The witches were innocent but the people accused by McCarthy were not.

That which Evans' mother knew all along my educated friends in Boston did not. When husband and wife badmouthed McCarthy I asked them to listen to what I knew about the man.



The Case Malmedy. During the last German offensive, the "Ardennenoffensive" (December, 1944), 71 American soldiers were killed. The American government accused the Germans of having killed these soldiers after they had surrendered. No corpse however showed a head wound delivered at close range as was claimed. After the war members of the SS unit commanded by Jochen Peiper were tortured, a lieutenant Pearl being especially eager to extract confessions. A number of tortured German soldiers committed suicide. On July 16, 1946, 43 of the accused were condemned to death, 22 received life sentences and eight long prison sentences. The death sentences were not carried out, but for years these German soldiers were under the threat that every Friday they might have to face the gallows at Landsberg. As time passed the death sentences were changed to imprisonment. On Christmas Day in 1956

the last, Peiper, was released.

I quote from *Blacklisted by History* (footnote, p. 33) "*Malmedy*" was an atrocity case from World War II in which American soldiers were slaughtered by the Nazis. Later numerous German soldiers were interrogated, put on trial, and convicted in a U.S. military court for complicity in the murders. There were allegations that the defendants had been subject to torture and inhumane treatment to extract confessions.

The issue was somewhat similar to the Abu Ghraib episode in the war against Iraq. McCarthy became the main Senate spokesman questioning the methods of interrogation of the German prisoners. That was new to my friends.

In *Blacklisted by History* I met two old acquaintances, the German Jew and Communist, Wilhelm Muenzenberg, and his fellow Jew and coworker, Leo Katz. After World War I both were active in the attempted subjugation of Germany to Communist rule.

When Hitler came to power in January of 1933 they took abode in France from where they directed atrocity propaganda against Nazi Germany. In the Spanish Civil War they supported the Red Republic with their propaganda machine.

I quote from *Blacklisted by History* (p. 491): *Matthew told the House committee, naïve and busy people could be hoodwinked into lending their names to the Red causes that looked good on the surface but were something else on close inspection. He cited the fancy Communist newspaper Ce Soir in France (a Willie Munzenberg production), which on approach of its first anniversary solicited and received greetings from some big Hollywood names – Clark Gable, Robert Taylor, James Cagney, and even Shirley Temple.*


Let us call a spade a spade and a Jew a Jew.

Christine Miller's website can be found at www.cbmillier.com

Christine B. Miller (nee Postenrieder) was born in 1935 in Muensterhausen, a village about 40 km. southeast of Augsburg, Bavaria. She therefore experienced the Nazi reign and period of occupation with heightened awareness. At age 21 she immigrated to the United States. She and her husband live in Wisconsin and have raised three children.

Gardasil

is the HPV vaccine, touted to fight cervical cancer but what they are not telling you is that thousands of girls are having adverse reactions, some have even died



HPV Vaccines Can Kill And They Do! Christina England

On every packet of cigarettes sold, there is a warning, *Smoking Kills*, to deter people from damaging their health by smoking. Governments around the world decided to put this warning on every packet of cigarettes due to the high death rate caused by the toxic effects of nicotine and other additives.

It is a great pity that these governments do not apply the same forward-thinking standards to HPV vaccines and the information sheets offered to parents. Based on the evidence, these vaccines should also carry a

government-issued health warning, stating *HPV Vaccines Can Kill*.

The latest statistics published on the United States VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System) in June 2013 show a staggering 140 lives have been lost due to the HPV Vaccine. Tens of Thousands of Adverse Reactions, or Hundreds of Thousands?

The VAERS statistics show that HPV vaccinations have caused the following adverse reactions in the US:

VAERS Data is up to July 7, 2013

Description	Male	Female	Gender Unknown	Total
Disabled	13	939	11	963
Deaths	7	112	21	140
Did Not Recover	192	5825	68	6085
Abnorm. Pap Smear	-	534	-	534
Cervical Dysplasia	-	216	-	216
Cervical Cancer	-	65	-	65
Life Threatening	25	537	4	566
Emergency Rm. Visit	515	10014	97	10626
Hospitalized	94	2980	24	3098
Extended Hosp. Stay	6	229	-	235
Serious Adverse Events	114	3960	58	4132
	1759	27674	1241	30674

These figures were provided by Leslie Carol Botha on July 15, 2013, on her insightful website *Holy Hormones Journal*. She wrote:

“It is estimated that only 1 to 10% of the HPV vaccine-injured are reporting. So start adding zeros on to the reports of 140 deaths. And then ask yourself – are the HPV vaccines deadly? For 140 families they are. And that’s just what is being reported.” [1]

If she is correct, HPV vaccines may have potentially injured over three hundred thousand people in the USA in just seven years, which I am sure you will agree is a colossal number of casualties. Despite this estimate, no official action of any kind against the HPV vaccine has been taken. Why not?

If any other product caused this number of casualties, there would be a public outcry demanding that governments ban the product. Instead, the world stays silent and the damage continues.

