The Saxon Messenger

July 2012



Editorial

Dear Reader

The Demise of Free Speech on the Internet

I was sitting in an apartment overlooking Panama City Beach, and watching tropical storm Debby roll in to ruin the first weekend I had ever spent on the Gulf Coast. So it was then that I got an email which has set off a much larger storm, a storm which few people in the wider world even seem to care about. I had a message from Mike Delaney of Prothink.org telling me that his internet service provider, GoDaddy.com, had given him a ten-day notice of termination. There were undisclosed complaints from unnamed parties concerning the violation of unspecified provisions of the Terms of Service agreement which GoDaddy.com posts in the small-print links on the bottom of its website. GoDaddy.com professed to agree with the undisclosed complaints, and therefore Prothink had to go. Volunteering to help Mike move all of his websites, the work would have kept me off the beach even without Debby's appearance.

It was a no-brainer at the time, to suggest to Mike that he get an account at 1&1 Internet. The company is one of the largest hosting providers in the world, and Christogenea had been there for over three years without any real hassles from their legal department. So over two days we moved Prothink.org and its related websites to a new account at 1&1. Little did we know what was brewing.

The last stop in my two-month summer travel was at the home of Matthew Ott in Pennsylvania. I planned on spending five days there. Matt's 7-year-old son, Josh, is like one of my own grandchildren, and looked forward to my visit. After a seven-hour drive from North Carolina, Josh's face beamed from the porch as I pulled up in my big green chevy van. But after dinner and an ice cream, it was already time to go. I had checked the email on my Kindle, and to my astonishment there appeared nearly the same notice from 1&1 Internet that Mike Delaney had received from GoDaddy a month earlier. In four hours I would be back home in New York, in the middle of the night. Finding a new internet home and moving Christogenea and all of the other websites I had hosted at 1&1 was no small task, and I had to get started immediately. Josh was upset, and perhaps one day soon I will be able to compensate him for the disappointment. Yahweh God be willing.

Dear William Finck, (Customer ID: 19817693) 1&1 Internet Inc. ("1&1") has recently received reports of content on your websites which represents a clear breach of 1&1's General Terms and Conditions of Service ("GT&C"). Upon further investigation, 1&1 has confirmed those reports. Accordingly, we hereby provide you with notice of termination under the GT&C effective ten days from today's date. Please ensure that all files and other data are removed from 1&1's servers and domain names transferred by that date, as your data and files will be irrevocably deleted after termination. Please note, 1&1 is entitled to and reserves the right to terminate your account without further notice should it be necessary to protect 1&1 Internet or its personnel, or to prevent additional breaches of the GT&C. Sincerely, 1&1 Legal Nancy McNelis Legal Department 1&1 Internet Inc.

Christogenea.org is no \$10 per month shared hosting customer. Rather, we spent several hundred dollars a month with the company. And because of the way that 1&1 Internet organizes customer accounts, I actually had seven 1&1 contracts, all for different purposes, under a single account number. Four dedicated servers and three shared hosting contracts, and not all of them for Christogenea. After several inquiries spanning a few days, 1&1 finally informed me that the termination notice covered all of my contracts, regardless of the usage of any individual contract. Some of them were for non-political business purposes, but that did not seem to matter. Something odious shook 1&1 Internet so badly, that they were willing to divest themselves

of a long-time, paying customer abruptly and completely, without mentioning any specific infractions of their terms of service agreement.


From the 1&1 Terms of Service agreement, and although they never produced anything of substance this is the only provision I could imagine the company citing in reference to my websites:

8. YOUR ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS AND WARRANTIES.

8.11. You agree and warrant that Your Data shall not constitute or contain or link to material which is libelous, slanderous, defamatory, or which will violate or infringe upon or will otherwise give rise to any adverse claim with respect to any common law or other right of any person or other entity, including, without limitation, privacy rights and all other personal and proprietary rights. You agree not to collect the personally identifiable data of any person without that person's consent, records of which shall be maintained throughout the term of this Agreement and for three years afterward. If you collect this data through Your Web Site you shall do so only pursuant to a posted privacy policy disclosing any and all uses of such identifiable data and in compliance with applicable law.

The following definitions are from either Princeton and/or Wikipedia:

Libel: A published false statement that is damaging to a person's reputation; a written defamation. Slander: The action or crime of making a false spoken statement damaging to a person's reputation. Defamatory: (used of statements) harmful and often untrue; tending to discredit or malign. Calumniatory: (used of statements) harmful and often untrue; tending to discredit or malign.



Christogenea, and any of the other websites which I host or produce, are void of libel or slander and defame nobody, unless of course the revelation of certain facts which may be established in history or in the foundations of the Christian faith happen to make particular groups uncomfortable. Yet for that very reason, in America we have preserved – thus far – our God-given right to speak our minds. When Americans and companies doing business in America fail to uphold that right, then whatever freedom we may have left is forsaken.

From the 1&1 Terms of Service agreement, where it is apparent that 1&1 has not lived up to their own terms of service:

14. NO EDITORIAL CONTROL BY 1&1.

In reliance on your express warranties regarding Your Data, 1&1 shall neither have nor exert any editorial or other subjective control over the substantive content of Your Data. 1&1 does not engage in any monitoring of Your Data, and exercises no control over information which is found on the internet, except for its own Web Site. 1&1 cannot be held responsible for the accuracy, correctness, or legality of such information. You are solely responsible for the content of Your Web Site and for verifying the accuracy and suitability of information and services you obtain from third parties via the internet.

While asserting that they have no editorial control, and while they claim to eschew any desire for such control over their customers' content, 1&1 has dissolved a customer relationship over some supposed terms of service violation regarding website content, without even producing any evidence of substance in relation to the nature of such a complaint. Why would they suddenly conduct themselves in such a hypocritical manner? The only way to answer that question is to know the nature of the complaint, because what lies behind it is a sinister force wrapped in a pretence of righteousness but having not the semblance thereof.

While Godaddy.com neglected to reveal to Mike Delaney the nature of the complaints or the reason why he was found to have violated his terms of service agreement with them, and while 1&1 Internet did likewise with Christogenea and also again to Prothink.org after Mike's sites were relocated there, one web hosting company did indeed share the complaint with its customers, even though they also acted on behalf of the complainant. That company is Powweb, and we have obtained a copy of the "complaint" they received

courtesy of the proprietor of another Christian Identity website whose account was also terminated for the same causes. The complainant, it turns out, is none other than the ADL, or more officially the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith. A PDF facsimile copy of the following letter is posted at Christogenea.org:

Anti-Defamation League®
July 17, 2012
Hari Ravichandran CEO The Endurance International Group Inc.
70 Blanchard Road, 3rd Floor Burlington, MA 01803

Dear Mr. Ravichandran:

In the course of the Anti-Defamation League's work combating hate and extremism, we study and analyze information about the abuse of digital communication media. Some of our recent work has involved investigating registration and hosting information for the most egregious hate-filled websites.

We write regarding our concern about your company enabling the spread of hate on the internet. The problem continues to grow and we are hoping that you will be responsive to our concerns and consider partnering with us to address this serious issue.

Using website metrics and reviewing on-line rhetoric, we have identified the primary 300 web sites responsible for promoting hate and intolerance. We utilized the WHOIS database and other public domain information to identify hosting and registration details of the offending websites.

Our analysis of these complaints indicates that The Endurance International Group Inc. hosts multiple websites (www.anglo-saxonisrael.com/site/, www.volksfront-medien.org, www.zundelsite.org).Upon reviewing your company's Terms of Service

(http://www.enduranceinternational.com/legal/legal_aup.bml)we have discovered that your stated policy, "Users may not: Utilize the Services to publish or disseminate information that (A) constitutes slander, libel or defamation ... threaten persons with bodily harm, to make harassing or abusive statements or messages," prohibits the content which appears on the websites hosted by your service.

We are in the process of preparing and publishing a public report on hate sites that are hosted in the United States. Our current analysis indicates that The Endurance International Group Inc. is hosting several of the hate sites in this country. We wanted to bring these web sites to your attention in advance of the report's publication so that you can review the sites in relation to your stated policies. If we have misinterpreted your Terms of Service, or if you have concluded that the content on these sites is not a violation of those Terms, please let us know.

ADL has been a leader in the fight against hate and extremism for almost a century. The spread of hate on the internet is a priority concern and we have been working closely with other internet companies, including Google and Facebook, to explore strategies to combat hate while still protecting free speech principles and avoiding legal regulation. As a reputable company in the Internet industry, we urge you to enforce your published policies and abide by a high standard of corporate responsibility.

The ADL welcomes the opportunity to work with you on developing programs for the online industry that will foster better Internet citizenship and a safer user environment.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely, Deborah M. Lauter Director, Civil Rights Robert Trestan Project Director, Cyberhate Response

So the ADL has appointed itself as the sole arbiter of what hate is, and has also appointed itself the policeman of such hate for the internet. Assuming the position of a quasi-governmental organization, filled with people having titles such as "Director, Civil Rights", the ADL threatens Internet Service Providers with

listings in reports, cajoles them into acting on the ADL's behalf in the interest of "avoiding legal regulations" which in reality the ADL cannot create, and urges them to "abide by a high standard of corporate responsibility" which is again measured solely by the ADL. And the Internet Service Providers, or at least a handful of them, such as 1&1 Internet, Godaddy.com and Powweb, scramble obediently not wanting to run afoul of such a prestigious organization as the ADL, with all of its publicity-seeking agents endowed with fancy titles and a pretense of governmental authority.

But in reality, what is the ADL?

The Anti-Defamation League is basically a front organization for the world's longest-running crime ring. and it seeks to silence all political opposition under the pretense of combating "hate". Yet they are only covering for history's biggest haters - the anti-Christs themselves. They redefine political opposition as "conspiracy theory", "extremism" and "hate" and all who do not succumb to the anti-Christ and immoral agenda being forced upon us by the promoters of all deviancy and godlessness are a threat to freedom. In reality, the ADL's idea of "freedom" is the liberty to corrupt all of Christianity and to destroy Western Civilization: the goal of the anti-Christs in the first place! In reality, the ADL is a jewish supremacist organization. When are decent White Americans and decent White Europeans ever going to see these anti-Christ devils for what they really are?



A couple of years ago, the ADL proposed that if one exercises his 1st amendment right to free speech in a manner which is not consistent with ADL-approved sensibilities, that the various States revoke that person's 2nd amendment right to bear arms! This proposal was described in an article on the ADL website, **Report: Rage Grows In America Conspiracy Theories Fuel Anti-Government Fervor**, also **reproduced at Christogenea**. The ADL will not stop there, and it will not stop with policing the internet. The ADL will continue to destroy the rights of expression of Americans for as long as Americans let them get away with it, until the political landscape looks like that of St. Petersburg in 1917. It is quite sad that we have all lost sight of the fact that the founders of this nation believed our basic rights, which are partially enumerated in the original constitutional amendments, to have been granted by our Christian God, and therefore no man or government can ever lawfully take any one of them away from any other man or government! I must say again, that in reality, the ADL's definition of "freedom" is the liberty to corrupt all of Christianity and to destroy Western Civilization: the goal of the anti-Christs in the first place! These anti-Christs have used our open society to infiltrate and corrupt it, and now they wish to deprive us all of our basic God-given rights, so that they can avoid all criticism or fear of reprisal, and maintain their ill-gotten power.

The terrorist ARA (Anti-Racist Action) trashes the homes of private citizens, and gets away with it, *right under the noses of Canadian police*. They often gloat about their crimes on their website, and they are never, ever prosecuted. The group brags about having deprived all of those who are not of their persuasion of their rights to express themselves. They are really a militant wing of the ADL and their purpose is to thwart any and all political opposition to Jewish world supremacy by force. There is incontrovertible evidence that jewish functionaries of the ADL have petitioned government agencies for funding for the ARA. See the video, *Anti-Racist Action Exposed*, which illustrates and proves all of these charges, and is hosted at Christogenea.org (http://christogenea.org/content/adl-hate-part-six-bnai-brith-petitions-government-funds-terrorist-ara). The world's ultimate hypocrites, the ADL makes sure that the ARA gets funding to perpetrate the violent acts which it cannot do on its own. The mostly teenaged members of the ARA - as Ramz Paul so succinctly put it recently, are led to believe that they are radicals, however they are only radicals for the [jewish] establishment. The ADL is the real "hate group".

The ADL was founded by an organization called B'nai B'rith, which <u>on its website</u> bills itself as "The Global Voice of the Jewish Community" In reality, B'nai B'rith is a jewish Masonic secret society, and it is an openly racist organization disguised as a public service. Even Wikipedia admits all of this, where on the page for its entry for B'nai B'rith it says that "the organization's historic roots stem from a system of masonic fraternal lodges" and that the "organization represented an attempt to organize Jews on the basis of their ethnicity, not their religion". B'nai B'rith is a racist jewish organization which deceives the public into surrendering to Jewish world supremacy by eliminating the public voice of all pro-White ethnocentric groups, and the ADL is one of its vehicles.


Christogenea.org is a big website, which has consistently ranked in the top tenth of one percent of websites in the world in traffic rankings, according to the companies which make such measurements. But it is a small organization, basically consisting of one person and a couple of part-time volunteers. Some would consider that to be true "democracy", where small voices can get as much audience as large companies in the free-flowing exchange of ideas. However the ADL would shut down such websites as "hate", simply because they stand against jewish world supremacy or the corrupt jewish interpretation of history and Scripture. The ADL would deprive Americans of their right to free speech, while at the same time claiming to be "protecting free speech principles". True freedom of speech is anathema to the ADL and to world jewry.

Whether one agrees with the ideas espoused and presented at Christogenea.org or not is immaterial. If the ADL is allowed to pressure Internet Service Providers into shutting down websites, and if Internet Service Providers easily cave into political pressure in relation to website content, then there is no end to the suppression of free speech, and sites like Christogenea and Prothink.org and Kinsmanredeemer.com are just the beginning. Tomorrow it could easily be any other website which stands up for Christian morals and truly American principles.

William R Finck christogenea.org



The Anti-Defamation League is basically a front organization for the world's longest-running crime ring, and it seeks to silence all political opposition under the pretense of combating 'hate'.



Amman, from Jacob Rueff's De conceptu et generatione hominis, printed by Peter Fabricius, Frankfurt, 1587.

Contents Editorial - The Demise of Free Speech - W R Finck ChristReich - The Revelation of Jesus Christ Chapter 12 - W R Finck 8 To All Who Deny Two Seedline Part 14 - C A Emahiser 16 Meet the Real Nelson Mandela - Mike Smith's Blog 23 Jews Conspired to Destroy White South Africa 24 Heroes of Bomber Command 26 William Joyce – Traitor or Martyr 28 Fallen Among Thieves - L Young 35 Health News 36 What is Christian Identity? 43 Announcements

ChristReich The Revelation of Yashua Christ

Chapter 12 W R Finck

1 And a great sign appeared in heaven: a woman cloaked with the sun, and the moon beneath her feet, and upon her head a crown of twelve stars. 2 And she conceiving in the womb then cries out travailing and being in distress to give birth.

Revelation Chapter 12 is a very complex prophetic vision written in the simplest and most poetical terms, fully revealing both the power and the inspiration of Yahweh our God in what may clearly be considered one of the Bible's finest moments. The interpretation of this prophecy is three-fold. First, it elucidates many of the secrets of our past, and then it describes the

birth of the Christ and the attempt of His enemies to kill Him. But it also describes the birth of the only nation ever founded upon Christian principles, and the place where the woman would once and for all be saved from "that old Serpent". This shall become manifest as we proceed through the chapter.

The woman with the twelve stars represents the people of Israel in their tribes. In Revelation chapters 6 through 8, once it is seen that the prophecy also corresponds to Daniel chapters 2 and 7, it is demonstrated that the people of God are those Germanic peoples who would destroy the Roman empire (i.e. Daniel 2:44-45). Revelation chapters 10 and 11 show that those same people, the house of Israel and the house of Judah, who are the Two Witnesses, then fulfill their testimony, which is the proof of their identity as true Israel, by choosing and



adhering to the Word of God – the little book which is the Bible – over the devices and rule of men – which is the papacy and the Romish church – in that period known to us as the Reformation. Since the birth of the children of Israel occurred some time around the 18th century BC, this woman does not represent Israel at any particular time, but rather she represents Israel throughout all time. So here we have post-Reformation Israel, with visions of both the past and the future being revealed to us.

It is manifest in other prophecies, for example at Isaiah 30:26 where it says "Moreover the light of the moon shall be as the light of

the sun, and the light of the sun shall be sevenfold, as the light of seven days, in the day that the LORD bindeth up the breach of his people, and healeth the stroke of their wound", or at Isaiah 24:23 where it says "Then the moon shall be confounded, and the sun ashamed, when the LORD of hosts shall reign in mount Zion, and in Jerusalem, and before his ancients gloriously", that the sun is often used to describe that ruling power ordained by Yahweh God, while the moon is used to describe earthly powers – mere reflections of the sun. Here the woman is seen cloaked with the sun – the power of God – and standing on the moon – which symbolizes the woman's having overcome the earthly powers.

The woman conceiving in the womb, being about to give birth, primarily describes the birth of the Christ. However this is evidently a dual prophecy, for it also

describes the birth of America, the only nation in history, since the Exodus, which was ever founded as a Christian nation. America was also founded out of the Reformation, on Protestant principles. This cannot be taken lightly or as a mere coincidence, for of this event there is very similar language in Micah Chapter 4, which shall be explained below after verse 6.



3 And another sign appeared in heaven, and behold! A great red dragon having seven heads and ten horns and upon his heads seven diadems, 4 and his tail sweeps away a third of the stars of heaven and cast them into the earth.

As the woman represents Israel all through time, this dragon represents the satanic power, Satan or the Adversary, all through time. The seven heads and seven crowns, or diadems, and the ten horns all represent the power and earthly realms of the Adversary, and they are also described in Revelation chapters 13 and 17 where they appear as seven heads and ten horns. Ten horns are also mentioned in Daniel chapter 7 where it is speaking of earthly kings.

The third of the stars of heaven represents those angels of God who joined themselves to the dragon in that

original revolt, which – as it shall be demonstrated below after verse 9 – is something that occurred long before John's time. These have made war with the Adamic people of God since Adam was first created here on this earth. However, they also may represent those of our own race – since the children of Israel are often described as the stars of heaven, who throughout time have sided with the dragon, rather than with God. Since this entire prophecy has a dual fulfillment, such is also expected to be the case here.

And the dragon stood before the woman who was about to give birth, in order that when she should give birth he may devour her child.

The dragon here is represented by the historical Herod the Great, for only he attempted to murder the Christ child as soon as he was born, as we find recorded in the Gospel accounts. That Herod is a representative of the dragon is quite profound once we discover that he was not an Israelite, but an Edomite by race. That Herod was indeed of the seed of Esau is fully apparent in the pages of the Judaean historian, Flavius Josephus, where it is attested to directly or indirectly at least five times, and those instances shall be cited forthwith:

Josephus, Antiquities, 14:8: But there was a certain friend of Hyrcanus, an Idumean, called Antipater, who was very rich, and in his nature an active and a seditious man; who was at enmity with Aristobulus, and had differences with him on account of his goodwill to Hyrcanus. [Antipater was the father of Herod.]

Josephus, Antiquities, 14:403: But Antigonus, by way of reply to what Herod had caused to be proclaimed, and this before the Romans, and before Silo also, said that they would not do justly if they gave the kingdom to Herod, who was no more than a private man, and an Idumean, i.e. a half Jew, whereas they ought to bestow it on one of the royal family, as their custom was...

Josephus, Wars, 1:123: Now, those other people which were at variance with Aristobulus were afraid, upon his unexpectedly obtaining the government; and especially this concerned Antipater, whom Aristobulus hated of old. He was by birth an Idumean, and one of the principal of that nation, on account of his ancestors and riches, and other authority to him belonging...

Josephus, Wars, 1:312-313: 312 And here a certain old man, the father of seven children, whose children, together with their mother, desired him to give them permission to go out, upon the assurance and right hand that was offered them, slew them after the following manner: he ordered everyone of them to go out, while he stood himself at the cave's mouth, and slew each son of his as went out. Herod was near enough to see this sight, and his bowels of compassion were moved at it, and he stretched out his right hand to the old man, and besought him to spare his children; 313 yet did not he relent at all upon what he said, but over and above reproached Herod on the lowness of his descent, and slew his wife as well as his children; and when he had thrown their dead bodies down the precipice, he at last threw himself down after them.

Now at Antiquities, 14:403 we see that Josephus called Herod a "half Jew", but by that he did not mean that his mother was an Israelite, since here where Josephus is speaking of Antipater we shall see that Herod's mother was indeed an Idumaean, at Antiquities, 14:120-121: 120 and as he came back to Tyre, he went up into Judea also, and attacked Taricheae, and presently took it, and carried about thirty thousand Jews captives; and slew Pitholaus, who succeeded Aristobulus in his seditious practices, and that by the persuasion of Antipater, 121 who proved to have great interest in him, and was at that time in great repute with the Idumeans also: out of which nation he married a wife, who was the daughter of one of their eminent men, and her name was Cypros, {a} by whom he had four sons, Phasael, and Herod, who was afterward made king, and Joseph, and Pheroras; and a daughter, named Salome.

With this it is apparent that by "half-Jew" Josephus did not mean racially, but perhaps he used the term only as far as confession and appearance were concerned. It is fully evident that Herod, representative of the dragon, was fully an Edomite by blood. Remember, as it is mentioned in both Malachi chapter 1 and Romans chapter 9, Yahweh God hated Esau, Paul even referring to the Edomites as "vessels of destruction". The nature of this dragon shall be discussed at length below at verse 9.

5 And she bore a man-child, He who is going to shepherd all the nations with an iron staff. And her child was carried up to Yahweh and to His throne.

This is, of course, a description of Yahshua Christ, who will rule all of the Adamic nations with a rod of iron – after the tares, the goats, everything which offends, and every plant which Yahweh did not plant are all removed, as Scripture assures us shall happen. Psalm 118, a Messianic prophecy quoted by Christ Himself where it says "22 The stone which the builders refused is become the head stone of the corner. 23 This is Yahweh's doing; it is marvellous in our eyes.", also assures us of the fate of all those who come up against the children of Israel. Here are verses 10-12: "10 All nations compassed me about: but in the name of Yahweh will I destroy them. 11 They compassed me about; yea, they compassed me about: but in the name of Yahweh I will destroy them. 12 They compassed me about like bees; they are quenched as the fire of thorns: for in the name of Yahweh I will destroy them." These verses, if one is adept as to who the children of Israel are while also being aware of recent social and political trends, describe practically all of the non-Israel peoples in the world today.



6 And the woman fled into the desert where she has there a place having been prepared from Yahweh, in order that there they may nourish her for a thousand two hundred and sixty days.

This flight of the woman and the period of time are mentioned again at verse 14, except that there the 1260 days are "a time times and half a time", 3-and-a-half times 360 being 1260, which is also 42 months times 30 days. The place having been prepared by Yahweh God must be a reference to the Scriptures found at Deuteronomy 32:8 and 2 Samuel 7:10. In these passages it is quite evident that Yahweh God never intended Palestine to be a permanent home for His people.

Deuteronomy 32:8: "When the most High divided to the nations their inheritance, when he separated the sons of Adam, he set the bounds of the people according to the number of the children of Israel".

2 Samuel 7:10: "Moreover I will appoint a place for my people Israel, and will plant them, that they may dwell in a place of their own, and move no more; neither shall the children of wickedness afflict them any more, as beforetime". These words of Samuel's were spoken to David in Palestine, and therefore the place meant cannot be in Palestine.

The 1260 days represents the nourishing of the woman – the nations of Israel - with the Gospel. This is the same period of the Two Witnesses of chapter 11. Since Yahshua Christ is the Word of Life, and He is also the Bread of Life, the true nourishment of the children of Israel can only come from the Gospel. This is the message of John chapter 6.

Except for the original Israelite kingdom, America is the first and only nation to have been founded as a Christian nation, or actually as a federation of Christian nations - which are the original individual States. And just as the Dragon tried to kill the Christ child as soon as it was born, the international jewish bankers have tried to destroy America ever since it was born. It should be without doubt that America is the nation foreseen at Jeremiah 3:14 where it states "Turn. O backsliding children, saith Yahweh; for I am married unto you: and I will take you one of a city, and two of a family, and I will bring you to Zion". While there are other prophecies in Isaiah chapter 66. Daniel chapters 7 and 12, and elsewhere which are certainly referencing this nation, it is evident that Micah chapter 4 is the most complete prophecy of America in the Scripture. Here it will be repeated in its entirely, with some comments interjected:

KJV Micah 4:1 "But in the last days it shall come to pass, that the mountain of the house of Yahweh shall be established in the top of the mountains, and it shall be exalted above the hills; and people shall flow unto it. [Remember Jeremiah 3:14 above.] 2 And many nations shall come, and say, Come, and let us go up to the mountain of Yahweh, and to the house of the God of Jacob; and he will teach us of his ways, and we will walk in his paths: for the law shall go forth of Zion, and the word of Yahweh from Jerusalem. 3 And he shall judge among many people, and rebuke strong nations afar off; and they shall beat their swords into plowshares, and their spears into pruninghooks: nation shall not lift up a sword against nation, neither shall they learn war any more. [Not all of this is fulfilled yet.] 4 But they shall sit every man under his vine and under his fig tree; and none shall make them afraid: for the mouth of the LORD of hosts hath spoken it. 5 For all people will walk every one in the name of his god. and we will walk in the name of Yahweh our God for ever and ever. [America has become a multi-religious land because of the peoples who have come here seeking our success. 6 In that day, saith the LORD. will I assemble her that halteth [deported Israel], and I will gather her that is driven out [deported Israel], and her that I have afflicted [deported Israel]; 7 And I will make her that halted a remnant, and her that was cast far off a strong nation [the further the children of Israel departed from Mesopotamia, the stronger they became in the nations which they established]: and the LORD shall reign over them in mount Zion from henceforth, even for ever. [Daniel 7:22 and 27] 8 And thou, O tower of the flock, the strong hold of the daughter of Zion, unto thee shall it come, even the first dominion; the kingdom shall come to the daughter of Jerusalem. 9 Now why dost thou cry out aloud? is there no king in thee? is thy counsellor perished? for pangs have taken thee as a woman in travail. [This perfectly describes us in America today, and it must be correlated to Revelation 12:2.] 10 Be in pain, and labour to bring forth, O daughter of Zion, like a woman in travail: for now shalt thou go forth out of the city, and thou shalt dwell in the field, and thou shalt go even to Babylon; there shalt thou be delivered; there the LORD shall redeem thee from the hand of thine enemies. 11 Now also many nations are gathered against thee, that say, Let her be defiled, and let our eye look upon Zion. [This must be correlated to Ezekiel chapters 38 and 39, and to Psalm 118:10-12] 12 But they know not the thoughts of the LORD, neither understand they his

counsel: for he shall gather them as the sheaves into the floor. [The gathering of the tares.] 13 Arise and thresh, O daughter of Zion: for I will make thine horn iron, and I will make thy hoofs brass: and thou shalt beat in pieces many people: and I will consecrate their gain unto the LORD, and their substance unto the Lord of the whole earth." This surely describes America, and it is no mistake that this nation declared its freedom from the tyrannical institutions of the old world 2.520 years after the children of Israel first began to go into captivity.

Speaking of the invasions of Gog and Magog into the lands of Israel in the end times, Ezekiel 38:8 says this: "After many days thou shalt be visited: in the latter years thou shalt come into the land that is brought back from the sword, and is gathered out of many people, against the mountains of Israel, which have been always waste: but it is brought forth out of the nations, and they shall dwell safely all of them." This surely can only describe America, and what is happening in our nation at this very moment. Yet we have a promise from Yahweh our God, that all of those who come into our Israel lands in these days shall be destroyed. Note that Micah 4:13 says "Arise and thresh, O daughter of Zion". Likewise, Psalm 118:10-12, in a Messianic prophecy which can only be of the Second Advent, says this: "10 All nations compassed me about: but in the name of Yahweh will I destroy them. 11 They compassed me about; yea, they compassed me about: but in the name of Yahweh I will destroy them. 12 They compassed me about like bees; they are quenched as the fire of thorns: for in the name of Yahweh I will destroy them." With that, all one can say is honni soit qui mal y pense, or Evil be to him who thinks evil, of the Word of Yahweh!

7 And there was a war in heaven, Michael and his messengers fighting with the dragon. And the dragon fought, and his messengers, 8 and they did not prevail, nor was their place found any longer in heaven. 9 And the great dragon had been cast down, that Serpent of old, who is called the False Accuser and the Adversary; he who deceives the whole inhabited earth had been cast into the earth, and his messengers had been cast down with him.

Yahshua Christ said, as it is recorded at Luke 10:18, that He "beheld Satan as lightning fall from heaven". This must have happened in the past, and in the remote

past, since we see the dragon equated with "that Serpent of old, who is called the False Accuser [or Devil] and the Adversary [or Satan]". Many commentators would like to believe that this is only describing events of 70 AD, when Jerusalem was destroyed, however that cannot be, since by no means was jewish influence diminished throughout the rest of the oikoumenê at that time, and according to early Christian writers such as Tertullian, the Roman persecutions of Christians in the ensuing century were at the instigation of the jews. The events of 70 AD were symbolic of the casting down of Satan – the adversaries of Christ collectively – where they were removed from the temple of Yahweh (2 Thessalonians Chapter 2), however this was only the beginning of a process which culminated several centuries later: the binding of Satan into the pit, which will be discussed at length in Revelation chapter 20. To the contrary, the reference to "that Serpent of old" can only be a reference to the Serpent of Genesis chapter 3, and the events which took place in the Garden of Eden, and so Satan must have been cast down to earth before that time.