If these figures were not bad enough, the two pharmaceutical companies responsible for manufacturing these vaccines, Merck (Gardasil) and GlaxoSmithKline (Cervarix), along with governments worldwide, are now looking for a new age group to vaccinate with these lethal vaccines – newborn babies.

Will Newborns be the Next Victims?

On August 1, 2013, an article by Heidi Stevenson sent shock waves around the world when she announced:

“If you can push the most dangerous vaccines in use today on teen and pre-teen girls, and later on boys of the same age, without any proof that they work, then why not give them to newborn infants—plus another ‘booster’ later on? That appears to be planned for Gardasil and Cervarix, along with a slick new marketing program, thanks to the vaccine-industrial complex.” [2]

In Ms. Stevenson’s well-written and well-referenced article, she cites a paper titled *Reframing Cervical Cancer Prevention: Expanding the Field Towards Prevention of Human Papillomavirus Infections and Related Diseases*, written by FX Bosch et al. Ms. Stevenson points out that the authors did not even consider the fact that the two HPV vaccines currently in use cause some of the worst and most common adverse reactions of any vaccines. She also revealed that the paper was financed by major government agencies. These include the European Commission and Institute of Health “Carlos III” of the Spanish

government.

The abstract of the paper by Bosch et al., which can be found on PubMed [3], suggests that the hepatitis B vaccination program offers a good model to introduce the HPV vaccine to infants. The authors state that newborn and infant immunization of the hepatitis B vaccine achieves a rapid reduction in the prevalence of the HBV carrier rates in immunized cohorts of children, reducing liver cirrhosis and liver cancer decades later.

It appears that these authors are actually suggesting that parents have their newborn babies vaccinated with not just one, but two vaccines for sexually transmitted diseases. Babies do not catch sexually transmitted diseases unless the mother has them, so why not screen the mother?

Why are Babies Given STD Vaccines?

Dr. Judith Reisman believes that she has the answer, which she presents in her paper *STD Vaccinations Aid Global Child Sex Traffic? Does Mandating Pediatric Hep B [and HPV] Vaccinations Aid the Global Child Sex Traffic?* She wrote:

“Research by Risbud et al. in Sexually Transmitted Infections in 1992 and a steady stream of studies confirm that Hepatitis B is a venereal disease.

‘[C]ommercial sex work and history of a genital ulcers were independently associated with [Hep B] underscor[ing] the need to provide HBV vaccine to commercial sex workers and their clients.’

Newborn babies are hardly ‘sex workers’ or their ‘clients.’ Yet, in 1991 USA hospitals (covertly—as without public debate or discussion) mandated hepatitis B vaccinations of neonates born to normal, married, **uninfected** mothers.” [4] (emphasis added)

Her paper is extremely disturbing, but in my opinion, well worth considering, because the pharmaceutical industries and governments must have a good reason to even consider giving two vaccines for sexually transmitted diseases to babies at birth. After all, if governments insist on vaccinating newborn babies with toxic vaccines, then wouldn’t giving newborn babies the MMR or the DPT vaccinations be more beneficial, as babies are more likely to come into contact with measles or tetanus than an STD?

So, is the sex trafficking of children the reason that governments are now considering vaccinating newborns with the HPV vaccine or is the real reason

population control?

Will You Risk Your Child's Fertility for This Vaccine?

Dr. Deirdre Little has recently linked the Gardasil vaccine to a sixteen year-old patient under her care entering an early menopause. Her report states that before the sixteen year-old received the Gardasil vaccine during the fall of 2008, her menstrual cycle was perfectly normal. However, by January 2009, her cycle had become irregular, and over the course of the next two years, her menses (bleeds) had become increasingly irregular. By 2011, she had ceased menstruating altogether. Later examinations of the girl confirmed that her ovaries had ceased producing eggs. [5, 6]

Dr. Little is not alone in her concerns.

The Japanese government has become so concerned about the HPV vaccine causing adverse reactions that they have decided to withdraw their support for the HPV vaccine schedule altogether. Their decision came after they received approximately 2000 reports from women and girls suffering severe adverse reactions after receiving HPV vaccinations, including long-term pain, numbness, paralysis and infertility. [7]

If all this was not worrying enough, one mother, Linda Stewart, told Sane Vax how the HPV vaccine Cervarix destroyed her daughter's life completely. She wrote:

"Shannon basically spent the summer of 2011 in her room reading a book or sleeping, shutting herself off from the rest of the world. She had never had a problem with her menstrual cycle before, but her periods stopped in April. Her menstrual cycle did not resume until November, when it came back with a vengeance. In the end, she had to be put on medication to help control the heavy flow. Even so, it took five months for her cycle to return to normal. So far she has had no further problems in this area.