While it is often inquired of as to why the Serpent is not equated to Satan in the Old Testament, it may be retorted that indeed it has, but only in certain prophetic writings, such as Isaiah 27:1, and not in explicit terms. Yahshua Christ had said that He came to reveal things which were kept secret from the founding of the world, for which see Matthew 13:35. Here in Revelation 12:9 we see that the Dragon, Satan, the Devil and the Serpent of Genesis chapter 3 are all the same entity, and by this we know that the Serpent is surely not a literal snake, although that is readily evident in the Genesis 3 account as it is.

In Genesis chapter 3, we see that there was a sexual seduction of Eve by the Serpent, told as a parable. In spite of Genesis 4:1, a verse known by Hebrew language experts to contain a gloss, Cain was not Adam's natural son. Rather, as a result of the transgression earlier in the chapter, at Genesis 3:15, we see a promise of a prolonged struggle between the seed, or offspring, of the Serpent, and the seed, or offspring, of the woman. Thus are Cain and Abel. The sowing of Cain by the Serpent is what is meant in the Parable of the Wheat and the Tares found in Matthew chapter 13. The Kenites, the descendants of Cain who are never considered to be descended from Adam (Genesis chapter 4), are found again in Genesis chapter 15 and later Scriptures where we see that they had mingled with the Canaanites, and also the Rephaim and several other tribes who were outside of the region of the local flood and were therefore unscathed by that event. Later, Esau had taken wives of the Canaanites, and also settled in Mount Horus – the home of those ancient Canaanites known as the Hurrians or Horites (Hivites in the KJV is a misreading of a Hebrew letter, the Vav rather than the *Resh*, and should be Horites). This is how Herod the Edomite is a representative of the Dragon: he was an actual descendant of both Esau and Cain, the son of the Serpent of Genesis chapter 3.

In Luke 11:45-52 Yahshua Christ speaks of a race – of fathers and sons both near and remote – which was responsible for the blood of all of the prophets from Abel unto Zechariah. Only the descendants of Cain can be held responsible for the blood of Abel. In later Scriptures, we see Edomites such as that Doeg of 1 Samuel chapters 21 and 22, who follow down that same path. As it is manifest from the history of Josephus, the Gospel of John, the prophecy of Malachi, and the letters of Paul, many of the priests and leaders of Judaea whom Christ addressed were actually Edomite converts, subsumed into Judaea from

circa 130 BC, who had come to think of themselves as Judaeans (see Josephus' *Antiquities* Book 13). From these the jews of today are descended in part. In John Chapter 8 Yahshua told them "You are the sons of a father: the False Accuser [or Devil]! And you wish to do the desires of your father! He was a murderer from the beginning and did not stand in the truth because there is no truth in him! When he speaks a lie, he speaks from of his own devices, because he is a liar and the father of it! "So indeed we see that He is talking to descendants of Cain, since only Cain was a murderer from the beginning. Also, Cain could not have been an Adamite, being a devil. Judas Iscariot, who can be shown to have descended from Edomites, was also called a devil by Yahshua.

In the Garden of Eden – from the account given in Genesis chapter 2 - we see that once Adam was created. Yahweh planted a garden and made to grow out of the ground every tree that was pleasant to the eyes, and good for food. But then two allegorical trees are also mentioned as being in this garden, in Genesis 2:9. These are the Tree of Life – which is Christ and His race (note where He says "I am the Vine, ye are the branches"), and the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Evil. This later tree, therefore, must represent a race of people who knew good – or were once on the side of God, and who then chose to experience evil – which is rebellion from God, and they were already in existence here on the earth when Adam was placed into the garden. This can only refer to those original fallen angels, whom the apostle Jude described as having "kept not their first estate". These "angels that sinned" are also discussed in Peter's second epistle, in chapter 2. The Enoch Literature, which Jude quoted from at length in his one short epistle, which is found among the Dead Sea Scrolls and in other sources, explains that these angels had gone out and mingled their seed with hundreds, even thousands, of all sorts of animals – in addition to the daughters of men as the account is found in Genesis chapter 6 – for the purpose of miscegenation and corruption of the Creation of God. These accounts attribute to such acts the creation of demons, monsters, and bastards The perpetrators of these acts cannot be the sons of Cain only, because in the Enoch literature they are called the Watchers, just as the angels are also called Watchers by the prophet Daniel (see Daniel chapter 4, and The Dead Sea Scrolls: A New Translation, 1996, Michael Wise, Martin Abegg Jr.

and Edward Cook, Harper Collins Publishers, pp. 290-295). While the original angels may not be with us today, their descendants certainly are, and both the Kenites and the Rephaim – or giants - of our Scripture are derived from them, and have descendants among us today.



Yet while this "war in heaven" certainly is an account of events which took place in antiquity, it is also a dual prophecy, having an application here in the present age, which is further evident from the context and placement of the paragraph within the narrative of this chapter. The description of "Michael and his messengers fighting with the dragon. And the dragon fought, and his messengers" may be correlated to Daniel 12:1 where it states: "And at that time shall Michael stand up, the great prince which standeth for the children of thy people: and there shall be a time of trouble, such as never was since there was a nation even to that same time: and at that time thy people shall be delivered, every one that shall be found written in the book." Michael is from a Hebrew term which is generally interpreted as a question, to mean "Who is like God?" In this age there have been many Michaels: The American founders, Andrew Jackson, Kaiser Wilhelm, Tsar Nicholas, and especially Adolf Hitler, who have stood up to defend the children of

Yahweh against the seed of the Dragon. And this has indeed caused a "time of trouble, such as never was since there was a nation even to that same time", and tens of millions of Christians have been slain in recent wars because of it. Most of these deaths have been caused by the vanity of the people and the intrigues of the international jewish banking cabal which manipulates them – which shall be discussed at much greater length here in later chapters of the Revelation. if Yahweh God be willing. These Michaels have failed, because no man is like God, and because vengeance belongs to Him alone, for which reason we see in the Parable of the Wheat and the Tares that only He and His appointed angels can remove the evil ones from our society, and cast Satan out of heaven once again, this last time for good and forever because their fate awaits them in the Lake of Fire.

10 And I heard a great voice saying: "Now the salvation and the power and the kingdom of our God has come, and the authority of His anointed, because the accuser of our brethren has been cast down, he accusing them before our God day and night.

The Kingdom of God was promised after that first rebellion of the angels, with the establishment of the first man Adam in the Garden of Eden. But it shall be fulfilled with the last Adam – Yahshua Christ Himself. at His manifestation. The first promise of this is found at Genesis 3:23, where it says "now, lest he put forth his hand, and take also of the tree of life, and eat, and live for ever". The Greek word διάβολος is an accuser, and by implication is a *false accuser*, as it is often translated in the Christogenea New Testament. One example of this trait of the Adversary is found in Job, at Job 1:9-11 where it says "9 Then Satan answered the LORD, and said, Doth Job fear God for nought? 10 Hast not thou made an hedge about him, and about his house, and about all that he hath on every side? thou hast blessed the work of his hands, and his substance is increased in the land. 11 But put forth thine hand now, and touch all that he hath, and he will curse thee to thy face." Today there are countless examples of this trait of Satan – the Adversary – as the false accuser of our brethren. The so-called holocaust is a prominent example.

11 And they prevailed over him by the blood of the Lamb and by the word of their testimony and they

had not loved their lives even to death. 12 For this reason rejoice, heavens and those dwelling in them! Woe to the earth and the sea! Because the False Accuser has come down to you having great wrath, knowing that he has a short time!"

The acceptance of Yahshua Christ and the keeping of His commandments, which are a necessary expression of that acceptance, are the only means of preservation for the Aryan children of Israel. As the blood of the literal lamb of Passover kept their ancient ancestors from the Angel of Death which slew all of the first-born of Egypt, so it is today that Israelites must cloak themselves with Christ the Lamb, and they shall live. This is the meaning of the allegory of the "whole armor of God" which Paul describes in Ephesians chapter 6.

13 And when the dragon saw that he had been cast down into the earth, he persecuted the woman who had given birth to the man-child.

The dragon was cast down to earth before the creation of Adam, and the result was the seduction of Eve and the proclamation of eternal enmity between the two disparate groups found in Genesis 3:15. The dragon was cast down to earth again in 70 AD, when Jerusalem was destroyed. The dispersed jews were later behind all of the persecutions of Christians until the time of Constantine. However once Christianity became recognized by Rome, the dragon was locked away in a pit, which shall be discussed at length at Revelation chapter 20. The dragon was again cast down to earth with the Reformation, when it became evident that the jewish popes would not have power over the main of the children of Yahweh. From that time the Saxon peoples, who with the help of their God freed themselves from the beast tyrants (which is a topic of discussion for Revelation chapter 13), have had nothing but persecutions from the dragon, in the 30 Years' War, and from the time of the French Revolution (when Satan fully emerged from the pit, to be discussed with Revelation chapter 20) and all of the wars and revolutions of Europe which have occurred since then, which have all been instigated by the jews.

14 And they had given to the woman the two wings of a great eagle, in order that she may fly into the desert into her place, where she is nourished there

for a time and times and half of a time from the face of the Serpent. 15 And the Serpent had cast from his mouth water as a river after the woman, in order that he may have her carried off by the river. 16 And the earth assisted the woman, and the earth opened its mouth and gulped down the river which the dragon had cast from his mouth. 17 And the dragon was angered by the woman and went to make war with those remaining of her offspring who keep the commandments of Yahweh and have the testimony of Yahshua. 18 And he stood upon the sand of the sea.

This desert place is another description of what is described in verse 6, and the woman, Israel, had fled into the wilderness of Europe where she received the Gospel, and it took nearly that long for the Saxon Israel and related peoples to convert to Christianity, returning to that God that had cast them off for their sin many centuries before. The water which the Serpent had cast from its mouth were all of the other races which the jews had brought to fight against the Arvan peoples of Europe and the Near East. First there were the Arab invasions, which were instigated by the jews, especially the invasion of Gothic Spain (this story is told well in The Plot Against the Church by Maurice Pinay), and the destruction of the formerly White lands of Mesopotamia, the Near East, Northern Africa and the Levant. Then there were the Turkic invasions, and the Turks invaded the Byzantine lands at the behest of jewish and arab merchants. Then there were the invasions of the Mongols, and Martin Luther had written that it was the jews in each city of Eastern Europe who had betrayed those cities by opening their gates to the Golden Horde. All of these invasions of Christian Europe ultimately failed, and by the time that America began to be settled, the jew could no longer bring the world's goat nations against Christendom, until these recent days when they have done it by another means, under the guise of egalitarianism and multiculturalism. Yet this is in fulfillment of many other prophecies, such as Isaiah 56:9-11 and Jeremiah 31:27-30. These last wars which the Dragon has made with the woman have been from the inside, first by manipulating our Saxon Christian nations to fight against each other, and then by convincing us to flood ourselves with our enemies – which is exactly what is happening at this very moment!

To All Those Who Deny Two Seedline

Part 14

C A Emahiser



Young Clifton Emahiser

put several small papers together entitling them Research Papers Proving Two Seedline Seduction Of Eve.
Later, Ted R. Weiland obtained a copy of these writings and attempted to criticise the content. I will now relate one of those instances where you will see how confused this

man shows himself to be on this topic.

On page 4 of my Research Papers Proving Two Seedline Seduction Of Eve, I said the following in part: "It is absurd, then, to say the woman doesn't have any seed. The woman, then, contributes just as much genetic makeup to the offspring as the man! The question at this point is: if the serpent has seed, or 'children'; who fathered and mothered them? For this, it is critical that we go first to Genesis 3:13 which says: 'And Yahweh said unto the woman, What is this that thou hast done? And the woman said, The serpent beguiled me, and I did eat.' You will notice that Eve told Yahweh. 'The serpent beguiled me.' Let's see what this word 'beguiled' means in the Strong's Concordance in Hebrew. It is #5377; 'nâshâ, naw-shaw'; a primitive root; to lead astray, i.e. (mentally) to delude, or (morally) to seduce: beguile, deceive, X greatly, X utterly.' Here the word beguile can mean seduce, which in turn means, to induce (a woman) to surrender her chastity ... entice to unlawful sexual intercourse. It can also mean to be mentally seduced, (and I claim one is mentally seduced before the physical act). We have to be wise enough to know the difference. Now that we have covered the word beguiled, let's now take up the word eat. Eat in the Strong's Concordance is #398, and means: 'akal, 'aw-kal; a primitive root; to eat (literally or figuratively): -X at all, burn up, consume, devour

(er, up), dine, eat (-er, up), feed (with), food, X freely, X in... wise (-deed, plenty), (lay) meat, X quite' [X = Hebrew idiom]. In this particular verse eat could mean what it says, but it is better rendered lay. Now that we have consulted with the Strong's Concordance as to the meanings of these two words, let's try to determine what Eve really said: 'The serpent seduced me, and I did lay.' At this point you might say that we are stretching the Hebrew meaning of the word eat." The part that Weiland quoted from my work was that part I had taken from the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible on the Hebrew word #398, akal, plus the sentence before it and the last two sentences after it. Then Weiland commented as follows on pages 24-25:

"The seedliners indeed stretch the Hebrew meaning of the word 'eat.' Any linguist would maintain that the Hebrew word 'akal' translated 'eat' has been distorted to say something it does not mean. The word 'lay' is not part of Strong's definition for the Hebrew word 'akal.' The definition is only that word, or group of words, that precede the colon. In the preface to his Hebrew and Chaldee Dictionary, Mr. Strong explained that what follows the colon are renderings by the translators of the King James Bible: '6. Finally (after the punctuation-mark:-) are given all the different renderings of the word in the Authorized English Version, arranged in the alphabetical order of the leading terms' Mr. Strong also explains his use of parentheses around the word 'Lay': '() (parentheses) ... denotes a word or syllable sometimes given in connection with the principal word to which it is annexed.' This is demonstrated in the following passage from Hosea: 'I [Yahweh] drew them with cords of a man, with bands of love: and I was to them [the house of Israel] as they that take off the yoke on their jaws, and I laid meat unto them. (Hosea 11:4)' In other words, the word 'lay' as used by James Strong is not in any sense a definition or replacement for the word 'eat' and cannot be used in the fashion dictated by the previous seedliner [myself].' Concerning the word 'beguiled' in Genesis 3:13, one seedliner [Nord Davis] speculated: 'When Eve was cross-examined [by Yahweh], she is quoted as admitting: 'Nachash beguiled (Strong's word #5377, nasha, sexually seduced) me and I did eat, Genesis 3:13.' For this seedline author [Nord Davis] to insert the word 'sexually' into Strong's definition borders on dishonesty. Strong's Concordance does not say 'sexually' seduced."

Since Nord Davis is dead and cannot defend himself, I am compelled to give an answer for him: Nord might instruct Mr. Weiland to check out the Gesenius' Hebrew-Chaldee Lexicon to the Old Testament, for it does say "sexual pleasures" for the Hebrew word #398, akal! There are four meanings for the word akal, and number three says this, page 43: "(3) to enjoy anything, as good fortune, Job 21:25; the fruit of good or evil actions, sexual pleasures, Pro. 30:20 (comp. 9:17 ... 5:20)." This meaning can also be verified from Wilson's Old Testament Word Studies under the topic "eat", page 141. Also, George M. Lamsa, in his Idioms In The Bible Explained, points this out concerning Proverbs 9:17 as "stolen love" and "making love to another woman in secret appears pleasant." This is the same word that Eve used when she said, Genesis 3:13: "... The serpent beguiled me, and I did akal." Now, who really is the one "bordering on dishonesty"? Such spurious nit-picking arguments about "punctuation marks", "colons" and "parentheses" have little or no bearing in this case. Remember, the statement made about "any linguists" above?: "Any linguist would maintain that the Hebrew word 'akal' translated 'eat' has been distorted to say something it does not mean." Well, is Gesenius a qualified "linguist" or no? From this we can conclude one of two things: either Weiland doesn't have a Gesenius' Lexicon or he has refused to use it!

Actually, Weiland's explanation of the components of Strong's definition is correct. His real fault lies in his disdain for idioms. Just like he dismisses the entire Talmud, which contain many of the tenets of Israel Identity, he wants to dismiss all valid idioms in Hebrew. Weiland should also check Strong's at the front of the "Hebrew And Chaldee Dictionary" under "Signs Employed" concerning idioms, especially under "X". Inasmuch as Weiland frowns on idioms (like in Genesis 49:9, 17, 21, 22, 27), by his own standards, we should start searching for the "lost tribes" at the Bronx Zoo!

Weiland not only quoted me, but also many other prominent Two Seedliners. He tries to make it appear he is not pointing his finger and naming names. He does that by placing a number at the end of each quotation and then lists them at the back of his book. Let's take a look at some of the people, other than myself, who he accuses of such blasphemy on pages 105-115: Dan Gayman, Gladys M. Demaree, Bertrand L. Comparet, Jarah B. Crawford, Nord W. Davis, B. J. Dryburgh, Dewy Tucker, James E. Wise, Scott Stinson, Norman Moody Rogers and Arnold Murray. How dare Weiland try to put blasphemous words like those into our mouths! Not only that, but some of these people are dead and cannot defend themselves, and if they said such blasphemous things, why doesn't Weiland quote book, chapter and verse? He doesn't because he can't!

In his blindness, Weiland stumbles across some valuable information favoring Two Seedline



Despite Weiland's dogged, determined pursuit to destroy the Two Seedline Truth, he accidentally happens on some valuable evidence which helps substantiate Eve's sexual encounter with Satan, though he ridicules it as being "Babylonian-influenced." But, before I use this secondhand quote from Weiland's Eve, Did She Or Didn't She? concerning that informative data, you will need some background regarding it. According to Weiland's source notes, it was written by a Scott Stinson in an article entitled "The Serpent and Eve." In that article, Stinson speaks of the contents of various Targumim. For a very brief

explanation of what a Targum is, I will quote from the New Concise Bible Dictionary, Editor Derek Williams, pages 541-542:

"TARGUM. An Aramaic translation or paraphrase of some part of the OT. Targums exist for all OT books except Ezra, Nehemiah and Daniel. They came into being as the synagogue evolved after the Exile, when Aramaic began to replace Hebrew as the Jews' language. It therefore became customary for a reading of the Hebrew Scriptures in the synagogue service to be followed by an oral rendering into Aramaic. As time passed, these renderings became more fixed and traditional, and were committed to writing probably from the 2nd cent. BC.

"Even the most literal targums brought place-names up to date, smoothed over textual difficulties and clarified obscure passages. Some of the paraphrase targums expand the text considerably, substantially altering the text and inserting additional material ('midrash').

Their value today is that they offer major evidence for the vernacular speech of ancient Palestine, and hence for the study of NT language and background. They also offer an important witness to the OT text." Among these targumim are the Targum of Onkelos and the Pseudo-Jonathan Targum, among others. Weiland is definitely of the opinion that those targumim were and are "Babylonian-influenced." I would rather believe they were not, for it wasn't until after they came back from the Babylonian exile that the targumim came into

being. They were borne out of necessity; not some Babylonian religious system! As the books of Daniel, Ezra and Nehemiah were not entirely written in Hebrew, there wasn't a need for Aramaic targums for them. When the exiles returned speaking Aramaic, it then became necessary to have a translation from the Hebrew into Aramaic. With the Scriptures being read publicly, both in their former Hebrew and in the Aramaic, any variation in the text would have been censured and reprimanded, for they had very stringent rules on how this was done.

Scott Stinson points out that the targums and the Hebrew, as we know it today, do not agree on the Temptation story. Now, quoting Scott Stinson indirectly from Weiland's book, page 96:


"This [seedline] interpretation is confirmed in the ancient literature of Israel, especially the commentaries on the Hebrew Bible written in Aramaic and commonly known as Targums. These commentaries were written after the [house of Judah's] return from Babylon ... One text gives this interpretation of Genesis 4:1: 'And Adam knew his wife Eve, who was pregnant by the Angel Sammael, and she conceived and bare Cain; and he was like the heavenly beings, and not like earthly beings, and she said, I have acquired a man, the Angel of the Lord' (Targum of Jonathan to Genesis 4:1). Another ancient commentary gives a similar interpretation of the same passage: 'And Adam knew his wife Eve, who had desired the Angel; and she conceived, and bare Cain and she said, I have acquired a man, the angel of the Lord ...' (Palestinian Targum to Genesis 4:1). In another Rabbinic work we find a similar interpretation ... 'And she saw that his likeness was

not of earthly beings, but of the heavenly beings, and she prophesied and said: I have gotten a man from the Lord.' (Pirke de Rabbi Eliezer, 21). One Rabbinic source states: 'Eve bore Cain from the filth of the serpent, and therefore from him were descended all the wicked generations, and from his side is the abode of spirits and demons' (Ahare Moth 76b). A similar explanation for the evil deeds of Cain's lineage is found elsewhere. We read: 'For two beings had intercourse with Eve, and she conceived from both and bore two children. Each followed

one of the male parents, to this side and one to the other, and similarly their characters. On the side of Cain are all the haunts of the evil species, from which come evil spirits and demons.' (Bereshith 36b)."

The real "Babylonian-influenced works" to which Ted R. Weiland refers is rather the Cabalistic numerology system by which the priesthood of that day till this attach an occult secret meaning to every letter, word, phrase and sentence of the Old Testament. Reading targums in public is hardly "secret." Evidently, Weiland is unaware that the Aramaic targums affected greatly the Greek Septuagint version of the Old Testament, which is also considered a targum. Obviously, Weiland is also oblivious to the fact that most of the Old Testament quotations found in the

New Testament are taken mostly from the Septuagint. By Weiland's own premise, we are going to have to throw out all these Old Testament quotations in our New Testament because they are from Aramaic targums which are supposedly "Babylonianinfluenced"! Not only that, but when our Savior Himself quoted from the Old Testament, as recorded in Luke 4:17-21, He may have read from a targum. If He had read directly from the Hebrew, the people would have demanded an interpreter. What Ted R. Weiland, along with several other one seedliners, attempt to do is condemn everything written in the Talmud, the Cabala, the Zohar, the targums and other "Jewish" literature as being 100% false, and that we must take a 180° stand in opposition to any such information! If we were to take such a position, we would have to condemn as well most of the tenets of the Christian Israel Message, for hundreds of references in the Talmud are parallel to Identity beliefs. Therefore, I believe that Scott Stinson presented some credible, relevant evidence concerning Genesis 4:1. If his research evidence is correct, then, someone has altered the meaning of Genesis 4:1. I will develop, expand, and elaborate more about the subject of these targums in a separate Special Notice.



While the one seedliners (anti-seedliners) rant and rave about Two Seedline doctrine being "Babylonian-influenced", there is a reference on page 8 of The Wycliff Bible Commentary concerning Genesis 3:14-15, for which they cannot make that claim:

"14. Cursed ('arûr) art thou. The Lord singled out the originator and instigator of the temptation for special condemnation and degradation. From that moment he must crawl in the dust and even feed on it. He would slither his way along in disgrace, and hatred would be directed against him from all directions. Man would always regard him as a symbol of the degradation of the one who had slandered God (cf. Isa 65:25). He was to represent not merely the serpent race, but the power of the evil kingdom. As long as life continued, men would hate him and seek to destroy him. 15. I will put enmity. The word 'êbâ denotes the blood-feud that runs deepest in the heart of man (cf. Num 35:19,20; Ezk 25:15-17; 35:5,6). Thou shalt bruise (shûp). A prophecy of continuing struggle between the descendants of woman and of the serpent to destroy each other. The verb shûp is rare (cf. Job 9:17; Ps 139:11). It is the same in both clauses. When translated crush, it seems appropriate to the reference concerning the head of the serpent, but not quite so accurate in describing the attack of the serpent on man's heel. It is also rendered lie in wait for, aim at or (LXX) watch for. The Vulgate renders it conteret. "bruise" in the first instance and insidiaberis, "lie in wait," in the other clause. Thus, we have in this famous passage, called the protevangelium, 'first gospel,' the announcement of a prolonged struggle. perpetual antagonism, wounds on both sides, and eventual victory for the seed of woman. God's promise that the head of the serpent was to be crushed pointed forward to the coming of Messiah and guaranteed victory. This assurance fell upon the ears of God's earliest creatures as a blessed hope of redemption."

This passage spells it all out except naming the counterfeit Judahites ("Jews") as the "serpent race" and Eve's seed as the Anglo-Saxon descendants of the Israelites. Truly, the one seedline position is built on error, and therefore to maintain it, it becomes an endless necessity to build on top of it, one error after another.

The Agenda of the Serpent's Seed

According to some one seedliners (anti-seedliners), the only seed of Genesis 3:15 is exclusively, and only, "Jesus Christ." For the rest of them who assign the seeds of that verse to the so-called "seeds of the spirit and the seeds of the flesh", they deny the Messiah

Himself! Not only are there children (seed) of the serpent of this "First Gospel", but his seed has an agenda. I have a prisoner on my mailing list who is taking a college course in Business Administration, and he sent me a copy of a page from one of his textbooks on that subject called 'Your Future in Business Begins Now', chapter 1, page 11. As you read it you will begin to see just how serious this WAR of TWO SEEDLINES is, which the one seedliners (antiseedliners) challenge:

"The United States is undergoing a new demographic transition: it is becoming a society composed of people from multiple cultures. Over the next decades, the United States will shift further away from a society dominated by whites and rooted in Western culture toward a society characterized by three large racial and ethnic minorities: African Americans, U.S. Hispanics, and Asian Americans. All three minorities will grow in size and in share of the population, while the white majority declines as a percentage of the total. Native Americans and people with roots in Australia, the Middle East, the former Soviet Union, and other parts of the world will further enrich the fabric of the U. S. society.

"The labor force of the past was dominated by white men who are now retiring. They will be replaced by a multicultural labor force who are beginning their careers in entry-level jobs in 2000. The proportion of workers who a re non-Hispanic whites will decrease from 77 percent in 1997 to 74 percent in 2005. A diverse is a healthy workforce. Diversity leads to new ideas, new ways of doing things, and greater income equality among ethnic groups.

"Multiculturalism exists when all major ethnic groups in an area — such as a city, county, or census tract —

are roughly equally represented. Because of the current demographic transition, the trend in the United States is toward greater multiculturalism, although the degree varies in different parts of the country. "Four of New York City's five boroughs are among the 10 most ethnically diverse counties in the country. People of various ancestries have long been attracted to San Francisco county, and not surprisingly, it is the most diverse in the nation. The proportions of major ethnic groups are closer to being equal there than anywhere else. The least multicultural region is a broad swath stretching from northern New England through the Midwest and into Montana. These counties have few people other than whites. The counties with the very lowest level of diversity are found in the agricultural heartland — in Nebraska and Iowa."

Does this agenda sound like a mere "flesh" problem as the anti-seedliners claim? How foolish an assumption! By denying Two Seedline doctrine, as the one seedliners (anti-seedliners) do, they actually help promote that agenda of the seed (children) of the serpent. Although the enemy is crying now for "equality", in the end, he will demand total annihilation of the Whites. Once he has brought about admixture to the Whites, in essence, he has, in effect, annihilated them. Therefore, there is only one solution to the problem, and that is the total separation of the Whites from the other races. And unless that is brought about in the near future, we do not have a destiny. Possibly, with an understanding of Two Seedline doctrine, we might forestall, completely halt and reverse that forthcoming disaster to our race. If we ever come out of this dilemma, it will be no thanks to those who are fighting the Two Seedline message!

Herodotus was the first to state the main characteristics of ethnicity, with his famous account of what defines Greek identity, where he lists kinship (Greek: ὅμαιμον – homaimon, "of the same blood" language (Greek: ὁμόγλωσσον – homoglōsson, "speaking the same language" cults and customs (Greek: ὁμότροπον – homotropon, "of the same habits or life") Herodotus, 8.144.2

484-425 BC

Meet the Real Nelson Mandela

Mike Smith's Blog South Africa July 17th 2012

Uncensored and in his inimitable style, replete with colourful language

Today is the 94th
Birthday of Nelson
Mandela and the world will
be fawning over him and the
kids will be sending him
birthday cards calling him
"The Father of the Nation",
etc...But let us never forget
who this man really was and
is

49 years ago on the 11th of July 1963, a week before Nelson Mandela's birthday, the police arrested a string of top Communists and ANC

members on Lilliesleaf Farm, Rivonia, North of Johannesburg.

They were manufacturing bombs and planning the violent overthrow of South Africa that would have lead to an invasion by foreign communist forces.

The shocking details of this evil plot called **Operation Mayibuye** (A Zulu word meaning, let it return, as in let the country return to the blacks), the brainchild of Arthur Goldreich, came out during the trial and are extremely well documented in the book "**Rivonia Unmasked**" – Lauritz Strydom available at <u>Ostara</u>
Publications

The Rivonia trial was open to the scrutiny and criticism of the media of the world and highly regarded as a fair trial...this could not be said of Communist countries such as Cuba, Russia or East Germany at the time where people who opposed the government would simply disappear or be shot in the back of the head without a trial.

At the time, Nelson Mandela, the main brain behind Umkhonto we Sizwe, the terrorist wing of the ANC, was already in prison serving a five year sentence for



incitement of strikes and leaving the country without a passport. He used to live at Lilliesleaf Farm disguised as a gardener and going by a false name of David Motsamayi (meaning "the walker").

During the Rivonia trial Mandela was tried together with the other conspirators for acts of sabotage. The specifics of the charges to which Mandela admitted complicity involved

conspiring with the African National Congress and South African Communist Party to the use of explosives to destroy water, electrical, and gas utilities in the Republic of South Africa.

The charge sheet at the trial listed 193 acts of sabotage in total. They were charged with the preparation and manufacture of explosives, according to evidence submitted, it included 210,000 hand grenades, 48,000 anti-personnel mines, 1,500 time devices, 144 tons of ammonium nitrate, 21.6 tons of aluminum powder and a ton of black powder.

The campaign of sabotage against the government was already in full swing and included attacks on government posts, machines, power facilities, crop burning in various places, setting off pipe bombs at the Bantu Advisory Council, the Bata shoe factory, an Indian businessman's house and the offices of an Afrikaans Newspaper, die Nataller.