By this time Shannon was in such pain she would not participate in PE at school (I must add that the PE teacher was very understanding). I then returned to the GP who referred her for another course of physiotherapy, despite the lack of success with the first round." [8]

This is just one example of the many personal stories

that can be found on the Internet, and yet nothing has been done to protect this from happening to others. In comparison, in the USA, in 2009 Maclaren recalled one million strollers after twelve children had fingers amputated by their pushchairs' folding mechanism. [9]

• **Conclusion**

Just from the evidence that I have provided, HPV vaccines clearly are not safe, and yet no government to date has ever banned these vaccines. Other products have been banned for far less dangers, so why is a vaccine that has killed 140 women and children and maimed thousands of others been allowed to stay on the market?

To offer the HPV vaccine to newborn babies along with the hepatitis B vaccine is pure evil. Many babies are born prematurely and we have to ask ourselves what the impact of these two highly dangerous and toxic vaccines given at the same time will be. We also need to question why newborn babies need to have two vaccines for sexually transmitted diseases. Surely these are diseases that babies are very unlikely to come into contact with.

If a major company such as Maclaren is willing to recall one million strollers from the market because twelve children have had their fingers amputated, then why are governments refusing to acknowledge that a vaccine that they recommend is reported to have been responsible for the death of 140?

References

1. <http://holyhormones.com/womens-health/...>
2. <http://gaia-health.com/gaia-blog/2013-08-01/the-coming-push-to-give-hpv-vaccines-to-infant-people/>
3. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23199951>
4. http://www.drjudithreisman.com/archives/2006/07/mandating_pedia_1.html
5. <http://casereports.bmj.com/content/2012/bcr-2012-006879.abstr act>
6. <http://pop.org/content/teenage-girl-becomes-infertile-after-gardasil-vaccination>
7. http://www.naturalnews.com/041099_gardasil_side_effect_japan_infertility.html#sthash.AttvZ0zb.dpuf
8. <http://sanevax.org/my-daughter-cervarix-and-scleroderma-what-do-they-have-in-common/>
9. <http://www.which.co.uk/news/2009/11/maclaren-recalls-us-pushchairs-over-finger-trap-188017/>

What is Christian Identity?

William Finck

Christian Identity, also sometimes called Israel Identity, is the only true conservative Christianity. It is true because it seeks to maintain the understanding - in accordance with Scripture - that the New Covenant was made only with those same people with whom the Old Covenant was made: the House (family) of Israel and the House (family) of Judah. These Israelite people are traceable through time to the Keltic and Germanic tribes of today. None of these people are Jews. The Jews are descended from a mere remnant of the old Kingdom of Judah along with assorted Edomite and other Arab who were mixed into the Roman province of Judaea during the Hellenic period. There are - at last count - at least sixteen detailed essays on this website which demonstrate this, and which are replete with Biblical, archaeological and historical citations.

Christian Identity is the belief that the Covenants of God are real and consistent. It professes that the people of the Old Testament were every bit as much Christian as the people of the New Testament. They were simply looking forward to the first advent of the Christ, while we today await His Second Advent. As the famous Christian bishop Ignatius said nineteen hundred years ago, Christianity did not come from Judaism: rather, Judaism is a perversion of Christianity.

Christian Identity is the belief that there is no disparity between the Word of God, His Creation, His prophecy, and world history. It is also the understanding that while Scripture was inspired by God when it was transmitted, men have certainly mistreated it since that time, and so every passage and every doctrine must be fully investigated from all of the most ancient sources possible. As it reads in the King James Version: Study to show thyself approved.

The audio file attached to this page is perhaps one of the best we have to offer for introducing Christian Identity to the uninitiated. [[It can be downloaded at http://christogenea.org/content/william-finck-patriot-dames](http://christogenea.org/content/william-finck-patriot-dames)] Please listen to it objectively, rather than regarding the slanders of the ADL and similar Jewish organizations - forever the enemies of Christ.

This paper is under development, and so are our websites - always. We pray that you consider the things written here, and also in all of our other papers. And if you are one of His called, May God favor your journey. You may also want to note What Christian Identity is Not at <http://christogenea.org/what-christian-identity-is-not>



A n n o u n c e m e n t s

The Saxon Messenger can be contacted by email editor@saxonymessenger.org

The Saxon Messenger Website is at <http://saxonymessenger.org/> where this issue and future issues will be archived.

Clifton A Emahiser's Non-Universal Teaching Ministries can be found at <http://emahiser.christogenea.org/site/> including all writings produced by his ministry since its inception in February 1998

Christian Identity Radio

CHRISTOGENEA 8 pm EST FRIDAY Biblical Exegesis and Commentaries
<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc>

Notes from Commentary on Revelation posted at <http://christreich.christogenea.org/revelation>

CHRISTOGENEA SATURDAYS 8 pm EST

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc>

Programme notes at <http://christogenea.org/chrSaturdays>



If you have not yet connected to the Christogenea Community Conference Voice/Chat Server go to <http://christogenea.net/connect>
Audios of all the above are available at <http://christogenea.org/audio/feed>

Christogenea 24/7 Internet Radio Streaming

A listing of the radio streams can be found at <http://christogenea.org/players.html>
or Search for Christogenea in Winamp or at Shoutcast.com