When he was put in the dock, Mandela refused to take the oath. Mandela denied being a communist despite a handwritten document in his own writing that was submitted, "How to be a good Communist". He claimed it was just notes written by "a friend" who tried to convert him to communism. Mandela couldn't name the friend.

Traitors and informers, said Mandela in this document, should be ruthlessly eliminated. He advocated cutting off their noses, pour encourager les autres.

Mandela visited several Communist countries such as Russia, Cuba, Algeria, Ethiopia and East Germany where he received terrorist training and he later returned to visit these countries again to raise and obtain funds and organize terrorist paramilitary training and weapons to be smuggled into South Africa. It was all written down in much detail in his diary also found at Rivonia.

The goal of **Operation Mayibuye** was to unleash 7000 armed and trained Marxist terrorist onto the country, who would then recruit more members and launch sabotage and terror campaigns murdering millions of white people including blacks suspected of being collaborators or informers to the white government. The country would then be plunged into chaos and under these conditions several communist countries would invade South Africa.

Mandela and his co-conspirators were sent to life imprisonment by Judge Quartus de Wet, judge-president of the high court of the Transvaal. The judge said that they were actually guilty of high treason for which the punishment would have been the death penalty (by hanging), but because the state prosecutor, Percy Yutar did not prosecute them for high treason, rather the four lesser charges of sabotage, conspiracy to commit sabotage, recruiting and training terrorists and soliciting funds from communist countries for a terrorist onslaught against the country, they got life in prison.

On page 89 of "Rivonia Unmasked" it says "The State had elected, 'for reasons which need not be detailed here', to indict the accused on counts of sabotage; but in reality, Dr Yutar declared, the case was a classical instance of high treason."

Dr. Yutar, for some or other reason decided that high treason would have been too difficult to prove and rather pursued the lesser charges of sabotage, etc.

In Rivonia unmasked, Dr. Yutar said himself that the documents seized and produced as evidence was

enough to get a conviction. He also had more than 200 people he could call as witnesses. Yutar also said that the munitions and weapons found were enough to blow up a city the size of Johannesburg. As an excellent lawyer he would have had no problem to get the high treason conviction.

Problem was that of the 19 arrested, Goldberg, Bernstein, Hepple, Wolpe, Kantor and Goldreich were Jews, Kathrada and Nair were Indian, and Sisulu, Mbeki, Motsoaledi and Mhlaba were Xhosa, while Walter Sisulu had a Xhosa mother and a white father.

If they were all convicted of high treason, Dr Percy Yutar, a Jew himself, would have sent six fellow Jews to the gallows and the National Party of South Africa would have hanged six Jews which would have been a PR disaster and would have caused an outcry and possible accusations of "Nazism" against the SA government.

I personally believe that the decision to prosecute the Rivonia defendants for sabotage instead of high treason was political, although the Prime Minister Verwoerd said: "...it just does not happen in South Africa that a Government interferes with its Judiciary.... Our Judiciary is free from all pressure, whether internal or external."

Mandela spent 18 years on Robben Island, then Polsmoor prison and from 1988 in a comfortable house complete with swimming pool on the prison grounds of Victor Verster prison for acts of terrorism and planning to overthrow the government with a violent Communist Revolution that would have killed millions of people. All together he was in prison for 27 years.

He could have been out five years earlier.

In February 1985 President P.W. Botha offered Mandela his freedom on condition that he 'unconditionally rejected violence as a political weapon'. Mandela refused.

Many people saw Nelson Mandela as a "Political Prisoner", but Amnesty International never recognized him as such because the group "rejects the proposal to recognize as prisoners of conscience people who use or advocate the use of force."

Mandela was a common criminal and terrorist, not a political prisoner.

President F.W. de Klerk lifted the ban on the ANC and the SACP on 2 February 1990 and Mandela was released unconditionally on 11th of February 1990.

Until July 2008 Mandela and ANC party members were barred from entering the United States—except to visit the United Nations headquarters in Manhattan—without a special waiver from the US Secretary of State, because they were still officially classified by the US government as terrorists and the ANC was still on the CIA's list of known terrorist organizations.

It has to be remembered that these Rivonia men were not sentenced for opposing the government policy of Apartheid. The official opposition, the United Party under Sir De Villiers Graaff and many other law abiding citizens bitterly opposed the policy of Apartheid. That was not illegal. What was illegal was the planting of bombs and indiscriminately blowing up innocent people and children.

Sir De Villiers Graaff in parliament complimented the judge for his verdict and expressed his only regret that the bastards at the Rivonia trial were not charged with treason.

The Prime Minister, Dr H.F. Verwoerd four days after the Rivonia trial silenced South Africa's critics by pointing out their utter hypocrisy when it came to South Africa.

He said the Rivonia terrorists were dealt with in the

same manner as terrorists or spies in the USA, Britain or any western country would have been dealt with.

He said that we were dealing with a Communist attack not only on SA, but also on the West. If they succeeded, SA would have had a Communist totalitarian government with a reign of tyranny just like all other Communist countries experienced. Freedom for all, black and white would have ceased to exist.

Verwoerd said that the West was hypocritical, because they never condemned the murders that followed Communist takeovers in Zanzibar or Vietnam for instance, but constantly criticized South Africa for suppressing such a Communist revolution and thus preventing the murders of possibly millions of people.

He concluded with these prophetic words:

"When therefore it is said in those circles that they are glad that Mandela received a life sentence and not the death sentence, because he may still, like Kenyatta, become the leader of the future, then I say, 'God forbid!'

"If that were to happen, not only would South Africa be doomed and become Communist, but then the world would in time be conquered by Communism, because after that the only bastions which still protect White civilization against that pernicious ideology would fall one after another."

Father of the Nation? What kind of "father" plants bombs and blows up his own children?



Ronald Lamola is the current president of the ANC Youth League. He is calling for "white girlfriends" for ANC members. He then threatens white people with Zimbabwe style violence unless whites "voluntarily" give up land and mineral resources.


Resources that have already been seized by the ANC have been laid to ruin. The most valuable gold mine in the world has been so mismanaged by the ANC that it can no longer pay workers and the elevators have been stripped for scrap metal.

Jews Conspired to Destroy the White South African Government and Murder the Boer - Afrikaner People

balder.org

n the 19th century the British fought wars to subject African National Congress - ANC Lathe Boer states to British Rule. In 1948, the Boers took power democratically, with the election of the National Party.

In the 1960s the government of Hendrik Verwoerd took power and implemented the formal separate but equal policies in South Africa. These policies made South Africa the economically strongest nation in Africa, and gave the blacks in South Africa (and the whites) the highest standards of living of any African nation.



Harry Oppenheimer

Verwoerd's policies had two main opponents. One was a Jew named Harry Oppenheimer, the other a Jew named Anton Ruppert. Both controlled banking monopolies in the country, and wanted "rights" extended to black South Africans for the purpose of

extending their money lending business. Oppenheimer had ties to the Rothschild banking family and to the US CIA, which throughout the 1970s through 1990s supported the overthrow of white South African rule, at the direction of the Jew Henry Kissinger.

Oppenheimer lobbied the Rothschilds to overthrow Verwoerd, who had publicly denounced the Jewish banking monopolies in Parliament. The Rothschilds secured the support of the Rockefeller, Carnegie and other "Anglo" families in the United States, and had those institutions lobby against the white government.

Rockfeller influenced the Council on Foreign Relations and its members in the US government in particular to oppose white rule.

In 1963 a group of Jews founded the "African" National Congress. The ANC was founded by Lionel Bernstein, Bob Hepple, Dennis Goldberg, Arthur Goldreich, Hazel Goldreich and James Kantor, with a few African front men -- Nelson Mandela, Walter Sisulu, Govan Mbeki (father of Thabo Mbeki), Raymond Mhlaba, and Ahmed Kathrada. In this, the ANC followed the model the Jews established when they founded the NAACP in the United States, with the exception that the ANC was a much more violent and openly communist organization. These Jews and their African National Congress received funding and support from both the Soviet Union and the US CIA.

In particular, Ruth First, the Jewish wife of Jewish Soviet KGB Colonel Joe Slovo, a leader of the South African Communist Party, was primarily responsible for funneling funds to this "African" National Congress.

In 1966 the CIA financed the assassination of President Verwoerd, through their "lone nut" operative Demetrio Tsafendas, a Greek immigrant to South Africa. In particular, Oppenheimer's South African Foundation funneled CIA money to Hendrik Van Den Bergh of the South African Security Police and John Vorster, the Minister of Justice, who were the men who recruited Tsafendas to assassinate Verwoerd

By the 1970s the Jewish campaign to subvert South Africa was having no effect. The economy was unaffected by sanctions and communist unrest was minimal -- though much was made of it in the Jewish owned elements of the US press.

In 1978 the CIA recruited Pik Botha, the South African foreign minister, as a spy and used him to subvert the South African government, working



with Samuel Huntington and Chester Crocker, Botha was assigned to undermine and alter the attitudes of the South African government regarding black rule.

Botha recruited Minister of Sports Piet Koornhof and Head of Military Intelligence General Tienie Groenewald to the CIA-Jew operation. Groenewald in particular passed on the names of Afrikaaner nationalist and white rights activists to MI6 and the CIA, and arranged for acts of violence and harassment, COINTELPRO style, against Boer activists in the country.

In the late 1970s and the early 1980s the banking families, Oppenheimer in particular, began to speculate in the Rand for the purpose of devaluing the currency. Inflation rose to 7 percent and growth fell to 3 percent, with inflation reaching 16 percent in the early 1980s.



In 1989 a Freemason with ties to B'nai B'rith, the Jewish Masonic fraternity which controls the ADL, was elected President of South Africa. President Frederik De Klerk was a Jewish-backed candidate with ties to the international Zionist establishment. De Klerk worked for, and eventually

achieved, the Jewish goal of black rule in South Africa.

Today, South Africa's central bank is run by a Jew named Gill Marcus, with the black frontman named Tito Mboweni taking instructions. Trevor Manuel, a Jew, is the Minister of Finance. Alec Erwin, a Jew, is the Minister of Trade and Industry. Helena Dolny, the Jewish ex-wife of KGB Colonel Joe Slovo, runs the Land Bank. Ronnie Kasrils, a Jew, is the Minister of Water Affairs and Forestry. Louise Tager, a Jew, is chairman of the railway system, Spoornet. Michael Katz, a Jew, is the chief consultant on taxation. Meyer Kahn, a Jew, is the managing director of the police service. Three Jews -- Richard Goldstone, Arthur Chaskalson, and Albert Sachs -- sit on the South African Supreme Court.

What has happened in South Africa under the name of "democracy" and "diversity" has been the Jewish takeover of their country. As with all Jewish governments, South Africa is now a failed nation. It is poor, it is crime ridden, and it is not safe to walk the streets.



Judge Goldstone with Mandela

The Jews wage war on anyone who opposes

their total domination of the world's economy. They also work to make sure they control the governments of every single developed country in the world. Jews use whatever tools they can -- phony allegations of "racism" or pleas for "democracy", for instance -- to win stupid, thoughtless non-Jews to their cause, but all celebrated communist, socialist, "democratic" and/or anti-racist groups in the world are Jewish run and Jewish financed.

The State is a community of living beings who have kindred physical and spiritual natures, organized for the purpose of assuring the conservation of their own kind and to help towards fulfilling those ends which Providence has assigned to that particular race or racial branch. Therein, and therein alone, lie the purpose and meaning of a State.

Adolph Hitler - Mein Kampf

page 93 James Murphy translation

The Heroes of Bomber Command

A UK Viewpoint



More than 800 veterans, together with another 5,700 widows and family members, gathered in Green Park to see the Queen unveil a sculpture of the seven-man crew of a heavy bomber by the sculptor Philip Jackson, the centrepiece of architect Liam O'Connor's Portland stone memorial. The stainless steel lattice copies the geodesic fuselage construction of Wellington bombers.

Memorial to Bomber Command in Green Park, London

The long awaited memorial to the courageous young men of Bomber Command has met with almost universal approval in the UK. The main criticism being that it is sixty seven years late.

However, abroad some have referred to this national pride as a national obscenity and are outraged that the men who incinerated German cities and their innocent civilian populations should be celebrated for such needless killing.

Well, let's ask ourselves what these men were willing to sacrifice their lives for. Surely it was for King and country and by country we mean people, kith and kin.

'The bravery required to take to the air night after night, as one's luck drained steadily away, was of a different quality to that required in most other branches of the Armed Forces, where combat was often a short and terrifying interlude to extended periods of inactivity. Turning up over Berlin or the Ruhr for the third or fourth time was not enough to merit an award for gallantry, no matter that it entailed the nightly mastering of fears that inevitably drove some to the wall', wrote *The Daily Telegraph whose readers had contributed more than one million pounds*.

This magnificent memorial is belated acknowledgement of sacrifice on a scale scarcely comprehensible today. Of 125,000 aircrew, all volunteers, who served in the strategic bomber force between 1939 and 1945, more than 55,000 were killed and another 18,000 wounded or taken prisoner, a casualty rate of 60 per cent. Statistically, only U-boat crews had such a dismal expectancy of survival. Their perilous operations were made more dangerous through the callous

disregard shown by military leaders of Bomber Command and ultimately, The War Cabinet itself, in the person of Winston Churchill.

The patriotism and bravery of these young men whose average age was 22 years, is without question. What was unknown to them was the power of propaganda. They had been bombarded by an unrelenting narrative from the BBC radio and national newspapers. Hitler was evil. He had expansionist aspirations and was just waiting an opportunity to invade England. To further this hype, all road signs were removed, coastal defences were built and the Home Guard established should a German army land. People lived in fear of an imminent invasion.

The truth was that Germany was not a danger to Britain. MI5 had advised Churchill that Russia was the

real danger and that Germany and Russia should be left to fight it out.

There were other voices trying to speak out who opposed Churchill's war plans and attempted to warn the British people but were systematically silenced. Many people were sentenced to long terms in prison merely for peaceful activities aimed at stopping

England's war against Germany.

One such was Anna Wolkoff, the daughter of an admiral in the Russian Imperial Navy. On November 7, 1940, Judge Justice Tucker sentenced her to 10 years imprisonment.

Sir Oswald Moseley and some of his family were imprisoned as well as Captain Ramsay, a World War I veteran. former member of His Majesty's Scottish Guard and Member of Parliament, arrested.

imprisoned without formal charges or trial because he attempted to expose the orchestrators of WWII.

Admiral Sir Barry Domville, head of naval intelligence disagreed with Churchill's war plans and he too was incarcerated for the duration of the war. All carried out under the hastily cobbled together Emergency Powers Act.

Vernon Kell, founder of MI5 and reputedly the world's best intelligence chief was retired and later discovered

murdered.

One should not forget the flight to Scotland by Rudolph Hess, ordered by the Fuhrer. Whatever peace terms were on offer they never saw light of day and neither did Hess. On the eve of war with the Soviet Union, he flew solo to Scotland in an attempt to negotiate peace with the United Kingdom, where he

> was arrested and became a prisoner of war. Hess would be tried at Nuremberg and sentenced to life imprisonment, which he served at Spandau Prison, Berlin, where his life was terminated in 1987.

William Joyce, later to be known as Lord Haw Haw, was tipped off and fled to Germany.

Several years earlier, our fine young king Edward VIII had been unlawfully forced to abdicate not because of his relationship with a Mrs Simpson as the media alleged

but because he was friendly towards Germany. He had encouraged fraternization between the ex-Servicemen of Germany and Britain. Further, he had listened to the woes of the South Wales miners and promised 'Something will be done!' It was. He was removed. The people were never consulted on this constitutional issue nor were they given a voice about war with Germany.

Suffice it to say, our memorial honours brave men; not the war or its protagonists.



William Joyce - Traitor or Martyr

A UK Viewpoint



In British World War II history, the most reviled name after Adolph Hitler was William Joyce alias Lord Haw-Haw. Broadcasting from Germany he became a household name cultivating a wide British audience hungry for information denied them by their government. Like Ezra Pound he was not afraid to expose and name the real enemy for which both men would pay dearly. At the end of the war Pound was incarcerated in a mental institution for some fourteen years and Joyce would be flown back to England and hanged as a traitor.

In order to separate the man from the myth, much can be learnt from his book, Twilight Over England. In the introduction, Joyce wrote, 'I was born in New York in 1906. My father's people had lived in Ireland since the Norman Conquest. From my mother I inherited English, Irish, and Scottish blood. I was brought up by my parents in a creed of fanatical patriotism ... From my earliest days, I was taught to love England and her Empire. Patriotism was the highest virtue that I knew'. From the US the family moved to Ireland and later because of the Sinn Fein uprising, moved to England where at London University, the intellectually gifted Joyce gained a First in English. According to Michael

Walsh, respected British historian, in his essay, The Martyrdom of William Joyce, speaking of his academic brilliance, he wrote, 'Joyce's achievements have never been bettered. His close friend, John Angus MacNab, described how Joyce could quote Virgil and Horace freely. Besides being able to speak German, he spoke French fairly well and some Italian. He was not only gifted in mathematics but had a flair for teaching it. He was also widely read in history, philosophy, theology, psychology, theoretical physics and chemistry, economics, law, medicine, anatomy and physiology. He played the piano by ear.'

Although he rejected the dogma of his Catholic schooling *Extra Ecclesiam*, *nulla salus* [No salvation outside the Church], Joyce retained a simple yet powerful faith in God and this was reflected in his personal sense of mission. His parents had brought him up to be fiercely patriotic and in 1923 he joined the British Fascists, the first fascist body to be formed in England and of which he writes, 'In those days, Communism was a lively force in England: and I saw a certain amount of street and hall fighting, of which I shall carry the marks so long as I live.' Joyce was slashed from the corner of his mouth to the lobe of his ear by a thug from rent-a-crowd hired to trash his meeting.

Of this period in his life, he wrote, 'The more I investigated the facts, the more convinced I became that the old stereotyped patriotism was a hollow sham, designed to conceal the operations of financiers and preserve the privileges of an effete plutocratic caste. From the outset of my political career, I was always told how unwise it was to mention the Jews. One could condemn the King in public without any fear as to the consequences: but to mention the Jews was sacrilege. For some years I worked to break this evil superstition ... The system of government in Britain today deserves one description only: it is a plutocratic oligarchy, materialist in philosophy, Jewish in purpose, and tyrannous in effect.'

Joyce was an excellent speaker and spoke at hundreds of meetings finely honing his skills of oratory. In 1933 he joined Sir Oswald Moseley's new movement, the British Union of Fascists and became one of the chief speakers and writers where for three years, he was Sir Oswald's Director of Propaganda. A natural speaker, Joyce often deputized for Oswald Mosley. He regularly addressed large audiences including a major fascist rally in Liverpool on November 26, 1933, attended by an estimated 10,000 fascists. Michael Walsh again writes, 'Of him A.K. Chesterton wrote: "Joyce, brilliant writer, speaker, and exponent of policy, has addressed hundreds of meetings, always at his best, always revealing the iron spirit of fascism in his refusal to be intimidated by violent opposition."

John Beckett, the former Labour member of Parliament on attending a meeting addressed by Joyce

said: "Within 10 minutes of this 28year-old youngster taking the platform, I knew that here was one of the dozen finest orators in the country."

Cecil Roberts, not an admirer, who heard Joyce at a political dinner in London's Park Lane Hotel described the event years later: "Thin, pale, intense, he had not been speaking many minutes before we were electrified by this man. I have been a connoisseur of speech-making for a quarter of a century, but never before,

in any country, had I met a personality so terrifying in its dynamic force, so vituperative, so vitriolic."

During this period Sir Oswald Mosley was speaking at the largest political rallies ever held in Britain. "We know that England is crying for a leader," Joyce told a Brighton audience in 1934, "and that leader has emerged in the person of the greatest Englishman I have ever known, Sir Oswald Mosley." Joyce's political sympathies however were unambiguously in favor of national socialism, and by 1936 he had coined the slogan: "If you love your country you are a national[ist]. If you love her people you are a socialist. Therefore, be a national socialist."

Joyce's consuming passion was for the interests of the working class but about this time after his divorce to his first wife, he allowed himself another passion when he determined to marry a fellow party worker Margaret Cairns White. Upon the announcement of their engagement, a mutual friend said to her: "Well, I do hope you will be happy, but it may be uncomfortable being married to a genius. And William is a genius, you know.'

In 1937, Joyce lost his paid job with Moseley due to election losses and left the movement to found the Nationalist Socialist League of which he would write later, 'There were various movements and societies larger than ours which were, in general, favourable to National-Socialism: but, in my opinion, it was desirable that there should be one which would maintain the purity of the doctrine in the extremest and most uncompromising form. I have always believed, in the face of experienced advice to the contrary, that

he who speaks the truth with passion and conviction is a better propagandist than he who burns the midnight oil considering in what way a programme can best be put before the people. There may, of course, be very different opinions on this subject, but as I once said to a colleague who told me that I was damaging my chances in politics: "I am not in politics because I want to get on, but because I feel and believe things that I consider it a duty to utter. Success be damned" I still think that this attitude is appreciated

better than any other by ordinary people.' This integrity of purpose would remain with him for the rest of his short life.

Joyce's uncompromising political stand did not go unnoticed and twice in the year preceding the outbreak of war [3rd of September 1939], he was arrested. In all there were two charges of assault and one of an offence under the Public Order Act. This was not his first brush with the law. In 1934, he had been tried, together with Mosley and two others, on a charge of Riotous Assembly. They were all acquitted.

Immediately prior to the declaration of war, plans had already been drawn up by the Government to intern political activists by a hastily legislated Emergency Powers Act. A few days before war broke out, Joyce

'I am not in politics because I want to get on, but because I feel and believe things that I consider it a duty to utter. Success be damned.' I still think that this attitude is appreciated better than any other by ordinary people.

received a tip-off from a friendly MI-5 officer that he was about to be arrested.

For my part, the decision was easy to make. To me it was clear on the morning of August 25th that the greatest struggle in history was now doomed to take place. It might have been a very worthy course to stay in England and incessantly work for peace: but I had one traditionally acquired or inherited prejudice, which many will think foolish and which may be logically difficult to defend. England was going to war. I felt that if, for perfect reasons of conscience, I could not fight for her, I must give her up for ever. Such an argument I do not commend to anybody else: but man is guided by more than reason alone: and in

this great conflict, I wanted to play a clear and definite part. In small matters, it is easy enough to be guided by conventional loyalty. In great matters, a man has the right to hold himself responsible to Higher Justice alone.'

'Apart from my absolute belief in National-Socialism and my conviction of Hitler's superhuman heroism, I had always been attracted to Germany. Perhaps the attraction was due to the German blood which flowed in the veins of some of my ancestors: it was no doubt helped by my veneration for the genius of men like Wagner and Goethe. Perchance my studies in Germanic Philology did much to make me aware of racial bonds that time and money have obscured. Whatever the reason may be, I grew up with that mystical attraction which has ended by my making Germany my permanent home.'

William Joyce and his wife arrived in Germany with what few possessions they could carry. Joyce had renewed the false passport he had taken out when he believed he might be accompanying Sir Oswald Moseley to meet Hitler in Germany but which never came to fruition. He had acquired a British passport by making a false statement about his place of birth declaring he was a British subject by birth. He was not

to know that this would be the basis of a charge of treason at his trial at the end of the war.

Although finding work was more difficult than he had imagined, by chance an opportunity opened for an English speaking broadcaster in the Reichsrundfunk [the German radio corporation] in the Charlottenburg district of Berlin. Joyce was not enthusiastic but as he had little money left, felt there was no alternative. Policy was decided by Dr Josef Goebbels' Propaganda Ministry in liaison with the Foreign Office, the fighting services and other government departments. Here Joyce excelled especially when he was eventually permitted to write his own articles having complained about the quality of those produced by

German writers.

However, as early as September 14th Jonah Barrington in the Daily Express commenting on enemy broadcasts made the following observation. 'A gent I'd like to meet is moaning periodically from Zeesen. He speaks English of the Haw-Haw, damit-get-out-of-my-way variety, and his strong suit is gentlemanly indignation.' Two days later, Barrington was to announce him as Lord Haw-Haw.

Michael Walsh considers that 'Joyce was never the "Lord Haw-Haw" of Fleet Street mythology. He was given this nom-de-plume by Daily Express journalist Jonah Barrington, who had mistaken Joyce's broadcast for that of Norman Baillie-Stewart, a Seaforth Highland Regiment veteran who, like many others, had decided to fight for the triumph of European interests rather than international capitalism and communism.' Nevertheless, the

nickname stuck. Meanwhile on the official list of English readers at the Reichsrundfunk, as real names were not to be used, a character named Wilhem Frohlich appeared. With tongue in cheek, Joyce had translated an archaic version of his ancestral name, Joyeux into German as Frohlich.

When his appointment had been confirmed, Joyce applied for naturalisation; a process that was to take

war. I felt that if, for perfect reasons of conscience, I could not fight for her, I must give her up for ever. Such an argument I do not commend to anybody else: but man is guided by more than reason alone: and in this great conflict, I wanted to play a clear and definite part. In small matters, it is easy enough to be guided by conventional loyalty. In great matters, a man has the right to hold himself responsible to Higher


Justice alone

England was going to

almost a year. To his credit, he did admit that he had made a false declaration to obtain a British passport and that his place of birth was actually New York.

The standard of English used in some of the German produced news scripts continued to irritate Joyce and he offered the services of his wife, free of charge, as his salary was sufficient for them both. She was subsequently employed writing women's features but salaried, as civil service rules prohibited unpaid employment.

Soon in addition to the original Germany Calling programmes, Joyce was asked to collaborate on the 'secret stations'. These were to appear as local subversive stations with unique local references. The aim was to persuade the British that they had been misled about National Socialism and that they were fighting the wrong enemy. Joyce would continue this work to the very end of the war.



It was in1940 that the German Foreign Office commissioned Joyce to write a book which would be suitable for the disaffected in America and British territories such as India for which he was paid the sum of 10,000 Reichsmarks. Joyce was given a freehand with the important proviso that there should be no attack on Communism or the Soviet Union as this would contravene the German-Russian Agreement operative at that time.

The book was completed in just three weeks and submitted by Joyce without revision. Tellingly, it was entitled Twilight Over England, from which we have quoted and will do so extensively so that Joyce may speak to the hearts of not only the British but to our kith and kin wherever they may be found. His message is as vital today, perhaps, more so.

In chapter VI of Twilight Over England, entitled 'The Jews', he begins by quoting Israel Zangwill [Author of The Melting Pot - Editor]:

"Pious fanatical zealots, throttled by Talmud coil, Impious, lecherous sceptics, cynical stalkers of spoil, Wedded 'neath Hebrew awning, buried 'neath Hebrew sod, Between not a dream of duty, never a glimpse of God. Blarneying, shivering, crawling, taking all colours & none, Lying, a fox in the covert; leaping, an ape in the sun."

'After this verdict, nothing that I have to write on the subject will seem too strong. It is characteristic, indeed, of the Jewish superiority complex to make such a brazen admission of Jewish faults. And, first of all, we must consider the Jewish character. It must, moreover, be considered in relation to race and not religion. Whether the Jew is orthodox, atheist, or Christian, he remains a Jew.'

He wrote, 'I don't regard the Jews as a class I regard them as a privileged misfortune.' and 'hope springs eternal in the Jewish even as in the human breast.'

'Adamantine materialism, a flair for assuming mysticism outwardly, a supreme contempt for other races, a complete disregard for other peoples' rights, cleverness in imitation and improvisation, contempt for all labour not associated with high profits, great energy in the cause of money-making, a hatred of all nationalism but their own, a high degree of loyalty to their own family and their own community, an implicit faith in the power to corrupt Gentiles, a brilliant capacity for intrigue, and a pathetic inability to keep pace with any deeper thought or higher idealism are the chief characteristics of the Jewish race.'

Today, however, as above suggested, the territory of the Jew extends far beyond the bounds of impure finance in England. Emboldened by his success in this field, he has advanced to conquer the distributive trades and those branches of commerce most closely connected with the provision of the people's most vital needs. He has also invaded with overwhelming success the regions of film and press. In fact, it should be of interest to note briefly the extent of the Jewish conquest in the provision trade, the furnishing trade, the clothing trade, the retailing of household goods, the chemical industry, the oil and petrol trade, housing, the press, the cinema, and finally the professions. The Jewish power in England is all the more formidable because, until lately at least, it has been so carefully masked and kept in an obscurity which if not decent was effective. Now, however, it is possible, by observing the above mentioned categories, to dispel the illusion that the Jew is merely a patient old

Shylock waiting in his dingy City Office to lend money to any Bassanio who can find an Antonio to guarantee the necessary pound of flesh. Had the Jew remained in that position, he would be merely a commensurable pest. As it is, he has become an inescapable presence—an odious approximation—a haunting conscience—a shadow lengthening in the noon-tide of your prosperitythe ounce of sour in the pound of sweet—the fly in your ointment and the Death's Head at your banquet.'

Extending his observations, he added, 'Of course, there is nothing that

the Jews so dearly love to market as a white woman's body. Even eighteen years ago, the Jewish World admitted that 75 per cent of the white-slave traffic was in Hebrew hands. Today the percentage is probably higher. In the theatre and the film world, outside of Germany and Italy, the actress's easiest way to fame is through the bed-room of the Jewish manager. True to the Talmud, the Jew respects his own women and guards them tenderly: but his attitude towards all others is either greedily sensual or purely commercial, according to the circumstances.'

He continued, 'The Evening Standard of April 14, 1939 carried a half-page advertisement which read: "2/6 [2 shillings and 6 pence - Editor] will keep a refugee [meaning Jewish refugee- Editor] child safe for a day. 17/6 will keep a refugee child safe for a week." The appeal, which had the blessing of Lord Baldwin and the Archbishop of Canterbury, did not remind the public of the fact that the child of an unemployed Englishman was supposed to be "kept safe" on 3/- [three shillings - Editor] a week. Exactly why a Jewish child should be deemed worth six times the value of an English child was not explained: but the valuation speaks for itself.'

'Thus it follows that the Jews have more influence than any other element in the population of Britain: and the strength which they possess is amply demonstrated by the facts given in the preceding pages. Nothing like a comprehensive survey of the whole question would have been possible in so little space: but in view of the

evidence adduced, it cannot be denied that the Jewish race had the opportunity to use England as the instrument of its policy: it had, moreover, the inclination, arising not only from its feud with Germany but from its essential opposition to the principles of National-Socialism. The inclination and the opportunity must be viewed together. It must also be remembered that the Jewish conflict with Germany arose from the fundamental incompatibility between their concept of life and that of the Third Reich. They believed, and rightly, that the withdrawal of Central Europe from their system

would damage irreparably that sys-

tem itself. If persons of energy and tenacity be given the opportunity of executing a design arising from the strongest of motives, psychology teaches that they will execute it. The motive, in this case, was the destruction of National-Socialist Germany: and the opportunity lay in the enormous influence which they had acquired both economically and politically over Great Britain. In the Press, with their films, both with the written word and with the spoken, they pursued their design with indefatigable assiduity.'

'The full consequences of their crime are not yet to be appreciated. But the blood of those who fall in this war is on their hands, and on the hands of the contemptible politicians whom they made their tools in this nefarious enterprise. They have deliberately set their supremacy above the peace and the welfare of the world. In their incitement to war against Germany, they have shown neither regard nor pity for the suffering and the

The full consequences

of their crime are not

vet to be appreciated.

But the blood of those

who fall in this war is

on their hands, and on

the hands of the con-

temptible politicians

whom they made their

tools in this nefarious

enterprise. They have

deliberately set their

supremacy above the

peace and the welfare

of the world.

loss of life which this conflict must cause. As they have acted, so must they be judged. Without remorse they have worked for the death of innumerable men. It is only fitting, in the circumstances, that they should pay the full penalty of their unscrupulous ambition.'

'When the clouds of war have rolled away, when the British people have been delivered up to the agonizing aftermath of this final conflict, when the world can behold what ruins the crime has produced, when hungry

women and homeless children stare into the greyness of the future, the architects of evil themselves shall not survive. On September 3, 1939, Britain declared war on Germany. But over and above this decree was another-a decree pronounced by the Supreme Court of History: it was the sentence of death upon the power, the riches, and the ambition of the Jewish race. When this sentence will be executed. no man can say: but sooner or later, the most influential Jew on earth will have no more influence on the course of Aryan affairs than a jelly-fish upon the time of sunrise. What the English people do not see

today, they will learn by bitter experience: the German people have seen the truth already. When twilight falls on the field of battle, it is the twilight of the Kingdom of Judah [Erroneous use of term Judah when referring to Jews - Editor] on earth. They have tempted God—these Jews—for the last time.'

The last words in his book, penned in 1940 show Joyce's love for Britain and a desire for reconciliation when the forces of darkness have been defeated. Like Hitler, Joyce underestimated the over-arching power of the International Jew. He concludes, 'Throughout the whole of his life as a Leader, Adolph Hitler, has shown his love for the working people: he has offered England the hand of friendship till it could be spurned no more. In the days of his inevitable victory, when Bri-

tain is freed from the forces of darkness that have caused this war, the defeat of England will be her victory. To achieve their regeneration, her people will have to suffer much: and the longer the war lasts, the more they will have to suffer: but they will have the chance, so long denied to them, of using their genius and their character in the building of that new world to which Adolph Hitler has shown the way. In these days, it may be presumptuous to express either hopes or beliefs: yet I will venture so much. I hope and believe,

that when the flames of war have been traversed. the ordinary people of England will know their soul again and will seek, in National-Socialism, to advance along the way of human progress in friendship with their brothers of German blood. That this hope and this belief shall not prove vain there are two guarantees, for me sufficient; the greatness of Adolph Hitler and the Greater Glory of Almighty God.'

Ultimately, although Germany was defeated, Joyce always believed there was a divine purpose in the pattern of events.

minterpreter

written about Joyce's trial because of the flagrant miscarriage of justice. It is incontrovertible that William Joyce was a citizen of the United States of America at the time of his alleged treason to Britain. Nevertheless, the British Government passed the Treason Act 1945 the day before Joyce was flown back to Britain. Although Joyce was born in the USA, brought up in Ireland and took German nationality on 26 September 1940 [having applied a year earlier], he was charged with treason from 3 September 1939 to 2 July 1940, the date his British passport ran out, and

Judicial Lynching

Of his return and trial in England, Michael Walsh writes:'The macabre death procession of British



Joyce in British custody, had been shot; the bullet passing through both thighs from the gun of Jewish German interpreter

sentenced to death.

justice; a parade of grim reapers garbed in the accoutrement of state legislature, now began the long march to the gallows. The subsequent trial ran its murderous course and few today question that it was a judicial lynching. Joyce was not, of course, British and much of the rest of the proceedings were equally questionable. Never from the moment of his arrest to his present predicament had Joyce ever denied his role, his purpose or his belief in national socialism. To the end he took the view that friendship with Germany being in the best interests of the English people, he could not therefore be a traitor. On the contrary, those who conspired with Bolshevism to subvert and overrun civilization were in deed the traitors.'

The popular British historian A.J.P. Taylor, Fellow of Magdalen College, Oxford made the point that Joyce was essentially hanged for making a false statement on a passport – the usual penalty for which was a paltry fine of just two pounds.

True to his principles and realising his work was done, Joyce went to his death unrepentant and defiant: 'In death as in life, I defy the Jews who caused this last war, and I defy the power of darkness which they represent. I warn the British people against the crushing imperialism of the Soviet Union. May Britain be great once again and in the hour of the greatest danger in the West may the standard be raised from the dust, crowned with the words — "You have conquered nevertheless". I am proud to die for my ideals and I am sorry for the sons of Britain who have died without knowing why.

Joyce was executed on 3 January 1946 at Wandsworth Prison, aged 39. His body placed in an unmarked grave in the prison grounds. However, this is not the full end of his life's narrative.

Although space does not permit details of Margaret Joyce's activities in Germany and her subsequent arrest and imprisonment, suffice it to say that she had carried out the same work as her husband for Germany and was even known as Lady Haw-Haw, yet two weeks after Joyce's execution all charges against her were dropped. It is believed by some that an agreement had been reached between Joyce and MI-5

that if he promised not to mention his having been an agent for MI-5 from his early days in Ireland and beyond, then Margaret Joyce would walk free.



In the last letter that his wife would receive after his death, the condemned American wrote: "I never asked you if you wanted to receive posthumous letters: The question was too delicate, even for me; but I assumed your wish. For I think you are sufficiently strong now to overcome the grief of this blow, and that your faith will triumph over tears. For my part, I want to write as long as I can and then mend the snapped cable in an eternal way."

We leave the final thoughts in this article to Joyce, words even more vital and poignant than when they were written. In one of his last letters from prison, Joyce wrote, 'I am completely at peace in my mind, fully resigned to God's will, and I am proud of having stood by my ideals to the last. I would certainly not change places either with my liquidators, or with those who have recanted. It is precisely for my ideals that I am to be killed. It is the force of ideals that the Hebrew /Jewish - Editor/ masters of this country fear; almost everything else can be purchased by their money: and, as with the Third Reich, what they cannot buy, they seek to destroy: but I do entertain the hope that, before the very last second, the British public will awaken and save themselves. They have not much time left.

Fallen Among Thieves by Lawrence Young

O foolish, bewitched Liberals,
High-minded, lean of soul;
Who steal from Truth her virtues,
And confiscate the whole.
Battered by your 'Modernism',
Truth is left with naught
Of substance, 'cept an empty void
Your conjectured thought!

Woe! Woe! for 'Truth is fallen
Fallen in the street';
Left by robbers wounded, dying,
Bereft and incomplete.
But Good Samaritan 'Orthodoxy'
Reaches out with means to save,
A stalwart heart from certain death
A situation grave!

Truth lay stripped, naked, bleeding;

He feared her life had ceased.

Binding up her wounds, he set

Her gently on his beast.

He poured in 'oil and wine', making

Haste to 'Mercy's'Inn,

Till Truth revived and firmly stood

Fit and well again!

The so-called 'Religious' fraternity,
Unmoved, passed her by,
Knowing her sad fate - if left
She would surely die.
Awake! Awake! O Church of God!
Keep sound your doctrine!
Lest Truth slip, and devils change
The 'It is written' therein!

O come! - Let us buy the Truth!
O pray - sell it not!
Prize her priceless, precious gems
Safeguard the lot!
Her borders of wealth extend afar
To infinite measures,
O what glorious truths unfold
From her boundless treasures.

Merck Vaccine Fraud Exposed by two Merck virologists;

company faked mumps vaccine efficacy results for over a decade, says lawsuit by Mike Adams, the Health Ranger, NaturalNews Editor

ccording to two Merck scientists who filed a False Claims Act complaint in 2010 -a complaint which has just now been unsealed -vaccine manufacturer Merck knowingly falsified its mumps vaccine test data, spiked blood samples with animal antibodies, sold a vaccine that actually promoted mumps and measles outbreaks, and ripped off governments



and consumers who bought the vaccine thinking it was does not provide adequate immunization." "95% effective"

See that False Claims Act document at: www.naturalnews.com/gallery/documents/Merck-False-Claims-Act.pdf

According to Stephen Krahling and Joan Wlochowski, both former Merck virologists, the Merck company engaged in all the following behavior:

- Merck knowingly falsified its mumps vaccine test results to fabricate a "95% efficacy rate."
- In order to do this, Merck spiked the blood test with animal antibodies in order to artificially inflate the appearance of immune system antibodies. As reported in CourthouseNews.com:

Merck also added animal antibodies to blood samples to achieve more favorable test results, though it knew that the human immune system would never produce such antibodies, and that the antibodies created a laboratory testing scenario that "did not in any way

correspond to, correlate with, or represent real life ... virus neutralization in vaccinated people," according to the complaint. (http://www.courthousene ws.com/2012/06/27/47851 .htm)

• Merck then used the falsified trial results to swindle the U.S. government out of "hundreds of millions of dollars for a vaccine that

- Merck's vaccine fraud has actually contributed to the continuation of mumps across America, causing more children to become infected with mumps. (This is what NaturalNews has been reporting for years... vaccines are actually formulated to keep the outbreaks going because it's great for repeat business!)
- Merck used its false claims of "95 percent effectiveness" to monopolize the vaccine market and eliminate possible competitors.
- The Merck vaccine <u>fraud</u> has been going on since the late 1990's, say the Merck virologists.
- Testing of Merck's vaccine was never done against "real-world" mumps viruses in the wild. Instead, test results were simply falsified to achieve the desired outcome.
- This entire fraud took place "with the knowledge, authority and approval of Merck's senior management."

• Merck scientists "witnessed first-hand the improper testing and data falsification in which Merck engaged to artificially inflate the vaccine's efficacy findings," according to court documents (see below).

US government chose to ignore the 2010 False Claims Act!

Rather than taking action on this false claims act, the U.S. government simply ignored it, thereby protecting Merck's market monopoly instead of properly serving justice. This demonstrates the conspiracy of fraud between the U.S. government, FDA regulators and the vaccine industry.

Chatom Primary Care sues Merck for Sherman

Act monopolization, breach of warranty, violation of consumer protection laws.

Following the unsealing of this 2010 False Claims Act, Chatom Primary Care, based in Alabama, smelled something rotten. Three days ago, Chatom filed a lawsuit against Merck. That lawsuit record is available here:

www.naturalnews.com/gallery/documents/Chatom-Lawsuit-Merck-Mumps.pdf

It alleges, among other shocking things:

[Merck engaged in] ...a decade-long scheme to falsify and misrepresent the true efficacy of its vaccine.

Merck fraudulently represented and continues to falsely represent in its labeling and elsewhere that its Mumps Vaccine has an efficacy rate of 95 percent of higher.

In reality, Merck knows and has taken affirmative steps to conceal -- by using improper testing techniques and falsifying test data -- that its Mumps Vaccine is, and has been since at least 1999, far less than 95 percent effective.

Merck designed a testing methodology that evaluated its vaccine against a less virulent strain of the mumps virus. After the results failed to yield Merck's desired efficacy, Merck abandoned the methodology and concealed the study's findings.

...incorporating the use of animal antibodies to artificially inflate the results...

...destroying evidence of the falsified data and then lying to an FDA investigator...

...threatened a virologist in Merck's vaccine division with jail if he reported the fraud to the FDA...

...the ultimate victims here are the millions of children who every year are being injected with a <u>mumps</u> <u>vaccine</u> that is not providing them with an adequate level of protection. And while this is a disease that, according to the Centers for Disease Control ('CDC'),

was supposed to be eradicated by now, the failure in Merck's vaccine has allowed this disease to linger, with significant outbreaks continuing to occur.

Chatom Primary Care also alleges that the fraudulent Merck vaccine contributed to the 2006 mumps outbreak in the Midwest, and a 2009 outbreak elsewhere. It says, "there has remained a significant risk of a resurgence

of mumps outbreaks..."



NaturalNews has only begun to investigate this incredible breaking news about Merck and the vaccine industry. We are pouring through the court documents to identify additional information that may be relevant to this case, and we plan to bring you that information soon

For the record, Merck denies all allegations. Is anyone surprised?

Sources for this article: NaturalNews wishes to thank CourthouseNews.com for its coverage of this story. Original article at: www.courthousenews.com/2012/06/27/47851.htm Chatom Lawsuit against Merck

www.naturalnews.com/gallery/documents/Chatom-Lawsuit-Merck-Mumps.pdf 2010 False Claims Act against Merck, by two Merck virologists


 $\underline{www.naturalnews.com/gallery/documents/Merck-False-}\\ \underline{Claims-Act.pdf}$



30 years of Secret, Official Transcripts Prove Vaccine Schedules in US and UK are based on Government Lies

by Ethan A. Huff, staff writer NaturalNews

A comprehensive investigation into the inner workings of the U.K.'s nationalized healthcare system has revealed a shocking legacy of corruption and lies concerning the country's vaccine policy. According to Dr. Lucija Tomlijenovic, Ph.D., from the *University of British Columbia* in Canada, the advisory and governing bodies that set vaccination policy in the U.K.



Official documents uncovered from secret meetings of the U.K.'s *Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation* (JCVI), an independent body that helps set vaccination schedule policy in the U.K., reveal that JCVI ignores independent data showing vaccines to be unsafe, and reinforces questionable data produced by vaccine companies claiming that vaccines are safe. The group also discourages all research that might question the safety of vaccines, and knowingly lies to parents in order to increase the overall vaccination compliance rate.

"[T]he JCVI made continuous efforts to withhold critical data on severe, adverse reactions and contraindications to vaccinations to both parents and health practitioners in order to reach overall vaccination rates which they deemed were necessary for 'herd immunity,' a concept which with regards to vaccination, and contrary to prevalent beliefs, does not rest on solid scientific evidence," writes Dr. Tomljenovic in her paper.

"Official documents obtained from the U.K.



Department of Health (DH) and the JCVI reveal that the British health authorities have been engaging in such practice for the last 30 years, apparently for the sole purpose of protecting the national vaccination program."

The 45-page paper blows the lid off the myth that government vaccination policy is based on sound science, and instead shows that

vaccine advisory committees, which help set vaccine policy, are typically padded with vaccine industry shills that specifically promote vaccines in spite of evidence showing their dangers. This has been true in the U.K. since at least the early 1980s, and it is certainly true in the U.S. as well.

(http://www.naturalnews.com/033455_Institute_of_M edicine_vaccines.html)

Dr. Tomlijenovic explains; for instance, how JCVI has known since as early as 1981 that the measles vaccine, which is part of the government's official vaccine schedule, is linked to long-term neurological damage and death. She also outlines, with full citations, evidence showing that JCVI has long been aware that many of scheduled vaccines cause permanent brain damage in children, but have continued to promote those vaccines anyway.

JCVI knew MMR vaccine was capable of causing brain damage

Another stunning discovery in Dr. Tomlijenovic's paper deals with the MMR vaccine, and how JCVI was aware that this controversial jab can cause brain damage. The transcript from a 1990 meeting of the JCVI CSM/DH Joint Sub-Committee on Adverse Reactions notes that JCVI was aware that MMR was definitely linked to causing at least 10 known cases of

both meningitis and encephalitis.

JCVI addressed the issue of MMR safety again in 1991, noting that in a follow-up review of the earlier cases of meningitis and encephalitis that were definitively linked to the vaccine, two of the children developed permanent neurological damage as a result. One other developed behavioral problems, which are linked to autism, and another developed cerebral

astrocytoma, a type of brain tumor. None of this critical information was publicly disclosed. You can read Dr. Tomlijenovic's full 45-page paper on vaccine corruption here:

http://www.ecomed.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2011/09/3-tomljenovic.pdf

GlaxoSmithKline admits to Criminal Pharma Fraud in 3 billion dollar case

by D Holt
Naturalnews.com

ritish registered company, GlaxoSmithKline, faces \$3 billion in penalties after pleading guilty to the biggest health care fraud case in history. GSK admitted that physicians had been bribed to push potentially dangerous drugs in exchange for Madonna tickets. Hawaiian holidays, cash and lucrative speaking tours. They also admitted distributing misleading information regarding the antidepressant Paxil. The report claimed that it was suitable for children, but failed to acknowledge data from studies proving its ineffectiveness in children and adolescents



GlaxoSmithKline plc (GSK) is a British multinational pharmaceutical, biologics, vaccines and consumer healthcare company headquartered in London, United Kingdom. Established in 2000 by the merger of Glaxo Wellcome plc (formed from the acquisition of Wellcome plc by Glaxo plc) and SmithKline Beecham plc (formed from the merger of Beecham plc and SmithKline Beckman Corporation, which was formed by combining the Smith Kline French and Beckman companies.

GSK faced charges that they had used the gifts to sell three drugs that were either unsafe, or used for purposes that were not approved. The first drug, Paxil also known as Seroxat, was touted as safe and effective for children and adolescents. The ineffectiveness of Paxil, and the link to suicides, meant that it was banned for kids under 18-years-old in 2008. The second drug, Avandia was used in Britain to treat

diabetes until it was withdrawn due to safety fears, including increased risk of heart attacks. The US government claimed that GSK had attempted to conceal the data surrounding the dangers.

The third drug,
Wellbrutin is used in the
UK for treating
depression, but it was
alleged that GSK had
recommended physicians
used it for ADHD, lost
libido and as a slimming
aid. None of which were
approved uses for the
drug ...

Whilst the amounts of money seem to be a huge punishment for GSK, the

settlement is merely a slap on the wrist for a company whose market value is \$133 billion.

Can we trust another multinational that promises to clean up its act, when others have promised the same, only to behave just as recklessly but much more surreptitiously?

Komen for the Cure

caught in mammography propaganda fraud; scientists blast agenda of deception

by Mike Adams, the Health Ranger, NaturalNews Editor

It is time for the truth to be told about Susan G. Komen for the Cure. The organization is, flatly stated, engaged in fraud. Funded by drug companies and mammogram manufacturers, the organization preys upon women in order to grow its own financial power while feeding female victims into the conventional cancer industry grinder.

All across America, men and women participate in "run for the cure" events, raising tens of millions of dollars each year that go into the hands of Komen for the Cure. What these people don't know is that much of that money is spent on "free" mammograms. Those mammograms, in turn, actually cause breast cancer because they subject women to high doses of ionizing radiation.

The Susan G. Komen scam, in essence, is to raise money that's used **to give women cancer** and create a financial windfall for the very same companies that financially support Komen in the first place. "The Komen Foundation owns stock in General Electric, one of the largest makers of mammogram machines in the world. It also owns stock in several pharmaceutical companies, including AstraZeneca," reports Tony Isaacs at NaturalNews (http://www.naturalnews.com/027307_cancer_breast_ACS.html).

"DuPont, another huge chemical company and major polluter, supplies much of the film used in mammography machines. Both DuPont and GE aggressively promote mammography screening of women in their 40s, despite the risk of its contributing to breast cancer in that age group. And while biotech giant Monsanto sponsors Breast Cancer Awareness Month's high profile event, the Race for the Cure, it continues to profit from the production of many known carcinogens." (http://www.tbyil.com/breast-

cancer-deception.htm)

Komen's corporate partners include General Mills, Zumba Fitness, Walgreens, The Republic of Tea, REMAX, New Balance, American Airlines, Bank of America, Ford Motor Company, Dell and many more (http://ww5.komen.org/corporatepartners.aspx).



The bottom line? **Komen deceives women** while powerful corporations rake in the profits. This isn't merely my own opinion. Two prominent doctors, in an article published in the *British Medical Journal*, have sharply condemned Komen for the Cure for lying about the "benefits" of mammograms.

Komen ads are false

"The world's largest breast cancer charity used misleading statistics and

deceptive statements about mammography to promote breast cancer awareness and screening," stated scientists.

(http://www.medpagetoday.com/HematologyOncology/BreastCancer/34030)

Their names? Steven Woloshin and Lisa Schwartz, directors of the Center for Medicine and the Media at Dartmouth Medical School in Hanover, New Hampshire.

They join a growing number of other doctors and medical professionals who now see Komen for the Cure as a fundraising fraud and are going public with detailed accusations against Komen's deceptions.

In the recently published BMJ article, Woloshin and Schwartz accused Komen of lying in its promotional propaganda for the 2011 Breast Cancer Awareness Month. In advertising, Komen falsely claimed the 5-year survival rate when breast cancer is caught early is

98%, while only 23% when not "caught early." This is how Komen tricks women into getting more mammograms which cause more cancer -- by claiming "early detection saves lives." But it's not science; it's pure propaganda. (See below.)

According to study authors Woloshin and Schwartz, Komen willfully ignored "a growing and increasingly accepted body of evidence [showing] that although screening may reduce a woman's chance of dying from breast cancer by a small amount, it also causes major harms."

The Cult of Komen

Komen for the Cure is in the business of fear mongering. They want everyone to be scared out of their minds that breast cancer is going to strike down all the women in their life. And in order to deal with the fear, all you have to do is give more money to Komen.

It's sort of like an old-school evangelical group that asks for donations and says you'll be healed if you just "believe," but instead of claiming to heal people with the power of faith, the Komen cult claims to heal women with the power of ionizing radiation.

In reality, the actual 10-year risk of a 50-year-old woman dying of breast cancer is about half a percent: 0.53%

(http://www.medpagetoday.com/HematologyOncology/BreastCancer/34030).

With mammograms used to detect breast cancer tumors, that 10-year risk of dying from breast cancer moves ever so slightly downward to 0.46%. In other words, the real risk reduction of dying from breast cancer by receiving mammograms is only 0.07% -- seven women out of 10,000.

How mammograms kill women

Seven out of 10,000 is a far cry from the fear-mongering levels that Komen propagandizes. It's not quite the cancer apocalypse that Komen makes it out to be, huh? And in the mean time, Woloshin and Schwartz explain that anywhere from 20% to 50% of women who receive mammograms for a decade of their lives will have at least one "false alarm." These false alarms often lead to women being treated

with deadly chemotherapy cocktails. These expensive drugs enrich the very same drug companies that donate money to Komen for the Cure. This is all part of the *cycle of fraud* that exploits women's bodies for profit, all while conducting this sick fraud with the message of "finding a cure," emblazoned with pink ribbons. The magnitude of the deception in all this is pathological... even criminal.

"The Komen advertisement is deceptive in another way: it ignores the harms of screening," say Woloshin and Schwartz. "Between 20% and 50% of women screened annually for a decade experience at least one false alarm requiring a biopsy. Most importantly, screening results in overdiagnosis. For every life saved by mammography, around two to 10 women are overdiagnosed. Women who are overdiagnosed cannot benefit from unnecessary chemotherapy, radiation, or surgery. All they do experience is harm," they write.

That harm often comes in the form of unnecessary chemotherapy that poisons women but financially benefits the drug companies. Here's another article on NaturalNews which also supports this conclusion: http://www.naturalnews.com/020829.html

Also read my previous article, "10 Facts about the Breast Cancer Industry You're Not Supposed to Know" http://www.naturalnews.com/024536_cancer_women_breast.html

"Women need much more than marketing slogans about screening," wrote Woloshin and Schwartz.
"They need -- and deserve -- the facts. The Komen advertisement campaign failed to provide the facts. Worse, it undermined decision making by misusing statistics to generate false hope about the benefit of mammography screening."

The article goes on to emphasize that mammograms are a wash, offering no net benefit to women's health: The benefits and harms [of mammography] are so evenly balanced that the National Breast Cancer Coalition, a major US network of patient and professional organizations, "believes there is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against universal mammography in any age group of women." (http://www.knowbreastcancer.org/controversies/mammography-screening/)

But instead of telling women the truth, Komen lies to

women, vastly exaggerating the "benefits" of screening:

"Komen's public advertising campaign gives women no sense that screening is a close call. Instead it simply tells women to be screened, overstates the benefit of mammography, and ignores harms altogether," write Woloshin and Schwartz.

Komen has even fooled doctors

Beyond fooling the public, Komen's insidious disinformation campaign has even fooled most doctors. As Woloshin and Schwartz described how

doctors are tricked by the "improved survival" statistics which mislead people into thinking that screening saves lives:

"In a recent survey we conducted with colleagues from the Max Planck Institute, most US primary care doctors mistakenly interpreted improved survival as evidence that screening saves lives." (Wegwarth O, Schwartz L, Woloshin S, Gaissmeier W, Gigerenzer G. **Do physicians understand cancer** screening statistics? A national survey of primary care physicians in the United States. *Ann Intern Med* 2012;156:340-49.)

Nancy Brinker, the founder and former CEO of Susan G.Komen for the Cure

Comment

ancy Brinker is a Jew and claims that cancer is a disproportionately Jewish issue. One in 40 women of Ashkenazic descent has a genetic mutation that greatly increases her chance of getting breast cancer, as a result of which Ashkenazic women are subject to stricter screening standards and are

disproportionately afflicted with the illness. She speaks in terms of 'democratization of disease'.

In attempting to explain this mind set, the Editor of the Jewish publication, The Tablet writes, 'I remember, before my bar mitzvah, our rabbi telling my Hebrew School class that we should not only consider donating a portion of the gifts we would receive to charities, but that we should especially emphasize Jewish charities—because, he said, nobody other than Jews is going to give to them. Brinker, it seems, has happened upon the corollary to this: where a cause affects Jews more than other groups, you can "democratize" the cause and leverage a much larger constituency to help your comparatively small group. It's a useful lesson, I imagine, for other ethnic groups, and an interesting paradigm through which to view the ways Jews have made other issues of special importance to them-like



the Jewish state-relevant to other communities.

In Brinker's Komen, a company with \$390 million of assets and where less than 21% funds research, executives receive obscene salaries amounting to more than half a million dollars for the CEO.

Cancer prevention is never advocated. There is no profit in winning the battle, only in fighting the war!

Catalyst to ease suffering in the world?

For her work on breast cancer research, *Time* magazine added Brinker to its 2008 list of the 100 most influential people in the world. Calling her "a catalyst to ease suffering in the world," President Barack Obama honored Brinker with the Presidential Medal of Freedom, the nation's highest civilian honor, in 2009. She was also United States Ambassador to Hungary from 2001 to 2003 and Chief of Protocol of the United States from 2007 to the end of the George W. Bush administration.

What is Christian Identity?

William Finck

Christian Identity, also sometimes called Israel Identity, is the only true conservative Christianity. It is true because it seeks to maintain the understanding - in accordance with Scripture - that the New Covenant was made only with those same people with whom the Old Covenant was made: the House (family) of Israel and the House (family) of Judah. These Israelite people are traceable through time to the Keltic and Germanic tribes of today. None of these people are Jews. The Jews are descended from a mere remnant of the old Kingdom of Judah along with assorted Edomite and other Arab who were mixed into the Roman province of Judaea during the Hellenic period. There are - at last count - at least sixteen detailed essays on this website which demonstrate this, and which are replete with Biblical, archaeological and historical citations.

Christian Identity is the belief that the Covenants of God are real and consistent. It professes that the people of the Old Testament were every bit as much Christian as the people of the New Testament. They were simply looking forward to the first advent of the Christ, while we today await His Second Advent. As the famous Christian bishop Ignatius said nineteen hundred years ago, Christianity did not come from Judaism: rather, Judaism is a perversion of Christianity.

Christian Identity is the belief that there is no disparity between the Word of God, His Creation, His prophecy, and world history. It is also the understanding that while Scripture was inspired by God when it was transmitted, men have certainly mistreated it since that time, and so every passage and every doctrine must be fully investigated from all of the most ancient sources possible. As it reads in the King James Version: Study to show thyself approved.

The audio file attached to this page is perhaps one of the best we have to offer for introducing Christian Identity to the uninitiated. [It can be downloaded at http://christogenea.org/content/william-finck-patriot-dames] Please listen to it objectively, rather than regarding the slanders of the ADL and similar Jewish organizations – forever the enemies of Christ.

This paper is under development, and so are our websites - always. We pray that you consider the things written here, and also in all of our other papers. And if you are one of His called, May God favor your journey. You may also want to note What Christian Identity is Not at http://christogenea.org/what-christian-identity-is-not



Announcements

The Saxon Messenger can be contacted by email editor@saxonmessenger.org

The Saxon Messenger Website is at http://saxonmessenger.org/ where this issue and future issues will be archived.

Clifton A Emahiser's Non-Universal Teaching Ministries can be found at http://emahiser.christogenea.org/ including all writings produced by his ministry since its inception in February 1998

Christian Identity Radio

Christogenea 8 pm EST Friday Biblical Exegesis and Commentaries http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc
Notes from Commentary on Revelation posted at http://christreich.christogenea.org/revelation

CHRISTOGENEA SATURDAYS 8 pm EST

http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc Programme notes at http://christogenea.org/chrSaturdays



If you have not yet connected to the Christogenea Community Conference Voice/Chat Server go to http://christogenea.net/connect

Audios of all the above are available at http://christogenea.org/audio/feed

Christogenea 24/7 Internet Radio Streaming

The Radio pages can be found at http://christogenea.org:8000
and at http://christogenos.org:8000